STATE OF FLORIDA CITY OF CLEARWATER PINELLAS COUNTY

CITY OF CLEARWATER COMMISSION HEARINGS RE:
THE CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY

Clearwater City Hall Clearwater, Florida Wednesday, May 5, 1982

more than the second second

RIZMAN COURT REPORTING
18 TREMONT STREET
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02108
(617) 227-1688

				_
and a	1	City of Clarenton City Commission.		
		<u>City of Clearwater City Commission</u> :		
	2	Charles LeCher, Mayor		
4		II		
	3	Thomas Bustin, City Attorney		
		Anthony L. Shoemaker, City Manager	and the second s	
	4	Rita Garvey, City Commissioner		
	1	Paul Hatchett, Vice Mayor		
	5	James Calderbank, City Commissioner		
		James Berfield, City Commissioner		
	6	7 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	and the second s	,
77	• •	Louise Williams, City Clerk		
	7			
<b>P</b>	8			
	1			
	9			, '
		Consultants:		
	10			
) marij	•17	Michael J. Flynn, Esquire		
-	11	12 Union Wharf		
	•	Boston, Massachusetts 02109		
	12			
rum .	12	Thomas Greene, Esquire		
		12 Union Wharf		
	13	Boston, Massachusetts 02109		
in the second se	14			
	1.4	Thomas Hoffman, Esquire		
		12 Union Wharf		
Austra .	15	Boston, Massachusetts 02109		
	16			
<b>4</b>	16	Kevin Flynn		
	17	12 Union Wharf		
	*′	Boston, Massachusetts 02109		
	18			
	19			
	10			. 1
<b>U</b>	19	Also Present:		
	20			. \$
	20,	Paul B. "Cohnson, Esquire		
	21	Johnson, Paniello & Hayes		
	21	Exchange Bank Building		
M		Post Office Box 3416		
	22	Tampa, Florida 33601		
	<b> </b>			
6.1	23			
	_			
	24			
	- 11			- !

## 1 INDEX Speaker Page Mr. LeCher, Opening Statement..... Mr. Flynn, Opening Statement..... б Witness Page Edward Walters..... 8 Ronald DeWolfe..... 9 10 EXHIBITS 11 Number Description Page 12 1 Document, entitled "Fair Game 189 Doctrine," excerpt 13 2 Document, entitled "Fair Game 190 14 Doctrine, complete 15 3 Document, entitled "Attacks on 193 Scientology" 16 Document, entitled "Declare" 17 199 5 Document, entitled "Condition of 18 199 Liability" 19 6 Document, Re Security Checks 211 20 Document, entitled "Organization of 211 a PE Foundation" 21 8 HCO policy letter, dated August 15, 211 22 1960, under heading of "Department of Government Affairs" 23 9 Document, SP List 214 24

## $\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X} - Continued$

## <u>E X H I B I T S</u>

4	Number	Description	Page
5	10 .	HCO policy letter, dated April 5, 1965, referring to Blown Student Doctrine	216
7	11	Document, entitled "Level Zero Checksheet"	222
9	12	Document, entitled "Introduction to Scientology Ethics"	224
10	13	Document, entitled "TRL Intelligence Specialist Training Routine"	226
12	14	Document, entitled "Re: Intelli- gence"	228
13	15	Document, entitled "Re: B and Es"	231
14 15	16	Document, entitled "Vetting Hat Write Up"	233
16	17	Document, entitled "Re: Red Box"	235
17	18	Document, entitled "Operations Definitions"	245
18 19	19	Document, entitled "Correct Use of Codes"	247
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Clearwater, Florida May 5, 1982 Morning Session

MR. LeCHER: The hearing will come to order.

I'd like to ask you to rise for the Pledge of Allegiance led by Police Chief Sidney Klein and remain standing for the invocation lad by Commissioner Jim Berfield.

> (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

MR. LeCHER: Mr. Berfield.

MR. BERFIELD: Our humble Father, we thank Thee for this day, for the many blessings that this country has bestowed upon us. We thank Thee for this opportunity and may we be mindful of what our duties are here this day and may we carry them out to the best of our ability. May we be reminded of the rights of others and may our duties and our responsibilities to our community be forthwith, not neglecting the rights of others. pray in Thy name. Amen.

MR. LeCHER: Thank you, Mr. Berfield.

Ladies and gentlemen, I have an opening statement to read. We will then, after my statement is read, introduce Mr. Flynn who will introduce the witnesses.

This statement is concerning, primarily, the rules and procedures of these hearings.

The purpose of these public hearings is to investigate alleged violation of criminal and civil laws, and the alleged violation of fundamental rights by the Church of Scientology, an organization which now conducts extensive activities within our city. The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether there is a need for legislation to correct the alleged violations. It is not our purpose to interfere with any of the beliefs, doctrines, tenets, or activities of Scientology which arguably fall within the ambit of religious belief or activity in the broadest legal interpretation. It is not our purpose to conduct a witch hunt and receive testimony, documents, or any other type of evidence which is not reasonably related to significant, vital areas of municipal concern.

We are compelled to undertake this investigation.

There has been overwhelming, documented evidence presented to this Commission and attached to the report of the city's Consultant, Michael J. Flynn, which demonstrably indicates that the Church of Scientology has used our city as a base to engage in widespread, local and national criminal activities, pervasive fraud, and

б

deceptive non-religious, secular, sales and recruitment practices, and to conduct vicious personal attacks on members of our community, including our former Mayor and other public and private agencies.

When faced with such probative evidence, as has already been presented to the Commission, we feel obligated to conduct open, public hearings to examine witnesses, subject the evidence to the light of day, and allow Scientology to present opposing or contradictory evidence, if it so desires.

These hearings are not without precedent in connection with the Church of Scientology. Many foreign countries, including England, Australia, and South Africa, have initiated formal inquiries into the activities of this organization, and those countries have published reports which contain an almost uniform recitation of anti-social, deceptive, and harmful practices by Scientology. The reports of these countries and that of our consultant relate to a consistent pattern of abusive violation of fundamental legal rights by Scientology. These violations have left a legacy of victims who have turned toward government agencies in many countries, including the United States, seeking aid and legal redress. It is our purpose to examine the

non-religious, secular activities within our city of
Scientology and to consider municipal legislation
which may help to correct abuses and provide assistance
to victims of fraudulent, unfair, and deceptive practices.

We conduct these hearings motivated by a desire to exercise fundamental fairness in dealing with the substantial issues presented by the consultant's report which are of compelling municipal concern. We open the door to interested parties within the framework of the rules and regulations adopted for these hearings to present evidence to this Commission which directly relates to the following areas of vital public interest.

Number one: The utilization of the City of
Clearwater as a base to conduct and perpetuate wholesale violations of state and federal criminal laws,
including larceny, breaking and entering, robbery,
perjury, conspiracy, kidnapping, extortion, and blackmail, which is evidenced in the consultant's report.

Number two: The perpetration of fraud through uniform, secular, non-religious written and oral mis-representations for the purpose of inducing people to pay money or provide labor or services, which is evidenced in the consultant's report.

Number three: The utilization of charitable

.

tax-exempt status to conduct commercial, non-religious, non-charitable, anti-social, sometimes criminal activities within our city, without accountability to the proper taxing authority and without payment of real estate, meals, or lodging taxes.

Number four: The unlicensed practice of medicine on a widespread basis, including the concealment of epidemics and the treatment of actual diseases by unlicensed individuals holding themselves out to be medical practitioners, which is evidenced in the consultant's report.

Number five: The implementation of written policies of Scientology calling for flagrant physical and psychological abuse in order to coerce, deceive, and destroy those who Scientology considers to be its enemies. These policies and practices are evidenced in the consultant's report.

Number six: The fai of the Church of Scientology to meet minimal educational standards for numerous school children living within our city in Scientology-owned buildings and the intentional deception of our education officials as to the education of said children. This is evidenced in the consultant's report.

Number seven: The existence of overcroweded,

insect-infested conditions in Scientology-owned buildings in violation of public health, safety, and welfare requirements and in violation of existing fire and building codes. This is evidenced in the consultant's report.

The second part of this statement concerns the rules and regulations for the conduct of the Commission Hearings. The rules are as follows: Number one: The Commission may make, amend, or cancel any rules or regulations for all purposes relating to the conduct of the hearings.

Number two: The Mayor, as Chairman of the hearings, may remove or exclude from the hearings any person or persons interfering with or disrupting the hearings.

Number three: The hearings shall commence, proceed, and terminate at such times and on such dates as the Commission shall determine. The following schedule is currently planned: May 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, and 15th from the hours 9:00 a.m. till 12:00 p.m. and 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Number four: The Commission may limit, extend, or terminate the hearings at any time or any date as it deems warranted.

Number five: The Commission may limit, extend,

or terminate the testimony of any witness as it deems warranted.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

Number six: The Commission shall receive such testimony, documents, or materials as it deems warranted.

Number seven: There shall be no examination of any witness by any person other than the Commissioners, the City Manager, and the City Attorney.

Number eight: All witnesses shall testify under oath under the penalties of perjury.

Number nine: The consultant under contract with the City, Michael J. Flynn, shall submit documents and affidavits of witnesses not in attendance to be received and reviewed by the Commission. The consultant shall make an opening statement not to exceed 45 minutes, and said consultant shall proceed with the presentation of witnesses, documents, and materials subject to Rules 4, 5, and 6 hereof.

Number ten: The consultant shall present evidence on May 5 through the 8th. The Church of Scientology shall present evidence, if it so desires, from May 10th through the 13th. Two hours each shall be allocated to the consultant and to Scientology on May 15. This paragraph is subject, however, to Rules 4, 5, and 6 hereof.

Number eleven: No evidence shall be accepted or received by the Commission except that presented by the consultant or the Church of Scientology without receiving prior consent of the Commission.

Number twelve: At the conclusion of the hearings, the Commission shall determine whether further hearings are necessary and whether there is a need to enact legislation.

Number thirteen: The hearings are solely of an investigatory nature to determine whether there is a need for municipal legislation. The rules of evidence in judicial proceedings are not applicable to these hearings, but the traditional hearings process relative to legislative investigations will be implemented. The Commission shall endeavor to discriminate between hearsay evidence and direct percipient evidence, but it may utilize hearsay evidence to further its investigation, without relying on such evidence in its final determination.

The third part is the format of the hearings process, which is as follows: The consultant for the city will make an opening statement.

Number two: The consultant for the city will present a witness before the Commission who may give

.

.

2

•

•

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

24

a statement about matters relevant and material to the areas of municipal concern previously outlined. During the making of the statement by the witness, the consultant may present documents or other materials relevant and material to the areas of municipal interest.

Number three: The consultant may present affidavits sworn to under oath of individuals who do not appear personally before the Commission, before, during, or after the testimony of any witness.

Number four: The consultant may present documentary evidence before, during, or after the testimony of any witness.

Number five: Each Commissioner shall have the opportunity to examine the witnesses presented by the consultant relative to any matters of municipal concern as previously outlined.

Number six: At the conclusion of the presentation of evidence by the consultant, the Church of Scientology shall have the opportunity to present evidence relevant and material to the areas of municipal concern previously outlined.

Number seven: There shall be no presentation of any evidence by any person relative to matters which relate solely to the religious belief, faith, or

convictions of any persons. There shall be no presentation of any evidence relative to the personal bias, motives, conduct, or interests of the Mayor, the Commissioners, the City Manager, the City Attorney, or the City Consultant. The purpose c these hearings is to inquire into the seven areas of municipal concern previously outlined and not to allow any person to utilize the hearings as a forum to attack personally either the participants in the hearings or any other person.

Number eight: At the conclusion of the presentation of evidence, the City Commission will deliberate as to whether further hearings are necessary and/or whether municipal legislation shall be enacted.

That concludes my prepared opening remarks. I would like to, again, reiterate that this Commission is here for very serious business and we're not going to tolerate any yelling, screaming, hooting, or clapping. If you do that, we're going to ask you to leave; if you don't leave, you're going to be escorted out by an officer.

Mr. Flynn.

l

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

MR. BUSTIN: Mayor, before you get started in the pending process, I had a call from Mr. Johnson

•

3

5

7

9

11

10

12

14

15

-

18

ía

20

21

23

representing the Scientologists. Mr. Johnson has requested permission to make an opening statement, which would be out of order in the format.

I bring it up now to find out what the pleasure of the Commission is with respect to that request.

MR. LeCHER: Commissioners, the Manager has sent Mr. Johnson a letter as of April 13th concerning the schedule of these proposed hearings, and it is the intent to allow representatives of the Church of Scientology to have equal time for presentation which is scheduled to commence on Monday, May 10th, 1982. They will have an equal time on Monday; these are the rules.

The rules, also, as stated, were sent to Hugh Wilhere yesterday. He has had time to read the rules.

I would suggest that we adhere to the original rules outlined as just stated by me; however, it would take a four to one vote to overrule the Chair.

MR. HATCHETT: Mr. Mayor --

MR. LeCHER: Yes, Mr. Hatchett.

MR. HATCHETT: I move that Attorney Johnson's request be denied.

MR. CALDERBANK: Seconded.

MR. LeCHER: All those in favor, say "Aye."

(Whereupon, the Commissioners voted and the motion carried.)

MR. BERFIELD: Mr. Mayor --

MR. LeCHER: Yes, sir.

MR. BERFIELD: His request is for an opening statement at this time?

MR. LeCHER: Yes.

MR. BERFIELD: But he would be allowed an opening statement:

MR. LeCHER: He will certainly be allowed an opening statement at another time when it is his time which
is next Monday. And that is the way we decided to do
it, and I don't think we should change the rules at
this particular time.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Mayor, I'm Paul Johnson.

I called Mr. Bustin and he told me I would be afforded the opportunity to make an opening statement to help you and to guide you in your presentation. I think it would be only fair, as in any proceeding, that both parties have a right to make a brief opening statement for the guidance of the triers of fact or the finders of fact.

And I'm here at what I understand to be the advice and the authority of Mr. Bustin when I requested the right to

,

5

1

0

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

7.0

19

**2**0

22

28

come and make a brief opening statement. He told me he felt that you could afford me that right. And I'm here and I will make a brief statement, if you will permit me.

MR. LeCHER: Sir, I will not permit you. The Commission has decided to adhere to the original set of rules and, that is, that you will be afforded equal time when it is your time and you can speak uninterrupted and up to forty-five minutes at that particular time. But this is the City Commission's time right now.

I ask you with due respect, sir, to sit down.

MR. JOHNSON: It will be too late to give my advice to you. After the horse has gone, you can't lock the barn door.

And what I want to say to you is very helpful and very proper, and I --

MR. LeCHER: Sir, I've asked you to sit down, sir.

I appreciate your concern and you advice to me and this

Commission. I ask you again, once more, to please sit

down.

MR. JOHNSON: Does that mean that Mr. Bustin's --

MR. LeCHER: That means, sir, sit down.

MR. JOHNSON: -- advice is withdrawn?

MR. LeCHER: That means sit down.

04

21

1

0

10

11

12

14

16

17

Thank you.

Mr. Flynn, are you ready?

MR. FLYNN: I am, Mayor.

MR. LeCHER: Then, let the proceedings begin.

MR. FLYNN: Mayor LeCher, Mr. Bustin, Mr.

Shoemaker, Commissioner Garvey, Commissioner Hatch...ct,
Commissioner Calderbank, Commissioner Berfield, and
people of the City of Clearwater: I have been contracted
with by the City Commission as a consultant to present
evidence to this Commission relative to the matters that
have been set forth by Mayor LeCher in his opening
statement.

For the past three years, I have endeavored to collect evidence pertaining to the operating, non-religious, secular activities of the Church of Scientology. I have made that endeavor in connection with proceedings in cases that are not before this Commission.

Approximately a year ago, I was approached by city officials relative to the collection of that evidence, which was to some degree broadly known in the media across this country. Those city officials examined some of that evidence. Subsequently, they consulted with you, the City Commission en bank, to determine whether I should prepare a formal report relative to matters of

.

4

5

Ĝ

7

B

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.1

vital, municipal concern to the City of Clearwater.

And I did prepare such a report. And I attached to that report approximately three to five thousand pages of documentary evidence. That report set the initial base by which this Commission could proceed to determine, from a threshhold level, whether further consideration should be given to the issues raised in the report. this Commission did, in fact, consider that report and decide in the early part of this year to conduct these hearings for the purpose that Mayor LeCher has stated, to subject that evidence to the light of the day, to give you people the opportunity to examine the people in part whose testimony and documents gave flesh and validity to that report. So, instead of having a piece of paper to read, you will have the opportunity to examine the people, to look them in the eye, to determine whether what they say is true, false, or to whatever degree of validity what they say should be accepted by this Commission.

10

11

12

18

14

15

16

The City Commission was compelled to undertake these hearings, not only for the protection of the people of Clearwater but for the protection of the Church of Scientology and its members who are living in this city and residing in Scientology-owned buildings and

receiving, in some measure, the benefits of the city, of residing in the city, and are receiving the alleged benefits of being a participant in the Church of Scientology.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

The reason that these hearings are compelled on both counts for the people and for the Church is because of the history of events that have taken place within this city. And I do not intend in this opening to outline all of those events. I will simply point out to the Commission and to the people of Clearwater that for approximately seven years, since the latter part of 1975, when the Church of Scientology came into this city, allegedly under a name or title that did not properly describe the nature of their organization or their purposes, the city began to question: Who was this large group that had undertaken to purchase Clearwater buildings and what were these people doing within the city? Your former mayor, to some degree, attempted to undertake and find answers to those questions for the people of Clearwater.

Thereafter, as many of the people of Clearwater know and the Commissioners know, various alleged operations were conducted against your former mayor. And since that period of time, there have been contant and

uninterrupted media attention and news items relative to not only the matters pertaining to your former mayor but other operations of the church of Scientology across the United States. We are all aware of the fact that for seven years the media has raised these issues before the people of Clearwater and before you as their elected representatives.

The issues that have been raised by the media warrant scrutiny. They warrant a legal, official body of this city looking into them and determining whether they're true or whether they're false, both for the protection of the Church and for the protection of the people.

You, as the elected representatives, who, for the first time, have decided to undertake this task and I, to some degree, have been subjected to representations in the media as to our purposes. And that type of activity, as we all know, has gone on for seven years with anyone who has undertaken in this city to vocally speak about this subject in the media. There has also been attendant with it rumors, allegations, and media attention to the fact that there is harrassment, smear campaigns, and things of that type. It is fair to say, I believe, that that is what has been occurring within

the City of Clearwater.

The Church and the people of Clearwater deserve to know whether such things took place, who did them, and under what circumstances they were done. A factual record that has legal validity with you people determining whether or not those facts are true and what should be done with those facts is a matter that should be set forth in a public record that the people of Clearwater can turn to and say definitively, "Our elected representatives have decided whether such things have taken place or have not taken place and this is what we intend to do about it. " For that reason, for both sides, these hearings are absolutely necessary.

Attendant to or peripheral to some of these events have been tax considerations which have been raised in the media. It is not our purpose here, per se, to determine whether or not those tax considerations in the light of the factual evidence can be directly confronted by this Commission. However, it is a matter which is of importance to the people of the City of Clearwater, and some of the evidence pertaining to some. issues relating to tax considerations can factually be decided by this Commission and turned over to whatever appropriate authorities the Commission deems they should

10

11

17

18

21

22

23

be turned over to.

During this entire period and right up to five o'clock yesterday afternoon, I think it is fair to say that there has been a response by the Church of Scientology, both in the media and before this Commission and prior Commissions to allegations made in the media about the Church. In light of the evidence and factual testimony that I am going to present, I would characterize that response to be one of using a defense of religious persecution to conceal the real nature of what the evidence will show and what the facts will be, at least out of the testimony of these witnesses and the documents that will be presented. I believe it is a fair statement to say that that defense has been proffered to this Commission.

I also believe it is fair to say that in line with that defense the Church of Scientology has characterized itself as an unpopular religion and, therefore, there are issues of religious persecution attendant to it.

I would throw out to the Commission that it is the Church that says that it's unpopular.

The scrutiny will not be into popularity; the scrutiny will be into conduct. And if the conduct, as determined by this Commission, turns out to be of the

nature of the allegations that have been made in the press and that have been taking place in various courts across the country, then, the character of the description of saying that the Church is unpopular in light of some of their conduct may be warranted.

Fundamental to American jurisprudence and American lawmaking, of which this body is an inherent part, is due process: the right of both sides to be heard. The Church of Scientology will have an equal opportunity to be heard and to present evidence that will rebut the evidence that this consultant has collected and intends to present to this Commission.

In light of the requirements of due process, and having in mind what due process means, I hope and pray that the Church of Scientology will produce testimony and will produce witnesses and documents to rebut the evidence that will be presented by this consultant. I sincerely hope that the Church of Scientology will not simply listen to the evidence presented and seek to attack by whatever means, through whatever written policies, the people who are presenting the evidence. I sincerely hope that the Church will address the facts and the issues that will be raised by the testimony and will not attack the people who are raising the issues.

There is another right in the First Amendment in addition to the right of free exercise of religion and that right is just as vital. It is the right of free speech.

There will be people who will be presented to this Commission, based on the evidence that this consultant is aware of, who have been denied that right by written policies of the Church of Scientology. This Commission should be as concerned about that right as they should be of First Amendment rights of free exercise of religion on both sides of the fence.

8

10.

11

12

14

17

19

Approximately, nine months ago, as virtually everyone in the city knows and the City Commission is aware, the top eleven people, excluding L. Ron Hubbard, of the Church of Scientology were convicted of a wide variety of crimes which, in themselves - the convictions in themselves - have no direct relevance to these proceedings. However, the facts that pertain to the indictments that were brought by the United States government, as they relate to the City of Clearwater in relationship to alleged criminal activity, have direct relevance to this Commission.

In those cases, the cases of Mary Sue Hubbard and the other top eleven officials of the Church of

. 8

1 . 4 C

Scientology, the defense of First Amendment free exercise of religion was raised. The cloak of concealment of what those criminal activities were resulting in those convictions was placed with the use of First Amendment free exercise of religion arguments; it was placed over those activities. And I would like to read to you what the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, the Federal Court of Appeals just below the United States Supreme Court, which denied relief to those individuals who were convicted, said about that defense.

And I quote from the case of the United States v.

Mary Sue Hubbard: "The crimes charged here are not ideological offenses. Those who formulate conspiracies to obstruct justice, steal government property, burglarize, bug, harbor fugitives from justice, and commit and suborn perjury before the grand jury have no constitutional right under the First Amendment to conceal the documentary evidence thereof. Likewise, none of the documents" - referring to documents seized by the Church - "and freedom of religion is not endangered but encouraged when criminal conspiracies are suppressed that attempt to hide behind religion."

It is not the purpose of this Commission to determine whether the Church of Scientology is or is not

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

a religion. It is none of your business; it is none of my business in this proceeding. It may be other government's business in other proceedings. But it is not the purpose of this Commission to determine that fact.

It is the purpose of this Commission to open the cloak that has been placed over alleged violations of civil and criminal law in this city to determine whether they've taken place and to determine whether or not that cloak is being used to conceal. And in that way, freedom of religion will be encouraged.

The focus of the inquiry and the nature of the evidence, very briefly, will not seek to vilify, hold up to ridicule, or attack members of the Church of Scientology or anyone else. It will seek to deal with the corporate structure of the organization which has policies which have to do with and are relevant to the seven areas of municipal concern. We are not here to find out whether members of the Church are good people or bad people; that is none of our concern.

In fact, as a matter of opinion, I would proffer to you people that I have many clients who are formerly members of the Church, who are very idealistic, wellmotivated, well-intentioned people. As a matter of opinion; I would proffer to you people that the

individuals residing now in your city are of the same type: they are idealistic, well-motivated, well-intentioned people.

1

11

12

14

21

24

We are not dealing, however, with just motivation and intention. We're dealing with conduct. Our inquiry is to determine whether the conduct of any individuals has been such as to be in violation of standard civil and criminal laws and fundamental human rights. We are not going to inquire into the beliefs or faith of any person. The evidence that will be introduced will be intended to deal with secular, non-religious practices.

A stringent effort has been made to deal with secular, non-religious practices. There is the consideration of the fact that a cloak of First Amendment protection has been placed around those practices. There is a need to open that cloak, and it must be done sensitively, and it must be done with all due caution by this Commission. But it must be done as the Court of Appeals in the District of Columbia did

The evidence will involve several fundamental areas. It will involve, as I said, corporate policy as opposed to individual conduct that is not related to corporate policy. In that respect, there is one individual whose background, credentials, qualifications

must be examined. They reason they must be examined is not to vilify or hold up to ridicule that individual; that, per se, is none of our concern. The reason this particular individual's credentials must be examined is because the evidence will be that the Church of Scientology has held out that individual for more than two decades in writing, consistently, uninterruptedly, to have certain qualifications upon which people have come to this city, paid money, provided labor, on the representations of the Church of Scientology that those credentials, qualifications, and background are accurate. And there has been, as the evidence will show, specific and trusted reliance on those credentials. And the evidence will show that those credentials are not accurate.

10

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

20

21

So, therefore, there must be an inquiry into

L. Ron Hubbard's background to determine whether the

written representations made about him by his organiza
tion are true or false, because people have come to

your city and paid money, millions of dollars, for seven

years relying on those representations, as you people

will hear.

The nature of the evidence will essentially deal with deceptive practices, misrepresentations concerning

the fleet to the transfer of the second of the second

. .

J

5

7

J

10

12

18

15

. .

17

. 19

21

22

•

Mr. Hubbard's background, misrepresentations concerning the confidentiality of information given by Church members to this organization on the representations to them that it would be held in confidence and it would not be used against them.

If you people decide, from the evidence, that there are policies to not only use that information but to use in an extortionate manner of blackmail, then, that evidence will not only prove that there has been deception of these people who have paid money but it will prove that conduct has been engaged in by this organization that is specifically in violation of fundamental laws dealing with fiduciary obligations, invasion of privacy, and the sanctity of individual — information that is given in confidence.

There will be, briefly, evidence relating to the conditions at the Fort Harrison and other buildings owned by the Church of Scientology in this city. That evidence will pertain to public health, safety, and welfare factors; fire, building, and municipal code factors; educational considerations relevant to whether children who have been living in the city are obtaining an education that is required under the laws of the State of Florida and Pinellas County.

\$

7.

9

11

10

13

14.

15

.

, ·.

19

20

21

23

24

There will be evidence pertaining to purely secular, legal, non-religious practices dealing with the signing of promissory notes, legal releases, waivers, and things of that nature that people who come into the Church are required to sign that have nothing to do with religion. They have to do with whether or not someone can walk over to the Pinellas Count; Courthouse or the Federal Court in Tampa and file an earthly lawsuit.

Finally, there will be evidence pertaining to some very fundamental policies of the Church of Scientology which are inherent in the very fabric of the organization, which relate to this city and to the people in the city, both inside the Church and outside the Church - that relate to the citizens of this city both inside the Church and outside the Church - in an area of absolutely significant, substantial, vital, municipal concern. And that is a policy that allows the Church of Scientology to attack, lie, cheat, sue, and destroy its enemies. It is, as the evidence will show, a real policy that has been operating in the city for seven years, has been applied to members of this Commission in the past and, as the evidence will show, based on the nature of the policy, is in all likelihood being practiced today.

I ask, ladies and gentlemen, that you question and

scrutinize the testimony of every witness in great detail. Seek to elicit from each witness as much as you can on all relevant matters. Do not hold back questions that your conscience in whatever way says should be asked. Subject the witness to a thorough examination. Bring out the facts to the people of Clearwater, and make a determination as to the accuracy of those facts.

Thank you.

MR. LeCHER: Thank you, Mr. Flynn.

Is your witness --

MR. FLYNN: The first witness will be Edward Walters.

MR. LeCHER: Please take Mr. Walters to the Clerk, Mrs. Williams, who will swear Mr. Walters in.

Face the Clerk, sir.

EDWARD WALTERS, a witness herein, having first been duly sworn by a Clerk for the City of Clearwater, was examined and testified as follows:

MR. LeCHER: Take a seat.

I have a few standard questions I'd like to ask you. I'm going to ask these same standard questions of every witness, whether brought by the city or by the

e de la companya de l

.

1

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

20

..

•4

Church of Scientology.

Sir, the first question is: Are you appearing here today and testifying under oath voluntarily?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. LeCHER: Number two: Have you been paid by anyone for your testimony, other than expenses for coming to Clearwater?

MR. WALTERS: No.

MR. LeCHER: Three: Do you have a lawsuit against the Church of Scientology?

MR. WALTERS: Personally, no.

MR. LeCHER: Does the Church of Scientology have a lawsuit against you?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. LeCHER: Number five: Has anyone suggested to you that you should state anything but the truth or has anyone suggested that you change your testimony for any reason?

MR. WALTERS: No.

MR. LeCHER: Okay, sir. Would you like to -- I believe you have an outline to follow. Would you like to --

MR. FLYNN: The practice, Mayor, will be the witness will seek to deliver a soliloguy or statement that he

5

7

8

y

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

feels is pertinent to the matters of municipal concern previously outlined and, then, the Commission will undertake examination.

MR. LeCHER: Thank you.

Mr. Walters, would you like to proceed?

MR. WALTERS: All right.

I think it would be best, probably, for me to tell you how I started in Scientology and my history and record and experience so that you'll have some -- you'll know where I'm coming from, otherwise, I'm sure some of the things I tell you just won't be believed.

Excuse my nervousness; this is the first time I've been in public.

MR. LeCHER: We're all a little tense here, sir.

MR. WALTERS: It's not easy to talk about this, and you'll see why when I tell you.

okay. Around 1970 in Las Vegas, Nevada, I was an executive, casino executive, at the Sands Hotel. Through an individual who I had known a few years earlier in Las Vegas, Frank Freedman, who is here at Clearwater presently - but at that time he was in Las Vegas - introduced me to Scientology. He told me, you know, what it was, that it was founded by this famous man who was a great man, a nuclear physicist. He discovered the secrets to

\_

.

life, happiness. And Frank, knowing that I was interested in bettering my life, questioning the world around us, asked me to come down to a mission that he had. A mission is a small Scientology organization; it's the lowest on the chain of command.

He gave me some auditing. Auditing is a process where you sit in front of a Scientologist who uses a meter, called an E-Meter, and you are told that this E-Meter can detect whatever is wrong with you, the reasons why they're wrong with you, and it can find a thing called engrams, which, as Hubbard says, is the sole source of all sickness and aberration. And I did that for a few weeks.

I'd have to admit that the first introductions to Scientology were nice; it usually is for most people.

I -- later, that mission closed and they went to Flag.

Flag was a ship that L. Ron Hubbard resides on. That's the highest point in the Scientology organization, Flag.

Flag is now here in your City of Clearwater.

I was then transferred to the Las Vegas Organization on Industrial Road in Las Vegas where it was suggested that I continue studies. I was, myself, interested in the technology. I had been, you know, very impressed of Hubbard's degrees, his studies, and

that he was a sick man who made himself well, and that
he had found a way of doing this for everyone.

I, at the time, had back trouble and stomach trouble, which I was going to Santa Barbara for, Santa Barbara Clinic in California. That came up in this auditing.

Anyway, at the organization in Las Vegas, the Las Vegas Org., which is the next step up in the chain of command -- you've got a mission, then, the Las Vegas Organization was an organization called an org. At the Las Vegas Org. I started a Dianetic course. Dianetics was introduced to me as the first book that L. Ron Hubbard read -- wrote. We were told to read it, and this told us and convinced us that this was the erradication of all illness, the sole source of aberration. It would make you well and happy, high IQ and total recall, the supreme being, et cetera, et cetera.

while at the Las Vegas Org., within the first few months, I was asked to -- my room was on the second floor. I was asked to go down in back of the building in an office back there called -- to meet some people in the Guardian's Office. The Guardian's Office is a -- it was explained to me -- well, I'll just tell you exactly how it occurred.

I went down there and met -- I guess I can name

MR. LeCHER: Yes, you may.

will you put the microphone a little bit closer to you? I'm just afraid there's somebody in the back who doesn't hear you.

MR. WALTERS: All right.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

24

I met Susan Reed. I don't know what her name is now, but Susan Reed --

MR. LeCHER: Excuse me, sir.

If at sometime during your conversation you'd like to take a break, do it, if you're getting a little worn out. I believe you're going to be here for quite a bit of time. So, if you need a break, let us know.

MR. WALTERS: No, I'll be fine. We've been waiting a long time just to het here.

MR. LeCHER: Okay. Proceed.

MR. WALTERS: You'll just have to protect me when I leave the building.

So, I met Susan Reed, who was the Assistant.

Guardian, called AG, Madeline Reese, Maddy Reese, who was AGPRO, meaning Assistan: Guardian Public Relations

Officer - you'll hear names like officer all the time;

I later found out it's a very Navy-oriented thing -

and Chuck Reese who was the Intell Officer for Intelligence, later changed to be called Information.

They invited me in and asked me how I was doing; they said they heard something about me - to this day I don't know from who - but they said I was a sharp guy and "We hear you're doing well" and "You're interested in going clear," which is one of the early things that you're sold on is to go clear. Clear would be free of all the aberration and engrams that I mentioned earlier. And as a clear, you would have, you know, genius IQ, total recall, and free of illness, et cetera, et cetera. And I was very much interested in doing that.

So, they invited me, actually, many times, two or three times a week for a three-, four-week period. And, generally, what occurred was telling me the importance of the Guardian's Office, that it was an organization set up by L. Ron Hubbard to protect the Church of Scientology against the enemies that are out there. Enemies in 1970 and '71 were psychiatrists, doctors, government officials, mental health organizations; those are the ones explained to me at the meetings.

It was explained to me how important it was that
Scientology was to clear the planet, meaning make everyone

clear in the City of Las Vegas and throughout the world, that these enemies had to be stopped, that these enemies were actually suppressive people. Suppressive, meaning that they were out to destroy the Church of Scientology. And these suppressives were people who were actually criminals themselves and Ron knows this; they're actually anti-religion, anti-spiritual, and they're connected with the doctors and psychiatrists, et cetera.

So, it was approached to me from the viewpoint, you know, "We have to fight this war, Eddie, and that to possibly do the job we have to do and for you to go clear, Eddie, we will need your help." At the time, I'm embarrassed to say, I said, "Fine."

I had grown up -- I was not, as a normal citizen is, most infatuated with public officials and didn't really know much about them. So, whatever they told me was believable.

Anyway, a short time after that, I was invited down to the office and a fellow was standing there called Bruce Raymond. Bruce Raymond, Susan Reed, and Maddy Reese told me to go for a walk with Bruce Raymond. I did.

Bruce Raymond told me that he was -- well, first, he started talking to me again, constantly always telling

5 6 7

10

11

13

14

15

--.

• (

.

20

21

22

23

24

you, you know, "Are you going clear," how important it was to beat the enemies, and that whole routine I had been receiving for weeks. And I said, "Yes, I want to help; I want to clear the planet; I'll do whatever we can do." He told me that he was a special agent for L. Ron Hubbard and Mary Sue Hubbard and that he works with the Guardian's Office and that he works on the most sensitive and secret operations. You'll hear that word a lot; in short it's called ops. In fact, throughout Scientology, terminology is like the Navy; they cut everything into short terms.

He said -- he stressed to me the importance that serious things must be done to stop these enemies, that these were vicious people, and that -- so, I said, "Fine," you know, if there was any way I could help, I would.

He told me -- he was bragging to me about, again, the technology of L. Ron Hubbard and that L. Ron Hubbard had this mental technology so that, being the genius he was, he had developed the technology of espionage, intelligence, ferreting out the .nemy, and -- so, I said, you know, "Fine, if this is what it takes to go clear, you know, and they're going to stop L. Ron Hubbard from helping all of us, I'll do what I can."

He told me that -- I asked him about the technology because I was interested. I was very technically oriented then and still am. He told me about the Guardian's Office technology, such as, "I'm sure you've heard, you know, people who go to a lawyer and try to cause trouble for Scientology," and I said, "Yeah, I've heard that." He said, "What we do is, you know, we investigate them and if we have to, we" -- he bragged, you know, "We just plant dope on the individual, and someone calls the police and the guy gets arrested and, then, it's broadly publicized, and the lawyer usually drops the case," and stuff like that.

And he impressed upon me two things: one, that anybody talking or criticizing the Church was connected to major operations to suppress the Church and, two, that anything will be done to stop these people. And that L. Ron Hubbard has the means and the technology to do it.

He said that -- all right, anyway, we talked a while. And in the coming weeks, he made me what we called an FSM for the Guardian's Office. I was an outside intelligence operator. I was given a code name. Any operations that were done were written up and sent back to headquarters in Los Angeles at that time.

**2** 

ı

Anyway, so, I did that. And I got involved in and also overheard and watched many covert operations done against the City of Las Vegas, against the city officials, a legislator, the director of mental health, psychiatrists, the Better Business Bureau, newspapers, the district attorney's office, infiltrations. I don't

in me now answering questions.

Anyway, I'm embarrassed to say, at the time I thought it was all something you had to do to fight and to destroy the vicious enemies of the Church. I believed what they were telling me about the city officials, that they were connected with the government, that the government was connected with the psychiatrists, who were connected with doctors, who were connected with some mysterious voodoo from outer space, who were always trying to get Hubbard. It's embarrassing now, but at the time I thought, you know, this man's a nuclear physicist, he's an expert on the mind; he must know what he's talking about.

know how specific to get with you, if you're interested

All right, anyway, I then went on to continue my training. Briefly, I can tell you I did every course offered in Scientology on the training side, meaning the side that teaches you the technology of Scientology. I

•

.

.

22 .

did the Communications course, Dianetic course, the Minister's course, which -- I know, you know, it's easy to say now, I remember at the time I did the Minister's course, and I can remember talking to the GO people, you know, "Why are we doing the Minister's course?"

They were telling me, you know, "The government thinks we're not a religion, so we'll make you and some others a minister. We want you to get a collar, a white collar, and a black thing." And I asked, "Why?"

And they said, "Well, that's what the public" they're called WOGS, you people are called WOGS - "that's
what the WOGS think a minister looks like, so Ron has
very cleverly -- never underestimate Ron, Eddie. We will
give a presentation that will -- they want ministers,
we'll show them what a minister looks like." Well, I
can tell you, in nine years, I wore that minister's
thing three times.

And it's very interesting to know the three times. The first time I wore it, they called me up to wear it. It was very important; the Guardian's Office wanted me to wear it because the district attorney's office was coming down. I didn't know for what, if somebody had made a complaint or they were checking something about city licenses at the time.

.

. 7

I wore it again shortly after that because it was explained to me that, to make us look like a religion, we had to have services. Ron had discovered just what the government really wants, which is, you had to have services, parishioners, and things like that. And so, they would start giving — this was in '72 or '73, they would start giving Sunday lectures, and they'd call that the services. And they wanted me to give a lecture and wear this, and they were going to invite some news media down there, which I did.

Anyway, in my career in Scientology in Las Vegas, I did the Dianetic course and became a Dianetic auditor, the Dianetic CS course. I did, above that, the auditing grades, which are given to the public who come in. I became a Class 1 Auditor, Class 2 Auditor, 3, and a Class 4 Auditor. I went to Los Angeles in my quest for more technology. I became a Class 5 and Class 6 Auditor. As a Class 6 Auditor, I was at ASHO, American Saint Hill Organization, Los Angeles, where you study from 8:30 in the morning until eleven at night. You listen to tape recordings and study L. Ron Hubbard's bullentins all day long. You get a break at twelve and again at six.

And a Class 6 Auditor was one who was totally an

expert in all the technology of the -- of Scientology.

I completed that course; I was down there a year and-a-half, I think. I completed that course. I did the Extended Dianetic course and the Clearing course. The Clearing course, as I told you earlier, is the thing where people go clear.

After the Clearing course, I was back in Las Vegas; after a year and-a-half, I was back in Las Vegas. Things were still the same. I had not seen the progress that I had hoped I'd see, and I thought I would see more auditors. I was hoping in Las Vegas that the Guardian's Office was at least getting along with the city. And I thought it would be nice -- you know, it would be easy to audit and become friends and do all the things that we're told that will happen.

You see, one of the mysteries that confronts you as you go along is that you have what is preached 'y Scientology and, then, you have what is practiced, which is almost a dichotomy. It's hard to explain to you unless you're in; it's a hard analogy to give you.

But I'll never forget seeing a TV program on

Reverend Jones in Guyana. If you'd listen only to

Reverend Jones' lectures, they weren't half bad. But

I wish some of the people in Guyana spoke up on what was

really going on there. I mean, that's the same thing with Hubbard: the lectures aren't that half bad. Some of the technology isn't that bad. It's what it's used for, and I can get into that later.

Anyway, Las Vegas was just as, I thought, puzzling to me as ever. I was still involved in covert activities against officials. I was hearing the first mutterings of now they were going to attack and destroy the federal government. Jesus. I had heard in Los Angeles about now the new enemy was Interpol, in '73. It was Interpol; Ron had discovered that Interpol was the major suppressive.

Now, I get back to Las Vegas and they're into the FBI and the government, the IRS. And I hear mutterings that they're going to do something -- in Scientology, the Guardian's Office do something to infiltrate, breaking and entering, get whatever evidence they need or plant what -- it's a full-fledged operation, which I can talk about later, if you're interested in it.

Anyway, God, it was just so untechnical to me, and I was wondering how I, as this highly trained so-called auditor, could clear people, bring peace to the world, if there's this part of the Church that's doing psychotic -- and at that time, I just thought it was a bad part.

I was a Class 6 then around '75, '76. The only

higher level attainable above that was a Class 8, who was promoted as the highest technical expert there is, totally can solve all cases; he was the ultimate surgeon, you might say, in a hospital.

So, I went down to do that course, figuring I would find, maybe, the final answers to the technology and insanity that I was starting to question in Scientology and our source in the world. This Class 8 course turned out to be a disciplinary and psychotic adventure, more geared to make you into a Gestapo auditor than it was to make you into a decent human being.

That explained to me why - when I did the Class 8 course - that was the highest you could go. And I found no goodness or compassion or caring. But I saw the actual teaching and hysterics of Hubbard. That explained to me then why in Las Vegas a Class 8 and others around the country could take the most intimate confessions of individuals that were given in this counseling, this auditing, and use it against them.

I never agreed with it. I saw it; I was involved in it. And when I got to the top and found that that not only is not -- it's not just Las Vegas that got into a bad thing, but it's the actual policy and practices of the Guardian's Office and the Church, so-called Church.

Well, I knew, then, the ending was -- it would soon be over for me.

11.

I went back to Las Vegas as a Class 8. I audited in the field at my home for a while; I tried to stay away from the Las Vegas Org. It wasn't easy because, at that time, the public was becoming aware or, at least, the FBI had broken into the -- you know, raided the Church, and now the public was becoming aware that there were burglaries and infiltrations into the federal government.

I knew this to be true and, yet, the Las Vegas Org. and Hubbard and the Guardian's Offices in Los Angeles, Clearwater, Artie Maren, and others like him were telling Scientologists that this was all false, this was lies, this was further proof how suppressive — I know it may sound silly to you, it sounds silly to me. But at the time I thought we would be proud of this, like, as if we had broken in or exposed the FBI and exposed the government. Now, the Guardian's Office is saying they had nothing to do with it, these people were innocent, and telling all Scientologists that this was more lies and that you better get more auditing and spend more money to protect yourself from these evil people.

And I'm thinking, "God, could it be that all these

\_

3

4

5

Ü

Ω

y

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

-

20

21

22

23

24

years I've known of and participated in things like this, and now they're even lying about that?" I mean, it was just -- so, I intended to stay away from them; they knew I was discontent with them.

Anyway, then, some people -- Hubbard, by this time, was in hiding; we were, of course, always told that he was in Clearwater getting along with you fine people.

Across the country we were told, you know, to come to Clearwater and "Spend your money here."

Some people in Las Vegas -- a friend of mine called me up and said he had a couple in Las Vegas who had just left L. Ron Hubbard and I better talk to them because I was a Class 8 and the senior tech person in that whole area.

I talked to these two people and they told me that they had -- they were in the Church of Scientology for a short time, they were at this secret base in the desert where L. Ron Hubbard was hiding ou . They were told to fake names and hold covert operation, which, of course, was to me new. It just stunned me. It was just further proof, as I was starting to see all along, that the insanity and the criminal actions were coming directly from Hubbard and his paranoia.

I brought these people, when they came to me that

day, to the Guardian's Office. I guess at the time I was just being a good, brainwashed Scientologist. You bring anybody who says anything wrong to the Guardian's Office. And it was very interesting to watch the Guardian's Office go beserk. And, then, I was thinking that probably fifty percent to ninety percent of what these people were saving was true. And, then, with the lies and the criminal actions they were encouraging -- anyway, I threatened to go to the authorities to do something about it.

They expelled me the next day. Artie Maren, one of the top Church officials who came down to Clearwater many times, came and threatened me with the usual -- that I would be handled, and any trouble I cause L. Ron Hubbard, I will be taken care of. "Others have done it in the past, they're not around. Eddie, you will be taken care of."

He would go from -- we had a three-hour walk. He would go from threats to my life, and when I would get mad and say, "Great, let's go down to the police station right now, goddamn it" -- excuse my language. I would say, "Let's go down to the police station." I was hot and scared. And, then, he would back up and start begging. And it was funny, he started telling me that if

he doesn't handle me, he'll be on the RPF and he'll be in trouble. And now he's begging. Now, I'm telling him, "Well, Artie, this is so nuts, why don't you tell the truth? This thing's insane." And then, when you'd do that, he'd switch again and be tough and tell me, "As you know, Eddie, stuff in preclears' folders" -- preclear, meaning a person who's not clear. Your folders are the most sensitive and intimate things that you have told your so-called minister, who, most of them are not even ministers; they're young kids who took a week's course.

He told me, "Eddie, we will -- you know we've done it before, and we will expose you," you know. Anyway, he went through the typical threats and actions, that he knew that I knew about the Guardian's Office participation for years, which I can go into later, if you're interested.

Anyway, it was the make/break point in my life.

I either had to stay in Scientology, because if you're in -- you're either in and you do these covert operations or whatever you want; you're a true believe or you are the enemy.

So, I went to the FBI, me and about six or seven others. And then -- anyway, then, I'm in Las Vegas still the last few years under tremendous threats and

harrassment. For just speaking up, they sued me. They threatened to sue me -- they'll sue me for talking to you here today. I'll be sued in Las Vegas as part of some grand conspiracy. They'll have me linked up with you people; I've never seen any of you before. But that's what it'll be.

Anyway, so, as far -- my training side was the highest you could go, processing, I went clear to OT 4.

I'm familiar with all of the Church's highly technical information. I'm entirely familiar with the Church's organization and operation.

MR. FLYNN: One minute, Mr. Mayor.

MR. WALTERS: All right.

Should I go over some things that I found to be not nice conduct?

MR. LeCHER: If the consultant feels they're pertinent to --

MRS. GARVEY: Corporate policy.

MR. LeCHER: -- corporate policy in general or Clearwater in particular, I believe we would like to hear from you.

MR. HATCHETT: We would.

MR. LeCHER: We would like to hear from you anything you'd like to add.

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

21

23

24

MR. BERFIELD: Mr. Mayor?

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

20

21

28

24

MR. LeCHER: Yes, sir.

MR. BERFIELD: I have an important question directed to counsel.

Has he been given any immunity or been read his rights, his Miranda rights, or anything like that, so that anything he says here can't be used against him elsewhere?

MR. FLYNN: Yes, Mr. Berfield, that has been resolved. Immunity, no, but there have been discussions with public officials, both within Pinellas County and other places between Mr. -- with Mr. Walters, and those issues have been addressed.

MR. CALDERBANK: In other words, criminal acts that he would speak of that he participated in will not be --

MR. FLYNN: That issue has been resolved; that's correct.

MR. LeCHER: Well, let's hear from Mr. Walters now. We can question Mr. Walters later on.

I'd like to have you finish your remarks, sir, about the business activities of the Church relating to Clearwater.

MR. WALTERS: All right.

As I became trained, it was evident to me that everything you did was totally from policy. And if a Scientologist tells you any different, I'm going to tell you he's lying. Everything you did was written from policy and policy had to be written by L. Ron Hubbard. In fact, it was a major crime in Scientology with severe penalties if you did anything except written by Source. In fact, the word was "If it's not written by Source, it isn't true." Source is L. Ron Hubbard; everybody else is secondary.

Some of the policies that I found to be repugnant were you would go into Ethics. There was a division in every organization called the Ethics Officer. The Ethics Officer is presented as an individual who is supposed to help you clean up your transgressions so that you can become a better person. Again, as with most of the things in Scientology, what is presented and the actual operations are two different things.

Ethics, actually, is a place to help ferret out
the enemy, everything from penalties to degradation to
investigations on an E-Meter. They will put individuals
on an E-Meter and tell them that they must come up with
any crimes or anything they've ever thought bad about
L. Ron Hubbard or the Church of Scientology. It is not

for the protection of the individual; in fact, it's for the protection of Hubbard only.

1 4

10

11

12

18

14

15

17

There are policies, such as, disconnect. Disconnect is very important because Scientology professes to be -- help families, et cetera; it does not. The main thing that Scientology is involved in is Hubbard's paranoia and the enemies that are out to get him. He has formed a money-making outfit that obviously has to be protected. And in order to protect it, Ethics is used against individuals.

A Suppressive Person is a person who criticizes or is going to harm Scientology. Anybody associated with any of those people is called PTS, Potential Trouble Source. It's interesting even the term they give an individual, Potential Trouble Source: not to themselves, to Scientology.

You are taught per policy that all illness and aberrant behavior, sickness, comes from being PTS, meaning connected to suppressive people. So, when a person in Scientology is sick or ill - and I was told that we would treat them and make them well - Ethics takes them and wants to know what Suppressive they're involved with.

If that Suppressive is their mother or their

father or another individual -- and I know many, many cases of this, thousands. An individual who's now feeling bad about being on course because he's never home, he talks different language than his mother understands, she wants to know whether he's involved in a cult, he now tells this in his confessional.

1

11

12

13

16

17

21

The confessional takes these private names and gives them to the Ethics Officer. The Ethics Officer calls this individual and says, "Has your mother been criticizing the Church?" "Yes." "What questions is she asking you?" "Who L. Ron Hubbard is and how do you know he's this" and all this stuff. "So that she's against the Church?" "Yes." "Then, can't you see she's suppressive?" And the person will, you know, tend to see this.

He is then told that he has to disconnect or handle his parents, which means he has to handle them -- to handle them, they would have to become Scientologists. If they don't become a Scientologist, he has to disconnect from them because he's connected to a Suppressive Person. So, you have people continually becoming upset and disconnected from their families.

Now, if the individual won't do this, because, you know, leaving your parents and family is not the easiest

\_\_

18 -

thing in the world, you get threatened with being expelled from Scientology and things put against you, such as, fair game.

Fair game is a policy written up in the Church of Scientology. I've seen it. I know it's denied by the Guardian's Office; it's denied by Artie Maren and all these people. But I'm telling you that there is a policy that's never been presented to the public. It's -- but there is one; I've seen it, and it is practiced to this very day.

Those are the lower Ethics conditions, meaning that if you are dangerous to the Church or even if you've done something wrong, like, this individual hadn't told his family -- if he does not disconnect from his mother, he can be put in Enemy.

Now, this may sound nutty to you, but, if you realize that in Hubbard's thinking and in Guardian's Office thinking, he is an enemy because he's siding with his mother who is questioning the Church of Scientology. And she probably has a doctor; most people do. To the Scientologist, that's considered, like, earth shattering. They will follow this woman around and if they find she went to a doctor, "Ah, interesting." They can tie her back to a doctor, doctors are tied to the government.

2

.

10

12

11

13

1%

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

"Ron is right again. He's just brilliant, this man."

so -- now, these are taken very seriously. I mean -- the wording there doesn't affect me that much, but there was a time when, if you would put me in one of those conditions, I would have been -- I would have done whatever it took to get out of them.

MR. LeCHER: You were disgraced?

MR. WALTERS: What?

MR. LeCHER: Disgraced? You were ---

MR. WALTERS: Disgraced? Other Scientologis+3 will then be put in Enemy if they talk to you.

MR. FLYNN: Mr. Mayor, may the record reflect that we have posted on the easel a document, a blow-up of a document, which will be introduced into evidence as Exhibit 1, which is copyrighted by L. Ron Hubbard, and which states on it, for the record, "Enemy, SP, Fair Game, may be deprived of property or injured by any means by any Scientologist without any discipline of the Scientologist, may be tricked, sued, or lied to or destroyed."

MR. WALTERS: Now, what he just read is true and is practiced, even though a few years later they put out an order saying that that was cancelled. If you look at the exact order, it says it's cancelled. And

the second line says, "No actions or the way you handle these people are changed." The name was changed because the public has heard about it and don't like it.

This is very serious. A Fair Game person -- another Scientologist can do anything to him. He can lie about him, plant dope on him, use any of his confidential things against him. He can be tricked, sued, whatever is needed to be done to stop him.

I have seen this done to individuals. I've seen individuals go into hiding over it; many are still out there. The Church of Scientology lists them as part of their rolls; these guys haven't been in the Church for years, they're afraid for their lives.

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

21

These lower Ethics conditions are very degrading. I mean, look at the names of them. They're not called "You're A Bad Boy." Liability, Treason, Doubt. Can you imagine a young man who spends two or three years studying all day long, giving every energy to this Church, and his mother doesn't like the Church so now he's called the Enemy to L. Ron Hubbard? And he's told that "The Enemy will be handled, and you'll either be one of us or we'll handle you. And it'll be done."

MR. FLYNN: May I interrupt, Mr. Mayor?

MR. WALTERS: You can ask questions --

MR. LeCHER: Well, I wanted you to complete your talk and, then, we will ask the questions. If you have completed your scenario, as you know it, at this point, then, I will --

MR. WALTERS: Well, let me just say this to you, otherwise, a lot of what I say won't be understood: Scientology, like everything, is not totally bad. There is some technology that is good. They're not going to have people like myself who are not complete idiots — any of your sons or daughters, if the, went into the first few courses, I'll tell you, they would like it. You're not asked to dress up in fancy robes, you don't cut your hair, you don't go singing songs, you don't go to the airport and elicit donations. In other words, it's not the appearance of a cult.

It appears to be a very technical solution and education on the theory of life, et cetera. The general public is involved in that and they get fleeced for their money.

The staff member who works on the staff, again, is usually more brainwashed, more into working hard for Hubbard. And a lot of them are good, decent people, working very hard for practically no pay, working fourteen, fifteen hours a day, doing the slightest thing

\_

\_

•

5

**.** . .

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

28

24

wrong and being beaten or thrown in the hold or many other things that -- subject to degradation, financial loss, suits, et cetera.

Anyway, they're just young, decent people who, if they weren't in Scientology, I believe a lot of them would be in the Peace Corps or something like that.

Then, you have the higher officials of the Church. Some of them are decent people just brainwashed on Scientology and Hubbard. Others are out and out criminals who participated in criminal acts years ago and, I believe, are probably doing it up to this day and are probably here in Clearwater.

Then, you have the Guardian's Office, which is a separate outfit, who is -- it's sort of on the outside of Scientology, but it protects Scientology and actually runs it. It's hard to explain it. The best example, which is very real to me, is like Hitler and the troops, which is the Sea Org., then, you have the Gestapo, which is the Guardian's Office. And fear and the actual running of Scientology is actually from the Guardian's Office.

The Guardian's Office ranges anywhere from some young, new individuals who really don't know very much - some probably don't even believe that they did break into

the federal buildings or any of that stuff; they probably believe I never was in Scientology or whatever they're told about me - and, then, you have further above them people who are inclined to become criminals and the type of people that would go in the SS in Germany. Then, in the higher-ups of the Guardian's Office, you have people who, from my experience, turn out to be criminals and people in hatred and fear of citizens throughout the land.

MR. LeCHER: Are you prepared to answer or discuss questions about specific criminal activity?

MR. WALTERS: I'll answer any question you ask me.

MR. LeCHER: Would you like to volunteer anything specifically as to --

MR. WALTERS: I'll volunteer some. Do you want it --

MR. LeCHER: Specifically relating to Clearwater, if you know anything about that.

The Chair has the option to lead off or to yield, and I'm going to go from my right on down, so everyone gets a chance to speak.

MRS. GARVEY: This is something along with what you're saying, really what we want to know is some of

the policies of the organization that you've been a part of, et cetera.

Can you give us some idea?

MR. WALTERS: Well, there are policies like attack the attacker; I forget the exact name of it. It's a policy that we all studied. Scientology denies it; I've seen it. And we are thoroughly indoctrinated on this, that we are to totally attack, investigate any group that dares criticize or investigate Scientology.

MR. LeCHER: Do you know anyone -- would this be happening currently in Clearwater, not only to myself but to others or any elected or appointed city officials?

MR. WALTERS: I can promise you it's occurring.

I will give you experiences, if you're interested, in

Las Vegas. I will tell you how the tech works, and I

can promise you that it's being applied here.

MR. LeCHER: For my own benefit, since I am one of the principals here, I would like to know how it works.

MRS. GARVEY: Good point.

MR. WALTERS: All right.

Hubbard believes that there are enemies out to get him. He also believes that enemies that attack Scientology are actually criminals themselves. As I

23

22

1

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

found out later, almost everything in Scientology is in fear. It turns out that's exactly what they do.

Anyway, so that the technology -- the technology of the Guardian's Office is this: Whenever somebody criticizes or attacks -- and you'll always hear the words like "attack." It's never, you know, speaks badly of; it's always attack and destroy; it's very military.

When the Guardian's Office goes into operation, the Guardian's Office has -- well, the three that I'm familiar with, Hubbard Relations Bureau, the Intelligence Bureau, and then the AG person himself. Their job is to operate on two fronts: one, publically -- well, first, the intelligence operation goes into effect. The city official, for example -- I'll give you specifics. It seems funny saying this.

MR. FLYNN: Do you want to take a break?

MR. WALTERS: No. I've started it, I better keep going.

In Las Vegas, there's a fellow called Otto
Ravenholtz, who was the Director of Public Health, the
Director of Mental Health, something like that. He,
like you people, thought that the city ought to look
into Scientology. And who are these young kids giving
auditing? Are they trained counselors? You know, who

are they? Are they administering mental health, which is the title of Ron's book, you know, <u>Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health</u>. Jesus, the Guardian's Office went beserk.

So, they sent telexes and written coded messages to Los Angeles, which was the headquarters then; now their headquarters is here in Clearwater. They designed a program to handle.

Another thing, everything done in Scientology is written up as a program, first. That has since been their downfall because, when the FBI raided, they got all this. But none of this stuff is done because some individual did it on his own; I don't care what Artie Maren tells you or Hebert Jentz or one of these other guys that will do whatever it takes -- will tell you whatever Hubbard wants them to tell you about Scientology. They don't do anything without the planned operation.

The operation then was to -- the first thing that always happens is that you co ertly investigate the individual, the city official. The Otto Ravenholtz thing was Chuck Reese. Chuck Reese then started following him around day and night. He was to get information to start a file on him. I promise you there are files in the Guardian's Office here on every one of you

individuals.

You follow them around; you follow where they go, what they -- if Otto Ravenholtz stopped to have a drink, the guy sitting next to him was Chuck Reese. He'd listen to his conversations and, because of L. Ron Hubbard's technology, he listened for what they call buttons or psychological things. Like, if Otto Ravenholtz would tell a dirty joke or kid about girls, which is what I understand, it's what he kidded about, and the next operation is to use that against him. The operation was to plant a girl on Otto Ravenholtz to get something -- indiscretions done and hold it in the folder to expose when we need it.

MR. FLYNN: May I interrupt at this point, Mr. Mayor --

MR. LeCHER: Yes.

MR. FLYNN: -- just to simply say that, rather than interrupt the witness' testimony, we will be introducing documents that pertain to the program the witness has referred to, but I will wait until the end of his testimony --

MR. LeCHER: All right.

MR. FLYNN: -- and the end of the questioning. And we will be introducing those operations and programs

Ŋ

•

ló

and putting them on the overhead projector, in some instances. Those --

MR. LeCHER: I will start the questions, then, I'd like to go on to my colleagues. I'll start off.

You have, apparently, taken a large chance to come here today. You are obviously nervous and you are giving information that they wouldn't like to have out.

How do you think that you'll be characterized tomorrow by the Scientologists due to your presence here today?

MR. WALTERS: The policy -- I will be Suppressive, subject to Fair Game. To fit into the technology of Scientology, I will be made a Suppressive, who is in conspiracy with you people, and we are all in conspiracy with the government and with some monsters from outer space, whose real job is to do in Hubbard and all religion in the world.

MR. LeCHER: May I interrupt?

MR. WALTERS: Ninety-nine percent of all Scientologists will believe -- in fact, they will not be allowed to talk to me. If they are, they'll be put in Enemy.

What other Scientologists -- the public will be told --

MR. LeCHER: That's what I mean. You, apparently, if this holds true to form, you're going to be discredited?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes. They have already done that in Las Vegas. They've gone --

MR. LeCHER: All right. What are they going to say about you? You might as well --

MR. WALTERS: All right. I told you about the Intelligence Bureau and the Guardian's Office, right -- MR. LeCHER: Yes.

MR. WALTERS: -- investigating the city officials, the district attorney's office, Better Business Bureau, and I have personal knowledge of all this. I don't care what they tell you; I will testify in any law court to it.

Anyway, now, when that is done - after all that is done - then, the Public Relations Bureau goes to work. They will use this evidence against the individual or they will make evidence that, from their surveys of the city, fits the tone of the city. It's very cleverly done. For example, in Las Vegas, if the city was afraid of crime, then, they would say, "Eddie Walters is speaking, yes, but did you know he's a criminal? And that is what you want to get rid of, people like Eddie Walters. He is

a criminal. And we, in the Church, do not know anything about what he's saying."

And these people -- and if you press them, they'll say, "Well, if some former Scientologist -- if somebody did something on their own, that's it. Eddie Walters is a person that's been expelled from the Church." What they will tell the people in the Church is different than what they will tell you.

They will tell the citizens tomorrow that I have probably never been in the Church of Scientology.

MR. LeCHER: How long have you been in the Church of Scientology and when did you leave?

MR. WALTERS: I started on May -- I started in around late 1970 - and there are records to prove all this - and I was in till March of 1979. I spent full time. I was there -- as I told you, I took every technology course given.

MR. LeCHER: What do you -- are there Guardians in Clearwater, and are they carrying on covert activities within Clearwater now, to your knowledge?

MR. WALTERS: Well, I cannot speak specifically to what they're doing for the simple reason I don't even know who's here at the moment. But I can tell you, if they're good GO members, they better be doing it, or

·

\_

lб

they'll get somebody who will. Hubbard does not put up with people like yourselves asking or investigating Scientology.

I mean, your first thing I read about Mayor Cazares being trapped and put in the thing and all, that's just normal tech. They would have done that to Otto Ravenholtz, I mean --

MR. LeCHER: What is tech? You keep talking about tech and --

MR. WALTERS: Oh, I'm sorry.

Tech is technology. See, L. Ron Hubbard professes to have the technology of the world in the mind. And that's what you study in Scientology. So, you are taught how the world thinks, what the mind is made up of, what causes all illnesses, what causes all irrational behavior. You are taught why public officials like yourselves will probably do hearings like this. We are taught that you are Suppressive and aberrant and really have hidden crimes.

I'm not telling you that some individual tells me this. This is the policy of this. It's attack the attackers, and the attackers are doing it because of your own crimes. And that is the policy written by L. Ron Hubbard and the Guardian's Office will carry it out.

MR. LeCHER: Were you expelled or did you just quit?

.

.

MR. WALTERS: I was expelled. I was -- well,
Artic Maren threatened me in the sense that, you know,
if I would back off and go quietly away, I'm sure that I
possibly wouldn't have been expelled. I don't know.

It was coming to a head. I mean, I couldn't -- and he knew it and I knew it.

MR. LeCHER: Where does the money come from to operate covert activities with respect to this Commission or to governmental agencies? Do you have to hire detectives? That needs cash. Who gives you that money?

MR. WALTERS: The Scientologists who are getting this auditing at very high prices designed to keep them going continually from one step to another. There's always a higher step; there's always more illnesses that you have, more research that Ron has just discovered. The money comes from there.

And I would tell you, quite frankly, most all the money to cover covert activities, from the way I understand when I was in, would now be raised in your City of Clearwater. You have the Fort Harrison here where the people that are brainwashed the most in Scientology are sent here to be, in my opinion, fleeced.

•

J

In fact, all the high, secret science fiction levels are done here in Clearwater.

MR. LeCHER: Do you think that the average young person that is walking around the streets, paying this amount of money to learn the truth as they see it, do they know that their money is being spent to indulge in operations to embarrass local officials or to break into offices?

MR. WALTERS: No, they don't. The average Scientologist does not read newspapers. I'm sure you'll find that the preclears, people getting counseling at Fort Harrison, will not attend these, because if they did and heard what I said, you'd have three to four hundred people filing new suits.

No. The average Scientologist does not know about it. Some of even the higher-ups -- it depends what level you're talking about. Covert operations are done entirely in the Guardian's Office. The Guardian's Office operates like the Gestapo of Germany, totally secret. They do not explain or tell what they do. They explain, though, that things are being done, but they do not say how.

MR. LeCHER: I'm sure my colleagues have a lot of questions. I just want to ask you one or two more.

Didn't you ever question that this man wasn't -- his

education, his background, as far as being a nuclear physicist, able to heal people -- didn't you ever question that? MR. WALTERS: Quite frankly, in the beginning? I mean, we were shown things that he was; it's mentioned in books, any lectures that we got we were told that. He himself --MR. LeCHER: You assumed it to be true? MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes. MRS. GARVEY: Could you expand a little bit on 10 what you were told about the background of Hubbard? 11 MR. WALTERS: Well, that he was Doctor of Philoso-12 phy, he was a graduate of George Washington Univers\_ty, 13 that he was one of the first nuclear physicists, that 14 he was a great humanitarian, that he was an engineer, that he was a --16 MR. LeCHER: How many college degrees did he have? What schools did he attend? 18 MR. WALTERS: While I was in Scientology, what schools --MR. LeCHER: No, he. Is he a nuclear physicist; is he an engineer? MR. WALTERS: Well, I didn't find any of that out

until I left Scientology.

MR. LeCHER: That he --

MR. WALTERS: I didn't find any of that out.

See, you must understand, I left Scientology, because I was like a surgeon in the hospital. I was the top surgeon in the hospital finding out my own hospital is crooked. And, then, even the operations that were done.

I didn't find out that much about Hubbard until I left. If I had known that, I would have left the first year. Probably, ninety percent of them in there would.

MRS. GARVEY: If you had not been impressed by his background, would you have gone into --

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely not.

I mean, I'm not - it's embarrassing - but I'm not totally an idiot. I was a casino executive; I'm aware of scams. I'm not the most -- I'm not, you know, the most innocent individual in the world.

If he didn't have Doctors' degrees, and a philosopher, and nuclear physicist to back up the theories he was giving us on communications and the like, which are very technical, I wouldn't give them -- I gave them \$35, \$40,000.00.

MR. BERFIELD: How much?

MR. LeCHER: Mrs. Garvey, you have, apparently, the

10

11

12

18

14

15,

16

17 18 19

20

23

24

floor. Why don't you keep it and, then, use it until you yield. Then, we'll go down to Mr. Hatchett and go around in a row. With the next witness, we'll start with Mr. Hatchett.

So, Mrs. Garvey has the floor.

MRS. GARVEY: When did you discover that they were using these confessions for Fair Game?

MR. WALTERS: All right.

Confessions, I found out -- when I was -- I used to hang around the Guardian's Office in Las Vegas. If you remember, I was a field intelligence agent. I had the code name of Hustler. When the FBI broke in, I hear that there were documents that even have my name on it. It's terrible to say, but I'm glad they do because Scientology will tell everybody it was a lie, and I'm telling you it isn't.

My code name was Hustler, and you will find operations pertaining to -- that I was involved in in those files.

Anyway, while -- what was the exact question, again? I'm sorry.

MRS. GARVEY: When did you discover they were using confessions' folders, confidential information?

MR. WALTERS: I was on the technical line, meaning

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19 .

20

I was an auditor and helped train other auditors to give these people auditing. The Guardian's Office would come to me on a regular basis, whenever an individual was causing a flap - a flap means he was mad, upset, talked, saying things that were suppressive, like, "I'm going to my lawyer" or "I'm going to officials" - they would come and want his folder, which, I'm ashamed to say, I would give them at the time.

And they would go through his folder and make a list of any of his sexual improprieties or -- because I've seen many of these lists. They would be sexual or things that he has done that he feels bad about. In fact, it's amazing, now, I look back and the lists they would write up tells you more about them than it does about the individual.

And that -- this would be -- he would be confronted with this and told it would be exposed.

It wasn't done on a regular basis for the years -it was done entirely up until -- the latest was in 1977 or
'78, I don't know exactly when, but Bruce Hamilton, who
was the Intelligence Agent - he replaced Chuck Reese in
Las Vegas - came to me for folders on orders of -- right
here in Clearwater. They were --

MRS. GARVEY: Do you know who -- I mean, who told

... 7

y

him --

MR. WALTERS: Yes. Bruce -- I'll tell you exactly what occurred.

Bruce Hamilton came to me; I was a CS, a case supervisor. I supervised the auditing that the auditor did on the individual. I was the top man on that line. So, I had the folders on my desk. My job was to make sure the auditing was done just exactly the way L. Ron Hubbard wants it to be done. Although, you slowly realize that it has to be done the way the Guardian's Office wants it to be done.

Anyway, Bruce Hamilton came to me and half bragging and half — his latest thing, he was looking for some individual that he knew was in Las Vegas that threw a plant on an informant that they had at the North Las Vegas Police Department, because one of the technologies of the Guardian's Office is to infiltrate city officials' offices, district attorneys' offices, Better Business Bureau, and the police.

They, apparently, I guess, from the way he talked, already had an informant or a plant in the North Las Vegas Police Department, and he was saying that they were getting evidence that was either being given to Interpol or that Interpol was giving it to the North Las Vegas

n

ĸ

**, 7** 

10

12

. 10

14

15

10

17

18

47

20

XI

22

28

24

Police Department. I didn't understand it exactly.

From the evidence given, he wanted to know did I have anybody that was -- had been to Flag. And they think he had been to Clearwater and had gotten some auditing here in Clearwater. And he wanted to know if I had anybody who would fit above OT 3, had been to Clearwater, been to Flag, who was on staff at Flag, and he wanted his folders so that they could be sent.

I, at this time, was starting to rebel against a lot of this, and I said I did not want to participate in this Gestapo thing. And he threatened me with those lower penalties, that I'd be an Enemy subject to Fair Game, things like R245, which is a process.

I don't know if you ever heard of R245. It's a process --

MR. LeCHER: Explain it, please.

MR. WALTERS: Well, there are R processes, meaning Routine to go clear and exteriorize. Exteriorize -- you pay a lot of money on the belief that you will be able to leave your head and leave your body and go to Paris or some place else --

MR. LeCHER: Paris?

MR. WALTERS: Paris or anywhere. Whatever you say, they match it; do you know what I mean? If you're

ill, well, that's because you're in your body. If you leave your body, you won't be ill, et cetera, you know. If you've got arthritis, well, if you leave your body, you won't have arthritis. If you want to have a higher IQ, well, if you leave your body, the spiritual being has a higher IQ. It's the answer to everything.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

24

And Hubbard has handled - he brags about - his early enemies with a process -- oh, the processes are called R216, that would be one process; R217 would be another.

And R245 means that you shoot the person in the head, which is forced exteriorization, meaning he leaves his body whether he wants to or not.

Now, this may sound a little nutty to you, but I promise you he's not kidding.

MRS. GARVEY: Did that worry you when you considered your own file?

MR. WALTERS: It worries every Scientologist and everybody who will come here before you.

MRS. GARVEY: Which goes back to a question, I guess, that I ask: Why are you here?

MR. WALTERS: Probably three reasons: one, I finally became disillusioned with the technology, which was what I was interested in; I knew of the crimes and all

.

that, but I thought that it was for a good purpose. And it might be terrible, but that's what you have to do to straighten out society.

The technology was not workable to people higher at the top who -- remember, people at the bottom are paying large amounts of money to become better, more honorable, more ethical, more righteous at the top, right? But I knew the guys at the top were breaking into the federal buildings. And from knowing them personally and counseling, they were more psychotic than the new people.

So, obviously, the tech did not make you more sane; it made you more of a brainwashed Scientologist and more into the paranoia that Hubbard personally has against officials.

All right. So, I left, originally, for that.

The second reason I left is because it was a major turning point in my life. I either had to become a Scientologist - and you could see that Scientology was going to have what they call a war, and the war was to defeat the enemy, which I was not interested in the way the Guardian's Office was interested in doing that - or I had to become a citizen. A citizen, meaning -- I found out that Hubbard was nuts and the tech was nuts

and the science fiction of OT 3 and the space planets and Xeno and BT, your body thetan, and all the science fiction they tell the higher ups -- I had a dilemma.

l

-14

I was left with "If I leave Scientology and if I become a citizen, I can't live with you people because you're suppressive" to the Hubbard people. So, the turning point was "I'll become a citizen." I went to the FBI figuring that they would grab me. I had heard that they were suppressive and they were anti-religious and all these things. They turned out to be decent people, in fact, if anything, very conservative, and half the stuff, like I tell you, they took with a grain of salt. Apparently, they also knew things about Hubbard and things that I didn't know.

The third reason that I came here to Clearwater -- MRS. GARVEY: What gave you the courage?

MR. WALTERS: -- I don't relish -- to come to Clearwater -- I don't relish the publicity and the harrassment and the possible danger my life will be in. But this may shocking to you, but the correlation and the example between Scientology and Reverend Jones in Guyana is amazingly similar.

I saw a TV show as a person totally inside of Scientology, totally familiar with the technology; I was

•

.

à

astounded how Reverend Jones did the exact same things
Hubbard did. And that -- these people, closest to him,
brainwashed thoroughly, are totally dedicated to him.

I mean, they are dedicated to him. The people in the
Sea Org., who do work in Fort Harrison here in Clearwater,
which is the top of the line, are joined in the Sea Org.

If Hubbard decides to leave this planet, he is going to take these others with him. These kids in the Sea Org. - I don't care what lies they tell you; I'll get you people who are in Scientology who will tell you I'm telling the truth - these kids have signed billion-year contracts. Right here, most all your staff in Fort Harrison right here have signed billion-year contracts. They are psychologically contracted and addicted to Scientology. They will take the Kool-Aid. It won't be Kool-Aid, but it will be this beautiful new process and the idea will be to meet Hubbard on another planet.

It was looking at that, that I decided to come here because, if somebody close to Jones had spoken up -- although, I know many people wouldn't believe it -- wouldn't believe, you know. It's hard to believe half the things I'm telling you. But I'd rather speak up, than reading about what will happen in the ensuing

11

months, because you people have started an investigation into Scientology that's going to create total paranoia. Hubbard will not treat this lightly. And if he decides - because he's basically a coward - if he decides to leave, his Guardian's Office will fight; those kids, they're just automatic zombies, they'll fight. He will leave. And many of his dedicated followers, including many of your staff - now, I'm talking about two to three hundred of them; I don't know how many you have out there, but the insiders - they will go with him on the pretext -- especially, everybody above OT 3 who thinks he's filled with body thetans and he will -- his job is to meet Hubbard on another planet.

If I can help that stop happening --

MRS. GARVEY: Just one other quick matter, then, we'll go -- you paid a great deal of money to take these courses.

What were you paid to do your job to keep you in there?

MR. WALTERS: Nothing. I got no pay all the time
I was on courses. In fact, I audited other people at
high prices for Scientology. And when you're training -like, you will come in to get processing from me, and
you don't even know that I'm being trained while I'm

giving it to you. Every day I audit you, somebody is correcting me: "Oh, you screwed this up, you did this." And you're paying two and three hundred dollars an hour, and that's what's done here at Clearwater, and I'm being trained.

Later on, I did some counseling in the field -I mean, auditing in the field myself.

MRS. GARVEY: Which you got paid for?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. And they --

MRS. GARVEY: You were never paid while you were in field investigation or anything like that?

MR. WALTERS: No, no. And the Church really resented that, me leaving the org. and auditing --

MRS. GARVEY: Oh.

MR. WALTERS: -- on my own, because -- see, they tell you all these things when you first come in, and you can do all of them.

I took all my courses based on that I can become a professional auditor and be a boon to mankind, audit people like you. As soon as I did it, they went beserk and thought I was connected with Interpol and every other thing.

MRS. GARVEY: Thank you.

MR. LeCHER: Okay. Mr. Hatchett.

23

22

21

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

MR. HATCHETT: Thank you, Mr. Walters.

Do you have any evidence as to whether Mr. Ron Hubbard is alive or dead?

MR. WALTERS: Exact evidence, no. No, I'm not -I have pretty good experienced opinions. If he dies,
you'll know.

MR. HATCHETT: All right.

The next question is -- thank you for answers to Mrs. Garvey's questions. I wish to go a little farther.

Do you have evidence or were you ever part of an impounding of people - which I call kidnapping - internally?

MR. WALTERS: Well, it's a common practice in Ethics to do that. What they do is: A person who will become very upset, like, that individual I told you, that he will not disconnect from his mother?

MR. HATCHETT: Yes.

MR. WALTERS: Now, his mother and father are getting upset, and the father will say, "I'm going to the police; I'm going to the Better Business Bureau." He now goes into a, usually, psychological, heavy experience because he's torn between the teachings of Hubbard and his family. He goes PTS and becomes dangerous.

-

8

9

10

11

12

18

14

16

17

מו

LY.

20

21

36

. 86'

ji.

Their thinking — if the father says things like
"I'm taking my son to a lawyer," they will then grab
that son and, under the pretext of religion or that
he is going beserk or having a serious upset, they will
lock him in a room and force on him auditing for his own
good. Why they're really there is to make sure that
he does not leave the building.

In fact, people in the lower Ethics conditions can't even leave the building. They can't leave the building. It doesn't matter whether they have a family or not.

I have personal experiences with many young kids sweeping floors for days until they said, "Yes, I'm a bad boy. Ron's right and I'm wrong and I'll never talk to my parents again."

MR. HATCHETT: Now, the next question, please:

From taking all these courses, was there anything like a

contract that you signed for those courses for guarantees?

You know, when you took the Dianetics course --

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

11

14

20

22

23

24

MR. HATCHETT: Did you get a guarantee that you would be cured from anybody throughout your courses?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

You are -- you can pick up the book Dianetics

right down here in Fort Harrison. Dianetics is told to you -- and you read it. It's professed as being the sole reason for all the sickness and aberration. And if I were to get Dianetic auditing, I would never be sick or ill again. And a lot of other things: have high IQ, be sane -- then, if I would become an auditor, I would learn the technology how Hubbard did that.

So, they got me coming and going. I got the auditing and, then, they asked me, "Would you like to get the technology?" And I paid for that, too. I did that all the way to the top.

MR. HATCHETT: My last question -- thank you.

I see in my notes here that there are some Clear-water hot prospects, that they wish, you know, to get into Scientology. Was that the technique used in Las Vegas when you were active?

MR. WALTERS: Yes

MR. HATCHETT: How did that come about?

MR. WALTERS: Now, which exactly are you talking about so I can --

MR. HATCHETT: Hot prospects from other churches, members in Scientology.

MR. WALTERS: Oh, oh, yeah. A prospect -- see, every week you sit, and the finance people sit, and decide

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

.

n

8

10

11

12

13

- 1

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

--

....

**Z**

which of the people coming in have the money, how much they have and how much they're going to get.

A person who, like, comes to Clearwater, who has, we'll say, \$80,000.00, he would be called a hot prospect, meaning "We can get most of what we can from him." And they will do a financial interview with him, talk to him. And they will ask questions that, to him at the time -- he doesn't even understand. They'll say, "Good. Were you in Cleveland? Do you own a home in Cleveland? Good. Do you have any stocks?" And I promise you that half way through him doing NED for OT or one of these other science fiction levels down here in Clearwater, they will then tell him that he needs to get more and it will cost him another eighty thousand. And they will be glad to show him how he can sell his home; they will gladly do this. And I know people who have come to Clearwater and done exactly that.

MR. HATCHETT: Thank you. I'll pause for other people.

MR. LeCHER: Thank you.

Mr. Shoemaker, do you have any questions?

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mayor, I do have a couple of questions I wanted to ask.

Mr. Walters, were you personally involved -- I

think you answered this, but I'd like it for clarification on the record.

Maria Cara

Were you personally involved in criminal activities on, as you saw, behalf of the Church, and who told you to do those?

MR. WALTERS: Yes, I was in some. I was told to do that by - I'll tell you exact names - Bruce Raymond of the Guardian's Office, who worked for L. Ron Hubbard and Mary Sue Hubbard through directions from here in Clearwater where L. Ron Hubbard was residing at the time; through -- I was told to do things by Susan Reed in Las Vegas, Madeline Reese in Las Vegas, AGPRO, Assistant Guardian Public Relations Officer, Chuck Reese, who was the Intelligence Officer, Artie Maren, who was the United States AGPRO.

MR. SHOEMAKER: These particular instructions that you received, were they -- did they follow normal policy of the Church or were they --

MR. WALTERS: Exact policy of the Church. The policy always is the same.

For example -- do you want specifics?

MR. SHOEMAKER: Yes, sir.

MR. WALTERS: This might be embarrassing to some people in Las Vegas.

10

11:

12

2.

,

7

9

10

12

18

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

MRS. GARVEY: Well, don't worry about that.

MR. WALTERS: Well, I have to go back there.

MR. LeCHER: Well, don't --

MR. CALDERBANK: We worry about the people in Clearwater that might have this happen to them, too.

MR. WALTERS: That's exactly right.

The Better Business Bureau, people were sent in to steal things from their files - I have personal knowledge of it; I was the one who cased the place - also, to put things in their files, to steal something from the Review Journal, a newspaper in Las Vegas, also, an attempt to burglarize their -- what they call the hot file. They didn't know what was in it, but they felt that Interpol or the police or the city officials had evidence on Scientology, and they felt the guy was going to write a story on it. And through plants they already had there, they knew it was in a file.

And they asked me if I would go in and burglarize the file. And I wouldn't do it. They asked me to secretly tape record the conversations of Dr. O'Gorman, who was chief psychiatrist in Las Vegas; he was president of the psychiatric association there, a very well-respected man. We were to go into his office, talk to him, and try to find out things about him in his past and

tape record them to be used later against him. A complete harrassment program was done against him; he was written up, including -- which would be done -- I would suggest, and I would think that the tech is still the same; I'm sure it is.

With city officials, you would follow them, they would be called late at night. You will be sent what is called dead agent information, meaning information that they hope you bring up that will dead agent you. Does that make sense to you?

MR. HATCHETT: Not quite.

MR. WALTERS: All right.

This is one of Hubbard's -- at the time I thought it was very clever. But -- all right.

Let's say, Mr. Hatchett, they would say, "Well, Mr. Hatchett is a black man and we followed him around, we know him pretty well now." You will be sent a letter by someone professing about how he just wants to let you know that -- how it's a great job you're doing in the city and what a member you are and everything. And one or two lines will be there: "We do not believe what" - let's say, Mr. Calderbank - "We don't believe what Mr. Calderbank says about people in your race. We just want you to know we think you're okay,"

.

8

5

7

9

10

11

18

12

14

14

17

19

21

22

28

24

Knowing that you'll read the letter and say, "Calderbank said this about me? Interesting."

And this will create dissension among you. And it's a complete program. In fact, he will be sent a letter, too.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Sir, with the -- I think you indicated that your experience has mainly been in California and also in --

MR. WALTERS: Las Vegas.

MR. SHOEMAKER: -- Las Vegas.

The organizational structure, which is set up there, of the Church, how does that relate to the rest of the structure of the organization throughout the entire world?

MR. WALTERS: Okay.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Is it different or special or what?

MR. WALTERS: No. It's exactly the same. It's a very rigid, well-run organization by L. Ron Hubbard.

The lowest is the missions that are in the cities throughout the United States. Some are in certain high places; they have the lower level tech, they have none of the espionage in it. They are very -- they look like very nice places. To get your sons and daughters

ì

2

3

7

.

7

n

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

**70** 

41

22

.

24.

in, they appear very nice.

Above that is an organization. There are orgs. in most of the major cities of the United States. The organization has the next step higher level tech. It also gets into the brainwashing concerning the paranoia of the enemies of the Church.

Above the organization, the headquarters for the United States for a long time was California, the Guardian's Office and, since 1976 up to the present time, it is at here, Flag, in Clearwater. Flag is the home of L. Ron Hubbard; it is, also, for every Scientologist, where all the orders come from.

MR. LeCHER: Okay. I want to ask you one quick question.

If Mr. Hubhard dies for any reason - let's say he dies of old age - will --

MRS. GARVEY: Will his mind leave his body?

MR. LeCHER: I don't really care about his mind leaving his body.

Do you think there might be any suicides in Clearwater because of the -- or worldwide because people that are close to him believe in him that much?

MR. WALTERS: Yes, I do. That's one of the main reasons I'm here.

I'm not telling anything new. You citizens haven't heard any of this, but, I think, the federal government is familiar with it and some of the cities are -- but one of the main reasons I'm here is because, when Hubbard leaves, he --

MR. LeCHER: All right. I've been advised this area might be a little touchy, and I may have gone too far with the questions, so I will ask you to stop answering that question because that may have something to do with faith and, therefore, we shouldn't get into that.

MR. WALTERS: Well, let me say this --

MR. LeCHER: That is not really the issue what we're here today to --

MR. WALTERS: All right.

MR. LeCHER: I think we're here, primarily, for business activities.

I would like to ask: How would you have us get this information down to the local Scientologists or the worldwide or national Scientologists, who are probably decent people and have just been taken into this? Then, I'll turn the meeting over to Mr. Calderbank to ask some questions.

MR. WALTERS: I will -- I don't know if you can.

The internal policies won't operate like that. Scientologists who are giving their money and the staff who are working at, let's say, the Fort Harrison, they cannot — it is part of technology — they cannot confront me; I'm a Suppressive. If they see or talk to me, wierd things will happen to their minds and they will

MR. LeCHER: Can they read the newspaper tomorrow?

MR. WALTERS: I don't know what the local plan is here. In Las Vegas they are told, you know, they are told to stay away from all those things because they're nothing but lies. I have not seen the plan for Fort Harrison, but I guarantee you you won't see Fort Harrison PCs at this hearing. The only ones you'll see will be Guardian's Office or Hubbard Relations people.

MR. LeCHER: RPF, what is that?

MR. WALTERS: Rehabilitation Project Force. That is a disciplinarian level. When a person is not following the written policy and intentions of L. Ron Hubbard, or they failed in any way to either get people's money or to brainwash them or handle somebody who goes to an official, he is then put in a very degraded position, which will be, like, being stripped of, you know, whatever titles he has. He has to wear dirty old clothes,

.

be doomed.

Ŭ

.

•

•

•

8

10

12

14

15

16

17.

19

20

21

28

24

a dirty rag. He must clean floors and sewers and things like that until he realizes how degraded he is. And when he does that, he is allowed, then, to come back. During that time, he is not allowed to talk to anyone; no Scientologist can talk to him. He is treated in a degraded manner; it's technology.

In other words, if you treat him in a degraded manner, he will realize he's degraded and realize how strong Hubbard is. Like I said, when I saw that television thing on Reverend Jones, I was amazed that Jones had those kids write up all -- every bad thought they had to him. There's "Dear Daddy" letters. That's the exact same phenomenon.

I'll tell you, Scientologists, after a few years, will be glad to scrub floors. I mean, it's that wierd. It's not like he thinks it's bad; he is glad to do it because "Wow, I told my bad thoughts I must have that Scientology is not doing well. I must have them, it can't be Ron. So, I must have them." And he will search until he has them or he has to make them up or they're forced on him. And that's the only way he can get back in.

MR. LeCHER: That may have something more to do with faith, and we're trying to keep away from that,

22

specifically.

Mr. Calderbank, do you have any questions?

MR. CALDERBANK: Yes.

Mr. Walters, thank you for coming. We appreciate your being here.

And I'm interested, number one: You said that, when you leave here, you'll feel threatened. And you talked about policies; one is R245 or exteriorization. Basically, that's shooting a person in the head.

Is this a policy of the Church that is read and open to most members?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. It's -- R245 is even in one of the most popular books.

MR. CALDERBANK: Would they -- many members follow this policy --

MR. WALTERS: Well --

MR. CALDERBANK: -- if either told to or induced to?

do anything that it takes.

1

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. CALDERBANK: Getting back to your fear: Artie Maren, he was also the one that was the last one to -- reputed to see Quentin Hubbard before Mr. Hubbard died mysteriously?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Did he at any time threaten you?

MR. WALTERS: Did he threaten me, Artie Maren?

MR. CALDERBANK: Yes.

MR. WALTERS: Yes, he did.

MR. CALDERBANK: Did he threaten you with your life?

MR. WALTERS: Yes, he did.

MR. CALDERBANK: And that gets down to criminal activities and policies.

Are these policies followed -- you gave a lot of examples about Las Vegas, and these are policies that are carried out and followed by members.

Are these policies in every structure set up by Scientology? Are these policies followed by Scientologists throughout the country?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

In every organization where there is a Guardian's Office, the Guardian's Office follows Guardian's Office

policy: to seek out and destroy the enemy.

MR. CALDERBANK: The structure in LA and the structure in Las Vegas and the structure in Clearwater are the same?

MR. WALTERS: Exactly.

MR. CALDERBANK: They follow the same policies?

MR. WALTERS: Exactly.

10

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

MR. CALDERBANK: One of these policies is the Fair Game Policy, and that tells you to lie, cheat, just even destroy other people.

At any time, did you take part or know of people that participated in the Fair Game Policy?

MR. WALTERS: I personally did not get involved with destroying anybody; I wouldn't do that. I heard that it's done; I persona'ly have not seen it.

But, listen, I gave people's folders to the Guardian's Office to be used against them. That's destroying them psychologically and emotionally. And let me tell you, those people never spoke up again.

MR. CALDERBANK: Were those break-ins that you discussed part of the Fair Game Policy or as a result of this policy?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

In the Guardian's Office -- Fair Game means that

you do whatever you have to do.

1

11

12

13

16

17

MR. CALDERBANK: And you've seen criminal activities and participated in these criminal activities following out policy which is the structure of the entire Church?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: Did you talk to other Scientologists and participate in groups of Scientologists that, as their goal, carried out Fair Game Policy on enemies or break-ins or other criminal activities?

MR. WALTERS: Yes, there are others who --

MR. CALDERBANK: Did you know at the time that this could be considered a conspiracy or a conspiratorial act to take away someone else's civil rights?

MR. WALTERS: I know we didn't know any of that.

MR. CALDERBANK: But it was part of the Church doctrine or policy that you followed?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Did you ever see people held against their will as part of the Church doctrine, people that may be discontent with policies of disconnect, in other words, not being able to see any member of their family or communicate with any member of their family?

MR. WALTERS: Many times.

20

24

MR. CALDERBANK: Did you ever participate in it?
MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. WALTERS: Did you ever participate in framing someone, or to give a better analogy: committing criminal acts against a person so that their credibility would be destroyed in the eyes of either the public or investigators that were looking into Scientology?

MR. WALTERS: It depends what level of framing. ] gave up folders to be used against individuals.

MR. CALDERBANK: You talked about planting dope and calling the police and, then, having the person arrested.

MR. WALTERS: I didn't do that. But it was done in Las Vegas as part of the normal Guardian's Office thing. I know of it --

MR. CALDERBANK: Can you give me one instance?
MR. WALTERS: All right.

MR. CALDERBANK: Could you give an instance at another investigation, given time to think?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Names, places?

MR. WALTERS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm willing to go to court and tell -- oh, yeah.

MR. CALDERBANK: In Las Vegas, did you see this happen at all?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes, yeah, against legislators -MR. CALDERBANK: Because of this policy, this
actual structure or corporate policy of this group, is
that the same policy that induced people to criminal
activities in LA, in Las Vegas, that you personally

MR. LeCHER: Excuse me.

witnessed and that you participated in --

I know you're asking the questions, but give him a chance to answer it and get on with it. And he may have to have recall of seven to ten years ago. So, give him a little more chance.

MR. CALDERBANK: All right.

Have you seen it, participated in it, and can you give us an example where policy induced people into criminal activities?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

I know of a new person that came in - I can't think of her name now - a young girl who was asked to plant dope on somebody. It was Susan Reed who recruited her. She was later kicked out because, apparently, she did it and, then, protested. I don't know who she laid it on.

They planted something on Otto Ravenholtz to bring publicity against him. I was not personally involved in

1

.3

.

\_

7

.

...

4 54

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

31

32

23

that. I was just listening to the set up of it.

When the Nevada legislature, in '72 or '73 - you can check the files back then - was going to -- they were thinking of licensing counselors. In other words, why should these young kids be giving so-called auditing when they had no training or there -- you know, they weren't licensed? They should be licensed counselors.

There were a few legislators or senators, whatever you call them, who were going to pass a Bill, I believe, in the Nevada legislature. The Guardian's Office started a -- following people around, investigating them. For two nights before the hearing out in Carson City, they were called day and night. There was great joy over some woman councilman, or some name like that - but she was a woman - who was driven almost to the point of hysteria because they called her day and night. It was Chuck Reese's job to do that, and he bragged about it and laughed that he didn't get any sleep, either.

10

li

12

13

15

17

21

They called this woman day and night and saying things to her, keeping her totally -- and we laughed that by the time she got to Carson City, she'd be beserk herself.

MR. CALDERBANK: You mentioned --

MR. WALTERS: These are normal activities of the

17,

.

Guardian's Office.

MR. CALDERBANK: And these activities are as a result of policy that's actually written?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

It's not given to general Scientologists; they don't see it. It's called Guardian Office Policy. You will have it -- I mean, before '76, I would see it right in the Guardian's Office there in Los Angeles, and you have it here.

But since the raid in 1976 by the FBI, they now do two things. They do -- I remember the order came down to -- vetting, which was to go through all the policy and if it said, "Make sure whenever you break in that you have a good cover," you had to cut that out of the piece of paper. So, thinking that if there was ever a raid again, it couldn't be used against them because -- a lot of the stuff that's going on now, you people wouldn't have known, no good citizen of the United States would have known it, if the FBI did not raid. It would still be going on even heavier.

Anyway -- until some of the policies looked like nothing but holes in it, because that's Guardian's Office Policy. The average Scientologist doesn't see any of this. In fact, there are people in the Guardian's Office

who don't see it. The Intelligence people see it all; the top people in the GO see it. But some of the newer - especially, since '76, because I was in on the operation, some of the people, like, in the PR section, the guys that will come and talk to the mayor -- when a young fellow comes and talks to the mayor, he himself probably really doesn't believe any of this did occur. And honestly, you know --

MR. FLYNN: Mr. Calderbank, when every Commissioner has finished his questioning in a particular area - I'm not saying that you should discontinue your questioning - what I will do is introduce the policy into evidence; it's a written document of the Church of Scientology. And most of the policies, if not all, that are testified about we have here, including, for instance, the Fair Game Doctrine which we put on the easel.

And we will introduce these policies. In some cases, we'll put them on the overhead projector as time allows, for instance, the policy dealing with framing and harrassing, the actual drills the Guardian's Office operative went through, as Mr. Walters knows. We'll be giving you the pieces of paper that the GO used.

MR. WALTERS: There are -- let me mention something to show you how the tech fits into this.

3

5

0

.

9

10

12

18

14.

15

.

. .

l9

20

21

22 .

23

•

MR. CALDERBANK: Okay. And tech is technology?

MR. WALTERS: Technology. And why I tell you
this -- the tech is very guarded and they're very proud
of it.

I watched Guardian's Office people sit through what they told me was reporter TR. It was how to lie to a reporter.

MR. CALDERBANK: Is that TR 1, Training Routine 1?

MR. WALTERS: Yeah. There is -- now, there's

comp courses, a routine, that they give the public called

TR 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4.

The Guardian's Office had their own TRs that are used to lie to officials, to lie at hearings, to lie to a reporter. I watched them actually drill. I watched Chuck Reese, you know, say to Madeline Reese -- his job was to act like a reporter, which they didn't want people to even see. You know, a reporter would go:

"All right. We know that you're connected with the criminals and we know that you're not a religion; isn't that true?" And Madeline Reese would have to sit there and say, "We are an applied religious philosophy,"

MR. CALDERBANK: Whatever the scenario -- MR. WALTERS: Yeah, everything.

•

4

6

\_

Ģ.

10

12

18 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

And if she flinched or broke up or started to feel bad, he would flunk her. And she had to do it until she could do it like that.

MR. CALDERBANK: Mr. Flynn, do you have a copy of that Training Routine that teaches -- that Scientologists go through to lie to government officials, to the press, to the DA, whatever group is --

MR. FLYNN: Yes, we do.

MR. CALDERBANK: And you'll enter that into evidence?

MR. FLYNN: If you'd like to have it now -- what I was going to do, in order to create some orderliness --

MR. LeCHER: To preserve orderliness and keep order, I'd rather you just asked questions and, then, he will have certain things that he will present in his orderly way rather than have a hodgepodge of information that we cannot --

MR. FLYNN: What I'm a little bit concerned about is: There are so many documents, and interrupting the flow of the testimony -- so, if the witness can get most of his testimony out, then, we'll start putting documents in.

MR. LeCHER: The Chair is running the meeting.
MR. CATDERBANK: Thank you.

So, what you're establishing is that there are Training Routines that you go through, or procedures, policies, that you follow, that teach you how to lie under oath?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: And this is commonly done?

MR. WALTERS: In the Guardian's Office, yes. Not among normal Scientologists, no.

MR. CALDERBANK: You talked about casing and other criminal activities. Were you taught how to do this?

I know that you said that you were a casino person and that you were well-educated and, now, looking back, you never would have thought you'd have done that.

Without the policies that were written down, would you have committed criminal acts or participated in them that you say you have?

MR. WALTERS: That's a very good question, a really good one. Absolutely not. I'm not going to -- I grew up in New York City; I was a pool player, a guy of the streets, you know; I was a casino executive. I'm not -- let's say I'm not a virgin.

If Chuck Reese or some Guardian's Office guy
wanted to do some things on his own, there's no way I
would do it. This came from Hubbard, written, shown to

23

21

10

11

12

18

15

16

l

me. At that time I was proud of it. I thought that it was technology which would destroy you people and let Scientology clear the planet.

MR. CALDERBANK: How did you learn to case a building or burglarize them?

MR. WALTERS: Well, Chuck Reese told me to go down there to -- I was to, first, walk around the place and look for anybody that was suspicious or -- then, I came back to see him.

Then, I was asked to go into the Better Rusiness Bureau and ask them for, I forget -- about something else. And I was to use that - and it's very clever - hecause they would think of the thing I was asking for, like, let's say, a popcorn company. I'd ask lots of questions about the popcorn company and, then, just gradually say, "Oh, yeah, I have a friend of mine in Scientology. Do you know anything about that?" And to see what he said.

Then, while I was there, I was to memorize the place, where the files were and stuff, and bring that back to him.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, you were told by a superior of this group, Scientology, how to commit criminal acts

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: -- to case a joint, then,

participate in it?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: Were you aware at any time that there was a policy or is a policy that tells you how to burglarize?

MR. WALTERS: No. 1 mean, I'm sure there is. I did not myself see it.

MR. CALDERBANK: Okay, on to the auditing: You said that you came in, and being a casino executive, you understand risk and considerations, you know, getting something back for your money.

Now, you said you spent \$35 to \$40,000.00 in this organization, which is your choice. But were you given any guarantees? There must have been some consideration.

Did they tell you, specifically, that auditing was scientific, auditing would cure your back ailments, auditing would cure Ed Walters' stomach ailments? Did they say that? And based on that, did you give them money?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

Not only did they tell me that they were going to co all that, I was then trained to do that to others.

MR. CALDERBANK: What were you trained to do?

MR. WALTERS: I was a registrar, a person who signs

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

people up for auditing. I was trained to find out their illnesses, ailments. It's called their ruin. Ruin means what they think is ruining them. And then, we are told to tell them "We can handle that. We have the technology to do so."

MR. CALDERBANK: So, I come in and I'm having migraine headaches. What do you do?

MR. WALTERS: I would say to you --

MR. CALDERBANK: I have a thousand dollars.

MR. WALTERS: I would say questions like, "Mr.

Calderbank, so you have migraine headaches? It sort of hurts your job?" "Yes." "Is it making you worrisome?"

"Yeah." "Well, would you say it's making you more able or less able?" In other words, "Is this the thing that's stopping you from being all the things you want to be?"

"Yes." "And if you did not have migraine headaches, would you be a stronger, more able person?" Of course, you'd say, "Yes." "Well, we have the technology to handle that."

And it even goes further than that. I would, by listening to you, find out if you were medically inclined and move you into Dianetics. If you wanted to be spiritually inclined or do super tricks, like, walk on water and all that stuff, then, I would move you

1

24

18 -

19

20

21

into Scientology.

MR. CALDEREANK: What you're telling me, then and I don't want to paraphrase you -- you just told me that, if I had a large sum of money, you would guarantee me, as a policy - and they taught you to do this - you would guarantee me that my ills would be cured --

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. CALDERBANK: -- or --

MR. WALTERS: The exact word is "handled."

MR. CALDERBANK: -- handled?

And if I was medically inclined, you would tell me that it was a technology or something based on scientific proof?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: If I was religious in nature -you would tell me anything I want to hear; is that what you're telling me?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: You did this?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: And you did it --

MR. WALTERS: I did it many, many times.

MR. CALDERBANK: All right.

MR. WALTERS: I mean, it's one or the reasons I'm

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

"

.

here. I personally have brought hundreds into Scientology. I mean, I'm not very proud of what I did. Some of them are still in and are not allowed to talk to mo. If they see me, they walk down — they have to walk down the other side of the street because they'll be an enemy of the Church.

MR. CALDERBANK: You keep talking about technology. What were you told when you entered Scientology? What is auditing?

MR. WALTERS: I was told that auditing was the scientific practice that Hubbard had devised, as a scientist and as an engineer and a nuclear physicist. He had found the true cause of illness and could scientifically explain it and, by the courses, I would learn it: scientific explanation and theories concerning communication and the mind. And the source of all aberration is in the mind. And that by putting my hand by putting myself in the hands of an auditor, a Scientologist, he would ask me questions that would elicit answers from me, and this would scientifically handle my illnesses and I would attain, eventually, clear.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, since you were technically oriented, they told you it was scientific?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yeah. I mean --

MR. CALDERBANK: And you gave them \$30 or \$40,000.00 based on this claim?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Now, you know, I don't understand it. Why did you believe it? Why did you believe Mr. Hubbard's auditing, Scientology auditing, would cure your ills? You said they guaranteed --

MR. LeCHER: Is that a belief, sir?

MR. CALDERBANK: I'm talking about a guaranteed contract.

MR. FLYNN: Maybe you can rephrase it and say, "What did you rely on? What representations did you specifically rely on as a matter of fact or observable fact?"

MR. CALDERBANK: What did you rely on for that? What did you rely on --

MRS. GARVEY: To take the next course.

MR. CALDERBANK: -- to take the next course? And what did you rely on to give --

MR. WALTERS: I relied on --

MR. CALDERBANK: -- \$40,000.00? What did you --

MR. WALTERS: I relied on what they told me, that

L. Ron Hubbard was a nuclear physicist, an engineer, a

scientist, a Doctor of Philosophy, who had studied

23

24

extensively the mind, the source of aberration of illness And if I learned this technology and practiced it, I would become clear, free of all illness, et cetera. And if I took the courses to train how to do this, I could do this to others. And I did it.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, they represented to you -- and the reason you paid is because they claimed that someone had devised this with research that had numerous philosophical and scientific degrees?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: Would you have paid \$40,000.00 if this wasn't the case?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely not.

MR. LeCHER: Excuse me.

I'd like to have Mr. Berfield to get a chance to speak, too, because we are running out of time, and I want to give everybody some time.

So, Mr. Berfield -- if you don't mind yielding?

MR. CALDERBANK: Just one more question: As a member of the Commission, you said that they would try to come between us --

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: -- spread rumors so that, perhaps, I would be upset with Mrs. Garvey, they would find what

	1	she wants to hear, and that Mr. Hatchett would become
	2	upset with me?
***	3	MR. WALTERS: Dead agent operations, yes.
	•	MR. CALDERBANK: Is this done throughout
-	5	MR. WALTERS: Yes.
	6	MR. CALDERBANK: Scientology?
	7	MR. WALTERS: Yes, in all Guardian's Office
	8	activities; yes.
	9	MR. CALDERBANK: Go ahead, Mr. Berfield.
1	ıo	MR. LeCHER: Mr. Berfield.
- ]	11	MR. BERFIELD: Mr. Walters, if I understand your
ا. ا	12	testimony thus far, you admitted or stated that you'd
	13	lied and cheated, stolen, just about committed every
1	14	crime
l 	5	MR. WALTERS: Well, I wouldn't go that far.
] '	16	MR. BERFIELD: short of murder.
ï	17	We appreciate your coming here today. But the
	18	question that goes through my mind is: Why should we
	19	now take what you're telling us as being the truth?
	50	MR. 'ALTERS: That's a good question.
	21	All I can tell you is what I know to be true and
	12	I know that it's very unbelievable. I feel like the
	23	guy if one of Jones' people had spoken up, he would
2	<b>!4</b>	have looked as much like a fool as I am. I mean, only

later did you find out that he was homosexual, making his own laws up -- well, I'm in the same boat. And luckily, Scientologists are leaving now; the fear of the Guardian's Office is starting to drop and, more importantly, they can speak up.

MR. BERFIELD: I have a couple of basic questions but they encompass quite a bit of territory - one is:
You make reference to certain situations, but can you
be more specific as to time and place? You make reference
to a legislator, you make reference to somebody who
planted dope.

I guess what I'm saying, as an adversary, is I can look at that and say, "Well, you can come in here and dream up any story you wanted to and tell us that it's true." But what do we have, or what can we look at, or who else can we call into this hearing to substantiate what you're telling us?

MR. WALTERS: Well, I think you're going to hear some people -- there are documents that are available to verify everything I'm saying; there are others who will verify what I'm saying. And then, you judge for yourselves.

MR. FLYNN: If I may just interject: What may be helpful is a continuous series of questions about

a specific event and specific time or place about a particular act; that may be very helpful.

MR. BERFIELD: Okay. Well, I'm going to come to that.

There's one other thing that I'm not too sure about: You talk about policy - and like any corporate policy - how does one know that it's adhered to or condoned all the way up and down the line, that some zealous person some way down the line just doesn't say, "Gee, I'm going to make a name for myself in Scientology and I'm going to do this," and they do go off half cocked, and the Scientologists did not, in fact, condone them?

MR. WALTERS: Well, I'm familiar with the top officials in the Church; I know some of the eleven that have been indicted and convicted. And they're the ones intimately involved in this. The people that I was involved with took orders from them. And these people, like Bruce Raymond, worked directly for L. Ron Hubbard.

I mean, how high do you -- I mean, Scientology would like to say that an individual did this on his own. But I can tell you - and you are taught quickly - you do not do anything, anything, unless you see it in writing by L. Ron Hubbard.

б

۱u

.

.

MR. BERFIELD: I'm going to come back to counsel's point there and ask you to give me a specific event, but I have another question here: Mr. Calderbank did an excellent job of asking you about your signing up for these courses and what they represented to you.

When you signed up for them, was there any disclaimer or anything like that? Did you sign any waivers or anything along that line? Or did they just say, "We're going to cure your illness"?

MR. WALTERS: Well, it's not like that. It's more like I did with Mr. Calderbank. You find out what's wrong with you and, then, you say, "Yes, we can handle that. We have the technology to totally handle that."

MR. BERFIELD: But you don't sign anywaivers against Scientology --

MR. WALTERS: Now, it all depends what year. See, Scientology puts out policy related to the latest thing that the country investigates.

In the early days, no. Then, when the government started looking into the non-profit status, they changed the word from "payment" to "donation," because donation sounded more like a Church. Then, in the later years, they started just exactly that thing. The Better Business Bureau, the government, and the city officials

.

wanted to know -- people were complaining that they spent thousands and thousands and never got anywhere and couldn't get their money back.

So, now, you had to sign a thing saying that —

I know this sounds wierd — you're getting auditing but

Scientology has nothing to do with it. I know it sounds

wierd. And that when you sign your story at the end,

you actually sign a thing that L. Ron Hubbard has nothing

to do with what you did and that Scientology is not held

in any way responsible for whatever occurs.

But you are told to do this. And if you don't do this, you don't go to your next step.

MR. BERFIELD: Along this line, the books and the courses that you're talking about, do you know firsthand whether they are being taught here in Clearwater and the books can be purchased here in Clearwater?

MR. WALTERS: All the books can be purchased here in Clearwater; all the policy is here in Clearwater. The highest GO and covert activity and policy is here in Clearwater. Although, I would bet it's very safe -- it's not what I think you would find there. They're not -- they're not dumb.

MR. BERFTELD: Coming back to one thing, if you could, could you walk us through - and this is the reason

J

I asked counsel earlier whether you had any immunity on this, a particular criminal event that you may have been involved in personally and you have firsthand knowledge of?

MR. WALTERS: All right.

The latest flap meeting, you know, what was going on in the Guardian's Office was that the mental health people in Las Vegas were meeting, talking about doing something about Scientology.

MR. BERFIELD: This would be during what year? Can you give us --

MR. WALTERS: Oh, this was, I would say, in 1972, in late 1972.

Chuck Reese, from his folders -- he has folders on most of the city officials and public people. He had been following these people and he knew that they met.

It was first public and, then, they were going to hold closed conferences at Rosa de Lima Hospital in Henderson, Nevada, which is about thirty miles outside of Las Vegas, which is, you know, an hour's drive.

Chuck Reese, through orders from Los Angeles, the Guardian's Office, was told to go there and to bug those meetings and get all the evidence that they could.

MR. BERFIELD: Excuse me, if I interrupt: Do you

know this firsthand?

MR. WALTERS: He told it to me. He said -- all right, I'll tell you exactly how it is. He called me in, I sat down, and he said, "Eddie, listen, I got a new ops." - ops. means operations - "a new ops. from LA. Now, they're going to hold closed meetings, these Suppressives, and they're going to meet at the Rosa de Lima Hospital, which is a hospital run by the nuns in Henderson. They're going to hold their meetings there, closed meetings. And we've got to go up and case the place and plant some bugs to listen in on the meetings."

And so, I went with him to Rosa de Lima Hospital two or three days before this. We had a whole plan of going in, pretending to be sick, just to, you know, get into the hospital. It turned out we didn't need any of it. We walked right through the place; it was on the ground floor. If I remember, you walk in, you walk to the right, all the way down there was a large meeting office with a large table in it with a whole bunch of chairs around it.

And Chuck Reese planted a tape recording device in those days, you know, tape recorders, even small ones, were very big - with tape and stuff right under the table.

21

22

23

24

1

2

9

5

6

.

I left with him; we went back to Las Vegas. I told my wife about it, you know, I said, "Things were getting hairy again," hairy, meaning "There's a new flap and the GO tech is going in. And I, you know, I can't leave the casino and end up with something worse than the casino, but I want to do whatever it is for Scientology"

We talked it over and we thought that it was okay to help them in any way and not get directly involved. So, then, that day I didn't go back with him, again.

But I was in the office many times when he was typing up all the minutes or the conversations at the meeting of all the commissioners and city officials, and they would laugh about it, you know. They take what you guys say as very funny, you know. And --

MR. BERFIELD: Sometimes it is.

MR. WALTERS: Yeah.

And they sent all this to the Las Vegas Guardian's Office -- to the United States Guardian's Office in Los Angeles.

MR. BERFIELD: One other one that Mr. Calderbank hit on: Do you have any firsthand knowledge of scmething that I would call kidnapping, holding or retaining somebody against their will? By "firsthand," you knew the

person, you were there, you saw him, and it wasn't that somebody told you it happened.

MR. WALTERS: Yeah.

Oh, in Ethics, I've been personally involved in probably fourteen or fifteen of them, where some local PC on line, meaning a person getting auditing — brand new, he's in the early stages; he's not totally brain-washed, but he's sort of — he's still into wanting to handle whatever illness he has. They haven't made him — they haven't made him a soldier of Hubbard, yet.

All right, he's having trouble with his family, and Ethics is having trouble with him. And he comes to me because I'm the high technical person. You use mental tech on him to sort of -- and Ethics would hold him in a room, and they hold him there and do not let him out of the building for sometimes hours, sometimes days. They hold him there until he is handleable, meaning he has quieted down, subdued, given some counseling designed to do - I've don't it myself - designed to do two things: one, to make him feel bad and up his -- why he is attacking the organization. That's called security checking. Two, is to find out who he's been talking to and, doing that thing, you find out who his parents have been talking to, to what lawyer they probably were

going to go.

3 -

•

And that evidence goes directly to the Guardian's Office to be handled. I participated in quite a few of them.

MR. BERFIELD: Do you know firsthand whether any of this has ever happened in Clearwater?

MR. WALTERS: Well, not being here at Clearwater, I can't say that. I've spoken to people who have been to Clearwater who have spent \$80, \$90, to \$100,000.00 and are still sick, still ill, and defrauded.

I -- but not being here, you know, I never did services in Clearwater.

MR. BERFIELD: I don't mean to sound critical, but you sound like a man that's what I used to call streetwise. And if you are streetwise: In your own mind you did not think you were committing a crime when you were doing all these things?

MR. WALTERS: All right. It's a good question. Why would Eddie Walters do that for Scientology?

One, I was in the casinos all my life. I started out in poolrooms, but I went to school and went to the casinos, so I was like what you call streetwise.

I was, at that time in my life, looking for a better way of life. I wanted a more moral way of life. Working

in casinos, to be frank with you, is not the most moral thing you can do. It's not as bad as you might read, you know, but it's not the most fulfilling thing you can do. I was, just right at that time in my life, I was looking for a better way of life.

I wanted to sort of -- how can I help my fellow man? And at the same time, I wanted to be -- so, I was just right -- right at the time. And I was told Hubbard had the technology to help his fellow man. And I'll tell you quite frankly I was glad to do it. I'll tell you, the original talks you get would appeal to your son, if you had one, or daughter. They don't get the bad people, I'm telling you; they get the good people. These kils on staff are not the gorillas; that's the GO.

The average kid on staff is working over there at Fort Harrison fourteen hours a day for nothing. He's a hard-working young man trying to help his fellow man.

MR. BERFIELD: If you had to define yourself in, let's use, a corporate organization, and using Mr. Hubbard as the president of that organization, where would you put yourself in that chain of command?

MR. WALTERS: In the chain of command, I would be in the -- if it was a business organization, I would be in the product division, meaning having to do with the

dispensing of the sales of the product. And I would be, 1 probably, like a chief technician of that; I would probably be director of production, if you would think of a business organization. MR. BERFIELD: So, you would have knowledge as 5 to their, let's call it, marketing and the way they б paid their bills? MR. WALTERS: That's right. MR. BERFIELD: Now, there's been a lot of testimony about dollars here. How do these young people 10 pay their bills? Was there promissory notes or security 11 agreements or anything like that they'd sign? 12 MR. WALTERS: On staff or public? 13 MN: BERFIELD: Well --14 MR. WALTERS: I'll explain both. MR. LeCHER: I'd like you to explain the free-16 loader's debt. 17 MR. WALTERS: Freeloader's debt? 18 MR. LeCHER: And -- I think that's what he's 19 getting at. 20 MR. WALTERS: All right. 21 A staff member comes in, starts out like I did. 22 They tried to get me on staff many times, officially 23 But because I was made an outside intelligence

on staff.

.

l

•

agent, I didn't go on staff. Do you see that?

So, a person will go on staff and they say, "Do you realize you don't have to pay to get your auditing? You can become one of Ron's people and we will give you all this." Well, man, for a young kid who doesn't have a job, doesn't have any money, it sounds very good.

He slowly gets in, and the auditing he gets is all invoiced to him, meaning, every time he goes -- gets a session from somebody like me, he is charged for that at the highest rates there are, and he's charged fully. And this keeps adding up.

this. In fact, he has to pay this plus penalties.

That's just financial; that has nothing to do with Fair

Game or R245 and all that, just financial. He is told

he has a freeloader's debt, meaning "You came in here and

freeloaded off of us. We treated you nicely. All you

did was work fourteen hours a day, and now you want to

leave? Well, we're going to charge you for all of that."

They'll even charge him for when he washed the floor.

And it's -- they will give him the biggest bill he can

get. It's technically done to make sure that he does

not go back into society. So, he gets a freeloader's

debt.

And I know many, many people -- I know a person in Las Vegas who left Las Vegas, left -- they handled him technically and made him feel bad and repent. He paid them close to \$30,000.00 just to get back in. I mean, this sounds -- and there are people all over the United States and, especially, people who have been to Clearwater who are now all over the United States paying Fort Harrison money so, if they pay all the freeloader's debt, they might be allowed to come back here.

MR. BERFIELD: Let's stay with that point a minute.

If I understand you correctly, there have been people who have been in Clearwater operating under this freeloader's debt. Are you personally aware of these people?

MR. WALTERS: Yes, I know some people.

MR. BERFIELD: And do you know that they worked here under these conditions?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes.

MR. BERFIELD: And that they owe the Church -- or the Scientologists this money?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. BERFIELD: And you've talked to them about this?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

I believe - I don't know of everything that's happening here - I believe you might even meet one or two.

I'm not telling you -- I know what I'm saying to you is hard to believe, but I just happen to be the lead off witness. And I know it sounds a little nutty.

MR. BERFIELD: There are a couple of just --

MR. FLYNN: There will be a great deal of evidence on those issues.

MR. WALTERS: If I'm lying -- I'll tell you this, Mr. Berfield, if I'm lying, you'll know it in the four days.

MR. BERFIELD: There are a couple of other names that I have here: Cooper, Don Cooper, and a Broderick.

MR. WALTERS: Yeah.

MR. BERFIELD: How do they fit in the ball game?

MR. WALTERS: Nice people that you've got here in Clearwater. No, I shouldn't say that.

Let me give you an example how the tech works. I leave the Church, right, I go to the FBI. A lot of Scientologists around me -- because I've been looked up to and respected, most of the OTs and Scientologists around me all immediately left, too, because we would all

22

TO A

1

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

talk and we knew this thing was getting psychotic.

know, help us in any way he could, you know, and "This is terrible" and -- although, I wondered why he didn't want to go to the FBI's office. We thought -- he said he was scared. He was with us day and night. He would come into my home and we would talk and laugh, because, as silly as it sounds - you know, I feel a lot better now - when we first got out, we were scared for our lives. And if we didn't laugh, we would cry, you know; it's that type of thing. He would laugh with us.

So, this went along, you know, and, finally, we got hold of some lawyers, Mr. Flynn here. And we felt that, eventually, this will come to the public, what needs to be done. Now, what exactly happened to -- oh. So, two people also found out that things were being done against ae. See, while I'm outside now, the technology that -- operations were starting against me: following me, harrassing me.

One of the girls leaves the Guardian's Office, because she knew Eddie Walters to be a decent guy. "He may not agree with everything we're doing, but he's a decent guy." They're painting me as a Xeno; I'm the implant=r, and I'm evil, and I'm a criminal, and I'm no

.

.

good, and I'm out to destroy religion, and I'm connected to the FBI and Interpol, you know, all this nonsense.

And they were asking her to do things that she didn't feel good about.

She left, came to me, and do you know what she told me? "Eddie, you've got plants on you." I said, "No. Listen, I've got my friends and I'll say anything I want to my friends." "Eddie, hey, this guy comes back with stories and wierd" -- and she described him to me. It's Don Cooper.

We have since found out -- well, I'll give you an example. I just had this deposition in -- and the lawyers -- see, they're suing me. They do depositions which really is to find out what I know; they do depositions. Their lawyer turns out to have stuff that Don Cooper has stolen from my home, you know. I mean, they turned him into -- from a Scientologist into an intelligence agent. And he was a nice guy. I mean, we were with him every day.

He is right now in Clearwater receiving, I believe, further training. We know a person in Las Vegas that talked to him a few months ago.

MR. LeCHER: What about Bill Broderick, since you're on that?

MR. WALTERS: All right.

A girl, Tonja Burden her name is, she used to be an aide to Ron Hubbard: dress him, take care of him. She left and, obviously, can tell the inside story on Hubbard. She was, again, harrassed, you know, all the things I went through.

When this Guardian's Office girl left -- should I tell them her name?

MR. FLYNN: She'll be testifying; her name is Janie Peterson.

MR. WALTERS: All right; when she left, she told us the operations against me and against Tonja Burden. And we said that Tonja Burden has a lawsuit in this.

"Eddie, they're planting a person in her apartment.

They have a person next to her they brought in from Phoenix. They have a guy who's with her all the time.

In fact, he's with you guys." I said, "Who is it?"

"Bill Broderick."

And she's right. Bill Broderick used to come around. His job was to get everything he could on Tonja Burden, create dead agenting, you know, tell me that Tonja Burden didn't like me or I didn't -- you know, start all this stuff.

I believe he is in Clearwater at the moment. I saw

24

ı

б

7

8

10

11

12

18

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

go out and -day of this gentleman. A 10 11 12 13 that --14 MR. WALTERS: Yeah. 15 MR. BERFIELD: Okay. 16 17 18 19 20 Commissioners wish to --22 23

his name in a publication where he had just completed a course here. So, he's receiving further training to

MR. BERFIELD: I could go on and ask questions all

If I understand your testimony correctly, then, the courses that are being presented in Clearwater now, in your estimation -- there is misrepresentation in the presenting of those courses; is that what you're saying?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. BERFIELD: And then, there's fraud and deceit the further you go in and get into these courses; is

MR. LeCHER: We're going to break in a minute, and may your witness return, too, just in case we'd like to ask him any more questions?

MR. FLYNN: He'll be available as long as the

MR. LeCHER: All right.

I just have one quickie: I've heard that the -they've changed their spots, they're no longer doing what they did do, that now they're good guys, and they

2

4

J

7

\_

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

denounce -- renounce the leaders that have been convicted.

To your knowledge, is that true? Have they changed their spots, and are they now a different organization than they were in 1979?

MR. WALTERS: No, they're not different. There's evidence that's documented with the things they've been doing to me just recently. They will have a PR thing put out by Artie Maren and Hebert Jentz that will put a new face on it. But I guarantee you covert operations will, in fact, increase.

MR. LeCHER: Decrease or increase?

MR. WALTERS: Increase.

MR. LeCHER: Increase.

MR. WALTERS: When I went to the FBI, the normal person, like you, might say, "Well, I guess they won't bother you now." That's when Cooper was put on me. It increases.

In fact, the increased surveillance or harrassing of me will start even more now.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

Mr. Shoemaker has one quick question, then, we'll take a break.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mr. Walters, if I might ask, during the break, if you would have a chance -- are you

1 familiar with the Introduction to Scientology Ethics --MR. WALTERS: I've studied it thoroughly. MR. SHOEMAKER: If you might look at this relating to the high crimes, in section -- on 49 and, possibly, just consider those and maybe mention those to the City Commissioners when they come back --MR. WALTERS: Yes. MR. SHOEMAKER: -- as to how they relate to corporate policy? 10 MR. WALTERS: Yes. 11 MR. SHOEMAKER: Thank you. 12 MR. LeCHER: And when you joined, were you told 13 that this information was in the Guardian's Office and 14 that it would be used against you? 15 MR. WALTERS: Sir? 16 MR. LeCHER: In your folder --17 MR. WALTERS: Oh, no. They told me that anything 18 I tell in auditing --19 MR. LeCHER: Is confidential? 20 MR. WALTERS: -- is totally confidential. And in fact, they said it was protected by the government. 22 MR. LeCHER: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, thank you. We'll take a break and return around two o'clock.

l

The meeting is in recess.

(Whereupon, the luncheon recess was taken.)

## Afternoon Session

MR. LeCHER: Commissioners and staff, please take your seats. We are going to wait a few minutes to keep right on schedule, and we will again start at two o'clock, which means we have a few minutes left. Before we do start, however, I will remark that people in the audience are acting like perfect gentlemen and gentleladies and not getting out of hand, and giving the witness his due, and allowing the Commission to do their job, too.

I won't read the opening statement again because I read it earlier today. I'm sure everyone understands the purpose of these hearings and exactly what we're doing here. Okay.

So, welcome back to those who are watching at home. We are in the second half of our first day of meetings — public hearings with reference to Scientology. We have heard the first witness, Mr. Ed Walters, who was a high ranking official in the Church of

Scientology. We also heard some opening remarks from our Consultant, Mr. Michael Flynn.

Right now we would like to again address questions directly to Mr. Walters, and after that we will ask Mr. Flynn to show some documents on the screen, and then we will get back to our second witness, which is Mr. DeWolfe. We may not have time to conclude with Mr. DeWolfe today -- or finish with him. So, therefore, if that has happened, we will ask him to come back tomorrow morning.

Again, for those who are watching, we may be asking the same questions in may ways -- different Commissioners may be asking the same questions in different ways. We are doing this by design, for the most part, trying to establish a record -- for the record, the activities that relate to the Church of Scientology in general and, specifically, those in Clearwater.

I'll just start.

## EDWARD WALTERS, Resumed.

MR. LeCHER: Mr. Walters, what is this OP sheet?

Can you tell me about that? How many people see it?

MR. WALTERS: All right.

In the Guardian's Office, everything is done to exact orders. There is an order out and there is a

10

20

21

22

compliance. Hubbard designed what he calls a checksheet that lists -- if you have an operations, we'll say, to follow a commissioner, it would -- put at the top of it, the purpose for this. It would say step by step the exact duties, you know, first, like, "Correctly identify commissioner; establish residence." Then, there'd be a blank of who is to do this, specifically.

And when that person does it, there is a point where they sign it off, that they have completed in full compliance. On orders given by Hubbard, that shows compliance.

And at every step of the operation, this is done. It's usually a white piece of paper, listing every step from the surveillance all the way through whatever the operation was. Every step is listed, who is responsible, and the person who does it signs it off.

MR. LeCHER: How many people see this, this --

MR. WALTERS: Very few. Only the people in the Intelligence Bureau of the Guardian's Office, the higher officials of the Church, such as, in Los Angeles or Clearwater.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

What exactly is a routing form?

MR. WALTERS: A routing form is a form designed to

take you step by step through the organization. There are all different kinds of routing forms. There is a brand new persons' routing form. If you came in for the first time, the reason that you would be hooked so well is because every step has been laid out. You would first talk to the registrar; the registrar will sign that off. The registrar, then, will find you a room, see what's wrong with you -- all the way through.

Now, the Guardian's Office routing form -- when they complete an operations, that is routed back up the lines, the communication lines, meaning from the Guardian's Office in whatever city back to their senior, which would usually be Los Angeles; Los Angeles would rout it to Flag, which is Clearwater, Florida.

MR. LeCHER: You indicated you -- earlier, that you may have -- they have a dossier?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. LeCHER: Is this routing form or this sheet -would that also be used as a dossier or a threat to
blackmail, et cetera?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

In fact, usually, the first step of any operations is "Start Folder." It sounds silly, but the guy's told that, literally, "Open a folder, put your name on it."

There's no step that's missed.

Q

\_

a

.

2(

MR. LeCHER: If everyone knows this, then, why do you do it? You seem to perpetuate your own downfall. And who can you trust in the organization? And if you can't trust, then, how and why is the organization so successful?

MR. WALTERS: Very good question, very good.

I'll be honest with you: When I used to get mad at something, I used to tell them what you just said.

I was on an operations with Chuck Reese one time and I saw him typing it up and sending it up the lines, which now would mean Los Angeles and Clearwater, but at that time it was just Los Angeles or to England. And I said, "You've got to be crazy. You're going to write all this stuff? And this is nuts." But he said, "Ron gives an order, he wants compliance."

It's a great honor, see, to sign your name that you have complied with Ron's order. I mean, it's like points. I mean, it's -- "I followed three commissioners today." You're in, man. You can get a new typewriter or whatever you request that you need, you're liable to get it because you -- and Ron is very shrewd. He doesn't want his GO members saying "I did this or I did that." He wants proof, and very interestingly enough he

has proof. He can look at these operations and compliance orders and he knows how hard you're working.

But you're right, sir, it is their downfall.

MR. LeCHER: I don't know -- if you can't trust your enemies, how can you trust your friends if your friends and your enemies are potentially the same people?

The telex that's used to distribute confidential files and criminal activities to various cities and groups: Can you tell us about the telex?

MR. WALTERS: There is a telex system that is extensive throughout Scientology. Every major org., organization, has a telex. When they deliver messages to Los Angeles, which are then sent to England, they're also sent to wherever L. Ron Hubbard is. For the last few years, they were sent to Clearwater.

Now, what is sent on the telexes is: The end of every week the stats for every division are sent. You know, like, "Ten people in, \$30,000.00 raised, two people completed course, two went on to their next course," all these types of stats. Ron was very into that. It means statistics. And you actually had a graph.

The Guardian's Office uses the telex system in a coded manner. They have an elaborate code to send back

4

3

\_

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

\_ .

questions concerning operations or whatever they're doing, and only the GO person knows the code and has -in the last few years, they would send coded messages to Clearwater and Los Angeles. MR, LeCHER: Okay. How do you think they're going to react -- you answered this earlier, so I'll pass it. So, the telex commands -- does the telex command criminal activities to other cities and other states? MR. WALTERS: Yes. 10 MR. LeCHER: Can you be given a job to do, some-11 thing like to move to Clearwater and commit an illegal 12 act from California or vice versa? 13 MR. WALTERS: Yes, if it's a -- when you're 14 talking about planting dope and things like that --15 MR. LeCHER: Tell me about planting dope. 16 MR. WALTERS: -- those things are not written 17 down on paper; they are in code. Those are sent by 18 19 coded telexes. MR. LeCHER: All right. Well, where would they get the dope to plant, 21 22 then? MR. WALTERS: I don't know. One of the higher 23

24

Guardian's Office operatives, who, I'm sure has been

here
with
of the
handl
I'm s
time
dope
astou

here to Clearwater -- I don't know if you're familiar with the name; his name is Bruce Raymond. He's one of the -- he works for the Hubbards personally and handles the most secret, sensitive matters, so that I'm sure he would have been sent to Clearwater at one time or another. He specifically asked me to get some dope to put on -- I'll be very specific; it's going to astound some people in Scientology right now. Their names are Bruce and Danielle Harrell. They own a mission here, I believe, in Florida somewhere. I think they're still in.

At that time the GO was very suspicious of them. And they wanted to plant dope on them and have the police raid the place because they thought they were squirreling, meaning they were doing tech not written by L. Ron Hubbard.

MR. LeCHER: Is this --

MR. WALTERS: Anyway -- let me just finish this.

He asked me for the dope and, even though I started in a poolroom and I worked in a casino - I'm forty-four, and at my age we didn't fool with drugs the way they do today - I told him I wouldn't know where to get it. But I have reason to believe, from the gossip I heard in the Guardian's Office, that they

drcgred doing that; they did something else.

MR. LeCHER: Were you led to believe that they grow or raise their own or do they buy on the open market or they're dealing in it?

MR. WALTERS: Well, to be frank with you, the majority of young men coming in, including the daughters and citizens of the people here in Clearwater -- drugs is a very prevalent thing among young people today. Once a person has a drug history -- and remember, that history is going to be in his files, isn't it, his confessional files? The confessional files go to the Ethics Office, the Ethics Office goes to the Guardian's Office. The Guardian's Office can easily pull one of them in and do the same thing they did with me, you know, "In order to help Scientology, do you think you can get any drugs," you know.

So, in today's market, it's not a hard thing to do.

MR. LeCHER: I thought that one of the beliefs not beliefs - but one of the ways to be a good person
would be not to take dope or alcohol or depend on any
foreign substance in your system or in your body as a
Scientologist?

MR. WALTERS: That has been -- when you get

auditing, that is one of the technical things that must occur: that you must give up all drugs or alcohol or something like that. In fact, I would say that it's one of the good things about Scientology. I've seen many people come in who are drug addicts who gave up drugs just to get some counseling or auditing from me in Scientology.

MR. LeCHER: Are the Guardian's -- is the Guardian's Office explained to the group, the duties of the Guardian's Office, that, indeed, they do exist, why they exist?

MR. WALTERS: Their duties?

MR. LeCHER: Yes.

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes, there's two forms. There is --

MR. LeCHER: But the recruit, the new person, new convert, does he get -- is he told as to the duties of the Guardian's Office?

MR. WALTERS: He is told -- that's not what they do. He is told -- he is told a certain statement about them. If you ask any Scientologist, "What does the GO do," he'd say, "These are the people that are here to help handle the turbulation of the area and make it safe in order to practice Scientology."

3

5

7

9

10

12

14

15

...

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LeCHER: You may have answered this before, but, for the record, I'd like the clarification: Are recruits told their personal information is available to the Guardian's Office?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, no. They are -- when they get auditing, they are specifically led to believe that it's totally personal and that no one will see their folders, except the auditor and the CS, the person who's supervising the auditing.

I have -- people discuss the most intimace -
I mean, if they knew it, they wouldn't continue it.

MR. LeCHER: I would assume that everyone would have a skeleton in the closet, no matter who they are?

MR. WALTERS: Yeah. And people have their personal qualms and -- plus CSs are -- you know, Scientology is very into, like, looking at sexual stuff. You know, young men go into personal things that they would not like --

MR. LeCHER: Well, I'm sure that nobody would want their personal life brought against them --

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. LeCHER: -- or told to anyone else, to the attention of the public or the press.

One more question and I'll go to my right, again:

Is -- do you feel you're in danger right now, and what do you think the reaction will be to your testimony here today? What will I read in the paper tomorrow of what their -- how they'll explain your testimony and reasons for being here?

MR. WALTERS: All right.

They will have to -- one, they will have to contain the people at Fort Harrison to make sure that they don't hear this. That's their major problem, otherwise, that would stop the money flow. They have to worry about the newspapers. Ron says you're never to defend, you attack.

They will give the newspapers serious allegations about me designed to technically be believed by Scientologists --

MR. LeCHER: In order to discredit you?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

And anything involving conspiracies, suppressiveness -- those are the things believed by Scientologists.

Their major problem is that the money flow here at Fort Harrison does not dry up.

MR. LeCHER: And you are testifying under oath and you are under the threat of perjury?

MR. WALTERS: Yes, yes.

22

21

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

23

MR. LeCHER: And you understand that we may take this information and use it ourselves or give it to another governmental agency?

MR. WALTERS: That's fine with me.

MR. LeCHER: All right. Thank you.

Mrs. Garvey, I started with you, but it's still the same witness, so, not to have confusion later, I'll let you again lead off because this is the same witness. We'll go down the line and, then, get the testimony -- the documents that Mr. Flynn would like to show us.

Mrs. Garvey.

MRS. GARVEY: Sir, one of the things the consultant reported to us is health conditions in the Fort Harrison. And I would like to know what you are -- what happened in Las Vegas. They're saying that there are -- there is concealment of epidemics and, also, the treatment of actual diseases by unlicensed individuals holding themselves out as medical practitioners.

Did you witness any of this kind of attitude or action in your area?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. In Las Vegas, yes.

MRS. GARVEY: Can you --

MR. WALTERS: The --

MRS. GARVEY: Excuse me; go ahead.

21 22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

MR. WALTERS: The conditions -- the staff usually lived in a house, a staff house, that might be designed for a family, which would be a father, a mother, and three children. There, usually, might be thirty to forty living there in usual squalor. And children -- because of the kids -- the mother is working fourteen to fifteen hours a day, the child is left alone. They will tell you that they have nannies for them and all that. Even if they do, the nanny is some confused kid who is probably in Ethics anyway, which is why she's told to watch the kids.

I have personal knowledge in Las Vegas of kids going days without eating. My wife and I donated to them once in a while. You just -- God, you have to see it to believe it: kids, young kids, looking at a jar of peanut butter like it was gold.

MRS. GARVEY: So, you're saying that there is a practice of child abuse, whether it's emotional or physical?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. It's a side product of it.

It's not that they mean it to occur; it's a side product.

It's that --

MRS. GARVEY: Is it a policy of the Church to not refer people to doctors?

.

MR. WALTERS: Yes. 1 mean, in fact, it is suppressive to deal with doctors. Doctors are butchers.

MRS. GARVEY: But if a Scientologist went to a doctor, then, he would be put on -- he would be classified as a --

MR. WALTERS: Well, i' all depends. If it's a legal cycle -- the GO staff is on a legal cycle. The GO will say things like: "Well, listen, Mr. Jones, you can go to a doctor if you like; we are not saying you can't. But you know what Ron says about these butchers." Well, that's all you have to say and the guy doesn't go on his own free will.

MRS. GARVEY: Because Mr. Hubbard considers them a menace?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes.

I mean, I don't know if you have documents here, but the Dianetics course is full with them, of Hubbard's theories and opinions about the doctors.

MRS. GARVEY: So, they may not encourage them not to go, but they will discourage them from going?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

And they have very cleverly written policies saying that "We support doctors and, if you have any illness, you should see your doctor." That's put in the green

12 13 14

10

17

10

20

21

22

23

volumes to show the public. But none of that is practiced.

o

MRS. GARVEY: One other thing: You said you worked as a registrar for a while as your job.

Did you ever portray this organization as a charitable organization? Is that something that's commonly

7

taught -- mentioned to your people when they're interested

8

in it, that the Church of Scientology is a charitable

y

organization?

10

11

12

MR. WALTERS: Well, let me get it straight.

did not work as a staff member for the registrar because

I was in the technical division.

MRS. GARVEY: Okay.

MR. WALTERS: But I was always called in to handle a guy who wouldn't come up with the money, because I was more expertised, you see. They could say, "Let me bring the surgeon in. Here's the surgeon that's going to work on him. Now, Surgeon, can you handle him? He says he's got this -- of course, we can. Ron can handle anything." You know. That's when I would be brought in.

MRS. GARVEY: But did -- was it ever put across that, you know, the money that you -- that was going to the Church was for charitable purposes?

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

It depends, again, what legal MR. WALTERS: No. cycle Scientology was going through. In the early days, you just paid your money. Then, the government, I believe, raised some trouble about "What's a non-profit status doing charging people for all this? That you ought to be able to go in and just give them what you got." So, they changed it to call it donations.

But no Scientologist knows this. He doesn't even know why it's called donation. He thinks, "Well, I guess, it's a religion, it's a donation." I will tell you, he won't get a service for one dollar less.

MRS. GARVEY: But that's what I'm saying: If he didn't want to donate, he would not get a course?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

And if you paid \$5,000.00 for that course, you won't get it for 499, I'll tell you.

MRS. GARVEY: That's all.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

Mr. Hatchett, do you have any questions?

MR. HATCHETT: Mr. Walters, would you characterize such actions as a fraud --

> MR. WALTERS: Yes.

-- to the individual? MR. HATCHETT:

MR. WALTERS:

22

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

18

20

23

MR. HATCHETT: Electronic bugging or covert -- were you ever involved in the electronic bugging of someone's property: their home or their organization? Do you know about the specifics of --

MR. WALTERS: I know two very specifically and others that I know the results of.

MR. HATCHETT: Can you describe some of this as a physical bugging?

MR. WALTERS: Yeah.

MR. HATCHETT: A bugging --

MR. WALTERS: Well, I'll tell you one, exactly.

One is we bugged Dr. O'Gorman, a psychiatrist in Las

Vegas, without his knowledge. The other one was at Rosa

de Lima Hospital. Those are two that I participated in.

But there's many others that I would just hear --

MR. HATCHETT: What type of physical equipment was used?

MR. WALTERS: Oh.

MR. HATCHETT: Telephone or --

MR. WALTERS: At Rosa de Lima, it was a new, little tape recorder that just came out, Panasonic or something like that, and it was the first time that the little speaker was, like, part of the set. You know, it wasn't, like, you know -- that was, like, really big

I

in the GO at that time. And they taped that underneath the table.

3

The way it was done with Dr. O'Gorman -- it was done with a tape recorder inside a briefcase, with the cord going from the briefcase up my arm to here. And I sat and talked to be

6

I sat and talked to him and recorded everything.

\_

8

ŋ

10

11

12

18

14

15

.\_

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HATCHETT: On the matter of extortion of money from people, can you give us an idea how this is really done, whether there was provocation, communication, or just verbal communication to a person to extort? Were threats involved?

MR. WALTERS: Again, it depends on the level of awareness of the individual. If you are, say, at Clearwater here and you are a sick person and you come down here to cure your ills and, now, you've spent eighty thousand, which is all you have, you are now starting to wonder about this or you read a Reader's Digest article or something like that, you will be told that -- you will be sent to Ethics for doubting. See, just a doubt is an Ethics offense.

In fact, one of the -- that's the name of it, see:
Liability, Treason, Doubt. That's technically -- they
want that word there just to say, you know -- "Yeah,
but I gave you \$80,000.00 and I'm not getting any

better." "Well, do you have any doubts about L. Ron
Hubbard?" "Well, I'm just wondering." And you get put
in Ethics.

And the reason he's put there is to keep him away from the others, because you can't have these guys sitting around in the lobby of the Fort Harrison saying, "Are you getting any better?" "No. Are you getting any better?"

so, one Scientologist who sees this writes it up, and he's sent to Ethics. And in Ethics he is pressured, depending on where he's at. They will send for his PC file, meaning his personal auditing file. And the Ethics Officer will pull out of there whatever he thinks -- let's say the fellow is down here for -- to get his illness handled, but he confessed about helping his business go bankrupt sometime, you know. They will sort of insinuate to him, "Well, if you do these type of things, I mean, society is not going to like this. And as Scientologists, we will be glad to expose you."

They'll give him something to read. I don't know if you have any of them here -- "Keeping Scientology Working."

Do you have that here?
MR. FLYNN: Yes.

2

.

-

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

IR

19

20

21

22

28

24

MR. WALTERS: Can I see one? I'll show you something.

"Keeping Scientology Working" is what every Scientology tologist is brainwashed to do. "Keeping Scientology Working" is on every course that I ever did. It has lines on there, like, "We are a deadly serious game; We are hardly here to win not to lose; We'd rather have you dead than incompetent."

I mean, please get the bulletin, because of -- what I'm saying sounds awful. But it's on -- you can go down to Fort Harrison right now - if they don't change it by the time they hear this - it's on the front of every course by order of Hubbard.

So, when you're reminded of these things in Ethics, I'll tell you, you come up with whatever money is needed. "Do your friends have any money; do your relatives have any money?" And you are shown how and helped to go back and sell your land or raise the money.

MR. HATCHETT: Thank you.

MR. WALTERS: And that, to me, is extortion and through harrassment.

MR. HATCHETT: Thank you.

MR. LeCHER: Mr. Shoemaker, do you have any questions?

1 -

7

------

.

12

13

10

11

Į4

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

MR. SHOEMAKER: Yes.

Mr. Walters, in your initial presentation, you had mentioned a comment, which I wrote down, about that you were concerned or that you certainly didn't want to end up being beaten or thrown down into a hold --

MR. WALTERS: Yeah.

MR. SHOEMAKER: -- or words such as that.

Do you have specific knowledge of beatings occurring or --

MR. WALTERS: Well, it didn't --

MR. SHOEMAKER: -- some type of physical restraints, other than being locked in a room, which you've already referred to?

MR. WALTERS: Yeah.

It did not happen to me, but I've heard of c hers who were on the ship - Hubbard was on a ship for a long time --

MR. SHOEMAKER: Right.

MR. WALTERS: -- who were put in the hold and were beaten and were locked in --

MR. SHOEMAKER: Physically put into some type of

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yeah.

MR. SHOFMAKER: -- hold below deck?

.

lä

MR. WALTERS: A tiny little hold.

I don't know if I'm letting the cat out of the bag, but I think you're going to hear from somebody that had that exactly happen to him who will be in front of you in a few days.

MR. SHOEMAKER: You had also mentioned, too, that you had actually, in your training, gone through your — the auditing training course, which you termed as Class 8, I think you said, which was almost converting or changing somebody from being a human being to being like a Gestapo person.

MR. WALTERS: That's right.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Could you expand on that a little bit in terms of what you learned in this?

MR. WALTERS: That's a good question, a really good question, because you become an expert on the tech in Class 6. Remember, I spent a year and-a-half listening to five tapes a day, an hour and-a-half, ninety-minute tapes a day. You study from 8:30 to eleven at night every day for a year and-a-half, so you know the tech.

But Ron, because of his paranoia and troubles that he could have - usually, go into a city, go into a nation, criticize, defraud, government inspects and he runs away - he went pretty beserk and hard-nosed around '67 and '68

with this Class 8 course, around '68, where the Class 8 now -- we were supposed to get on top and keep everybody in line. What I thought was going to be more technology on bringing peace and sanity to the world was technology on how to apply those Ethics conditions.

And Hubbard would tell you, "Anybod" who ever went to a policeman was because you didn't catch him in Ethics. And I'm tired of these failures, and you people let me down. And the tech works, remember that. My tech never fails. And you must ferret out the Suppressives."

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

21

22

And Class 8 was to go back to the city and be relentless in ferreting out Suppressives, people with counterintentions, meaning they thought differently than Scientologists, and we were to make them Scientologists or handle them.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Were you -- with all of this money that was going into the paying of courses and so forth --

MR. WALTERS: Oh, let me mention one more thing; it's very important.

The Class 8, also, is the one who handles the OT 3s and above. OT 3 level is the highest supersecret level where you find the secret of the universe. Guys who are hooked on this can cost them fivty, sixty,

.

eighty -- they'll take all you have. In fact, many people don't leave because, no matter what happens to them, you're told that "When you reach OT 3, you will find out why insanity in the world is." And the Class 8s are the ones who administer this. And it's total science fiction.

MR. SHOEMAKER: With all the money that people are paying in for these various types of courses, where are they told that that money goes?

MR. WALTERS: Usually, a lot of them don't ask; they're too busy keeping Scientology working. If you do ask, the Guardian's Office has this thing written by Ron called "Where Your Fees Go." And it's beautifully written. When you get through with reading that, you almost want to give him more.

It tells about how he was researched this all this time, and he's holding the organization together, and people like yourselves are attacking him and causing him legal problems. And you just don't realize all the amount of money it takes to hold Scientology together and keep it working, and that Ron loves you and cares for you, you know.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mayor, if -- I've just got one other question.

I had asked you, Mr. Walters, earlier if you were familiar with that Ethics book, I believe it is --

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. SHOEMAKER: -- that has the high crimes?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Can you briefly refer to what thore high crimes just say or what they are, please? It's 49, I believe.

MR. WALTERS: All right.

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

20

21

That's when the Ethics theory came out; that was around '67 because Ron had trouble in England. Things like this occurred; England started looking into him. So, he ran off on a ship, which is interesting and familiar seeing as James Jones did, he ran off to Guyana. Hubbard went on a ship with his select crew by himself.

But he puts out this for the Scientologists to straighten out everybody. Hubbard always feels that, when things go wrong, he got betrayed. So, if you do things wrong and, then, you fail, you can be charged with these high crimes.

That's -- for example: "Attacks on Scientology and Scientologists." You realize that it is suppressive - it is considered an attack - for me to come here

and talk to you. If any other Scientologist in the city does it, he would be considered suppressive, unless he's with the Guardian's Office.

MR. SHOEMAKER: All right.

MR. WALTERS: But a Scientologist, of his own free will, could not do this.

Look at number two - I haven't seen this in years
"Testifying hostilely before state or public inquiries
against Scientology is suppressive." That's listed as
a high crime.

So, when you study these things, I'm telling you, you just don't go visit people like you.

I could go over -- "Reporting or threatening to report Scientology or Scientologists to civil authorities."

So, you realize, when you get -- when that little old lady loses her eighty thousand and still has arthritis, she's caught between the Ethics Officer -- and she can't go to you because she'll be in a high crime.

I mean, I sit here, you know, laughing about it, but it's very serious when you talk about people who've come here to Clearwater and got fleeced and are in serious dilemmas; it's not really funny. But this is sold to every Scientologist and they are told to read and study

ACTION AT A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

it.

1

10

11

12

18

14

15

17

20

21

MR. SHOEMAKER: If I might ask just one other question, Mayor --

MR. WALTERS: Oh, let me go to seven. Oh, I'm really high crime. "Testifying as a hostile witness against Scientology and Hubbard."

MR. SHOEMAKER: And in all of these, if you're -if you've violated these, in essence, then, you are a
suppressing person and, therefore, the Fair Game Policy
could be implemented against you --

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. SHOEMAKER: -- or anything else?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. SHOEMAKER: I don't know if there was a question or not, but I know -- I think you alluded to it, basically, earlier. I know it's a question that's always been in the back of my mind and I think it's in others'.

You're certainly not a dumb man. You have a lot of intelligence and you've been around in the street and grew up and knew pretty much what was going on.

Why nine years before you came to the realization - how could you have accepted so much of this for such a period of time before you came to the realization to get out?

MR. WALTERS: Very good question. Why I -- I asked myself that and actually studied it.

My hook -- with everybody, he has a carrot. If you've got cancer, of course, it's cancer. If you can't get along with your mother, bing; if you've got a sexual problem, it's that. Mine was -- I always thought, as many others did, that L. Ron Hubbard was a genius engineer/physicist who had found legitimate, workable laws and axioms that would help benefit mankind. And I thought somewhere I'd find it. Even after Class 6, when I studied everything, I was told, "Yeah, but, Eddie, wait till you get to Class 8."

MR. SHOEMAKER: So, it's always --

MR. WALTERS: Always more.

When I got to Class 8, it was the way I told you. The turning point for me was when they started lying to Scientologists about that they did not break into the Justice Department. I did not think -- and I thought, "Jesus, all this stuff we've been doing, now, they're even going to lie about that." I warted out.

But everybody has their own carrot. Some are just disillusioned on people who are stuck on Hubbard; others are sick people trying to cure their illness; some

ŭ

. .

A ...

works of a brilliant person in order to benefit myself and others.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mayor, I don't have any other questions.

MR. LeCHER: Let me ask a question: What kind of things do you study in Class 8?

MR. WALTERS: All right.

Class 8 --

MR. LeCHER: Specifically --

MR. WALTERS: Specifically?

MR. LeCHER: Yes.

MR. WALTERS: You -- first, you go over all the basic tech, again: the tech of communication, the tech of auditing, the tech on cycles in life, a lot of the stuff. Then, you learn how to study folders and to find out why things did not work. But the reason you're given is that it is always was a Suppressive or a PTS person. It's like, in other words, if you don't find a mistake or where he did something wrong, you are to find who the enemy was and why he did it. Like, if any of you gentlemen or any person got counseling, auditing, and it didn't work, they would want to find what Suppressive you were connected with.

See, it cannot be anything at Fort Harrison; they're

--! --<u>-</u>!

-

16

15 16

10

11

12

13

1

17

19

18

20

21

23

perfect. It's got to be you. My job was to learn to apply Ethics. We studied that heavily.

We were to put the person in Ethics and find out why he did not want to get better or what suppressive group they were involved in. Then, you studied OT 3; this was one of the major secret levels that you're all told, and you're told because -- after OT 3 came out in 1967, Scientology had a lot of serious internal problems because people, after this level - and spent thousands and waited years to get it - were acting as nutty as ever.

So, one of the Class 8 things to do was that we were taught how to repair these people. Like, you know, it involves space ships and mountains blowing up; the body is made up of body thetans. And this is very serious because an individual, when he completes OT 3, he thinks all of you people have body thetans that are really talking to you, little spirits. Your body is made up of spirits and they're talking to you, not you. So, that's the reason your mother did not want to support you in Scientology. It wasn't her, it was her body thetans.

So, this makes you join staff or --

MR. LeCHER: Well, we don't want to get too far.

That may be construed as a belief.

MR. WALTERS: Well --

MR. LeCHER: But if I can ask: Eddie, were you ever - I've heard a lot of stories and what not - were you ever able to leave your body and go to Paris in the spiritual sense?

MR. WALTERS: No, no.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

And that's what most everybody who's down here at Clearwater has been ordered to do. That's the end thing of OT 4, the next step above OT 3.

MR. LeCHER: It's to go to Paris or wherever you -MR. WALTERS: Or wherever you want to go. If you
leave your body, you will.

MR. LeCHER: You've never been able to do that?

MR. WALTERS: No.

Now, I've known people that said they do, I mean, you know. They could line up fifty Scientologists who'll tell you -- they'll sit here and tell you they're in Paris, but that doesn't, you know -- I'll tell what you can do, just write a number on a piece of paper and say, "Well, go into that pad and tell me what that thing is?"

MR. LeCHER: One other accusation that I heard - and I don't know if it's true and maybe you have knowledge -

is that my office faces their office, that they can eavesdrop with some sort of a beam of sorts --

MR. CALDERBANK: Microwave.

MR. LeCHER: -- microwave, possibly, and hear what I say and I don't even know it because I can't see it.

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

That's discussed on the upper levels. You see, the Guardian's Office and the upper tech people tell the newer people that they can leave their bodies, see. So, "We're not worried about Mayor LeCher. Listen, that idiot is over there and we are on top of him all the time. We are spiritual beings. And we can sit in Fort Harrison and totally overhear every conversation."

MR. LeCHER: That's a spiritual sense. In the state of the art sense, the newest state of the art, is there a wave of sort of light or microwave or whatever that they can hear my conversations in my office with, let's say -- without my office being bugged, physically bugged?

MR. WALTERS: Electronically?

MR. LeCHER: Yes, electronically.

Do you know anything --

MR. WALTERS: I don't know, sir.

MR. LeCHER: All right, then.

\_

7

9

11

10

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

\_

I'd like to ask: Mr. Bustin, do you have any questions -- or Mr. Calderbank?

MR. CALDERBANK: I do.

Mayor, first of all, I will recount one actual thing regarding bugging with some type of devices, whether it's coming from Fort Harrison or not. When Calvary Baptist Church put their new audio system in the Church, one of the engineers in my office did go out and take a reading for EMF, electromagnetic fields. And there was such an exceptionally high level - of what they call a hot spot - of these type of energy waves in this area, that they had to take special precautions in order to technically work it. So, I don't know where that came from, but there -- it can happen.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

MR. CALDERBANK: I'd like to get on to the fraud aspect, where, I think, perhaps, you gave money for your consideration based on representations or guarantees.

And based on that, you gave \$35 to \$40,000.00 to an organization.

And auditing, you were told, was a scientific or worldly -- something that was on earth that was researched.

MR. WALTERS: Richt.

MR. CALDERBANK: You were told auditing was

scientific in nature.

2

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

3

MR. CALDERBANK: And you were told that this had been researched by --

5

MR. WALTERS: L. Ron Hubbard.

U

MR. CALDERBANK: And why did you believe that auditing would help?

R

MR. WALTERS: Because, in the early courses, you were given some of his scientific discoveries that

9

appealed to you. The very first thing you do is the

.11

communication course, where you sit across from each

12

other in chairs and you do those training drills. But

13

then, they will have you demonstrate a formula: cause,

14

distance, effect. I create a cause that causes distance

15

to an effect.

this out, clay demo.

16

17

And if you are physically -- physics minded or interested in the way the world operates, that looks very

18

interesting to you. "That's right. When I speak, you

19

hear it. There's a cause and there's an effect there."

20

And then, you originate a communication and send it

21

across the space to me and I hear it. You actually demo

22

MR. CALDERBANK: And you were -- you spent money because you were told that a world renowned researcher

23

24

-----

that --

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. WALTERS: Yes, yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Then, you taught other people?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Now, did you also represent to them, when they asked you, "Why should I spend this money" or "Does it work" -- did you represent to them the same things that were represented to you, that this was researched for thirty or more years by a nuclear physicist, et cetera, et cetera? In other words, did you continue this?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. You were -- yes. You were taught how to do it.

MR. CALDERBANK: And how did you do it? How did you make these representations? Were they published, were they in writing, orally, verbally? How did you show the person that it was --

MR. WALTERS: All right.

Some of the technology data is on the course that you're taking. You give them lectures. They're in all the books who Hubbard is and his credentials.

We, as higher Scientologists, gladly tell about the wisdom and great expertise of L. Ron Hubbard. And when a person says, "That's amazing course -- that's .

really scientific." "Of course. The man is a nuclear physicist; he's one of the great educators on the planet. And this is just a sampling of the great technology you can receive."

MR. CALDERBANK: So, one of the things is to bring people in. One of the major factors is this man, throughout his life, had a high history of engineering, academic, physical experiences, and he actually cured himself and other people and had case histories?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: And were you ever guaranteed -you said - I don't want to get into the religion - you
said that you could do this and do that, which is fine.
But were you ever guaranteed for your money that you
would get well? Were you ever sat down and said, "Ed,
this money, once you get to OT 3, we guarantee that
you'll understand the universe?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Or were you ever guaranteed -religion aside, was there an actual guarantee -- you gave
money for a guarantee that your stomach, your back
would be better, you'd be able to exteriorize, et cetera?
Were these actual guarantees that you based giving your
money on?

23

24

MR. WALTERS: Every time.

All right, you take Dianetics. They'd show you:
"This is Dianetics. This is what you will get, Eddie,
you will get scientific auditing from an auditor. This
is what you receive. What you get is you become a well
and happy human being." They guaranteed that.

MR. CALDERBANK: Are you -- did you in auditing -- are you guaranteed, also, that this was confidential --

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: -- what you put down?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: You said before that the government guaranteed it?

MR. WALTERS: Well, they tell you that it's pastoral counseling between a minister and you.

MR. CALDERBANK: It's a ministerial relationship?

MR. WALTERS: Yeah.

And that the government protects religion, so they will protect us.

MR. CALDERBANK: But did you ever see this or take part in using these, what was told to you in confidential files, to harm someone?

MR. WALTERS: Were they ever used to harm --

MR. CALDERBANK: People; right.

MR. WALTERS: Yes; it went on on a regular basis.

MR. CALDERBANK: In other words, they were used

to say, "If you go to the police or if you take your legal means or redress, we will expose what we told you were confidential files"?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

₿

10

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. CALDERBANK: Can you give me an example of dates, when, where, and who?

MR. WALTERS: All right.

I'll give you the very latest. That'll be a good example to show you this hasn't stopped and they haven't cleaned up their act.

The fellow that I told you that came to me - his name is Hartwell who came to me - about where Hubbard was hiding in the desert, and I brought this to the Guardian's Office and left. They then told the newspapers and other Scientologists that he was a murderer and he murdered his father and called him an extortionist. And that came out of his folders, because no one knew anything about -- anyway, first thing, he didn't murder his father. I think he'd allow me to say that.

What he did is he ran a Dianetic incident in a past life - I know this may sound really - in a past life, a life before this one, he believed he might have

23

24

killed his father, in a life before this one.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, they threatened to expose this?

MR. WALTERS: They brought it to the newspapers.

MR. CALDERBANK: And what did that prevent him from doing?

MR. WALTERS: That was -- well, in his case it didn't work. The idea was to back him off and show the newspapers that they could end up in legal trouble themselves because they were dealing with a murderer.

And his, you know, if you need to, you can fly down his auditor who audited him to tell you that he was dealing -- because I never knew it, and the only one who knew it was a person called Claire Brynner, who was his personal auditor. And it's interesting, that's why Claire Brynner left Scientology.

MR. CALDERBANK: Is this a common practice by policy?

MR. WALTERS: Very common.

MR. CALDERBANK: Okay.

Now, what's the difference, then, between a security check --- what's the purpose of the security check being on the same instrument that's used for auditing? What is the purpose of that; why did they do

it?

And before you get into that, have you ever seen a disclaimer, because you realize by article or device you're supposed to disclaim any -- you were guaranteed that it would cure your stomach and back.

Did you ever at any time -- or were you told that by the court there were directions to put a disclaimer that it had no - to paraphrase - no medical validity? Did they ever tell you that auditing, Dianetics, et cetera would not do that?

MR. WALTERS: No, they never told us any of that.

What I heard was from the GO. I had heard that
there had been some legal thing occurring and that they
got caught acting like they could cure things and there
was trouble with the E-Meter.

They made up some stickers that say something exactly like that, that "This E-Meter cannot diagnose any illness and blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah."
But they're not used anywhere.

MR. CALDERBANK: Did you ever tell your students that?

MR. WALTERS: No.

MR. CALDERBANK: What did you tell your students?

MR. WALTERS: It you told a student that, he

ĸ

wouldn't give you the money.

MR. CALDERBANK: Okay.

And then, in addition to the E-Meter, et cetera, et cetera, you used -- I asked about the security check. What is its purpose? How is a security check run?

MR. WALTERS: That's a very good question, because, you see, in the early auditing, you are giving all your personal things: your tamily problems and et cetera.

So, you develop a very confidential presence with your auditor. You will find out later, when you get into Ethics, that they will use the same machine and sit you down to do a sec check.

A sec check is a list of questions designed to ferret out if you're possibly an enemy. But you are told it's to make you better. It's told that "Yes, we realize you're ill and we realize you're thinking of going to a lawyer" or something like that. "We need to do a sec check on you. And because -- you realize that if you give up your overts and transgressions that you will be a better person. That's the only way to go clear." So, the guy says, "Fine."

When he sits down to the sec check, it turns out to be "Have you ever talked to the FBI about L. Ron Hubbard? Have you ever talked to any legal authority

about Mary Sue Hubbard?" It's totally to protect the organization, you know. But there are sec checks for sexual things, children.

Part of the policy is that if you are sick, you are PTS, which means you're a Potential Trouble Source. Hubbard has been burned too many times by people getting upset and going to the authorities. So, that guy is not allowed out of the org. He will be sec checked until he comes up with his crimes.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, basically, to capsulize that, you're telling me that auditing and sec checks - these personal things that are told to you and guarantee your confidentiality - are then used to prevent you from legal redress or from going to the authorities, basically, what's known in law as extortion?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: Can you give any examples of that?

My last one -- my last question deals with a criminal aspect, again. You mentioned points, a point system where people earn points. And we're looking at policies that -- as the Great Britain and Australia reports stated, that one reason that they banned that type of a thing in those countries is because they found that the policies induced people to commit criminal acts.

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: Now, explain the point system and the Fair Game Policy. You said that a person could gain points. And is that favorable in Scientology - they keep a record of it - and are these points given for criminal activities or to complete the varying policies: attack the attacker, break-ins, or extortion?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

In the paranoid attempt to defeat the enemy, if you cause trouble and you are convinced that you are --- have done bad and you degrade yourself, you must now strike a blow to the enemy. This is to get out of Ethics.

You have that -- okay.

You must strike a blow back. The Guardian's Office will help you, such as -- well, if a guy is in Ethics here at Fort Harrison, his job will be to sit down and write thirteen letters to the Commissioners on how great Scientology is. If he's inclined toward doing anything to get better, he might be assigned to follow you. And this would go on his Ethics record as showing good Scientologist, good intentions to safeguard Scientology.

MR. CALDERBANK: Okay.

Please give me a specific example of a criminal activity that Scientology did in performing a policy and how the points and the policy got him to commit the policy and the point system to gain favor again and got him to burglarize, or stop, restrain -- anything --

MR. WALTERS: All right.

MR. CALDERBANK: -- to go against an SP, or a Suppressive Person?

MR. WALTERS: For example, in Las Vegas, there's a city commissioner or legislator --

MR. CALDERBANK: Can you give when, where, and who, if you could?

MR. WALTERS: Yeah. All right, I'll give the Review Journa'.

The Review Journal is a newspaper in Las Vegas.

They had a plant in there who told them that a reporter was setting up to write a story on Scientology. People had come to him; this was in 1973, I think, or '72.

Chuck Reese was given the assignment, and his job was to stop that so-called flap. He asked me to -- if I would go over there and case the place and steal documents from the file. I wouldn't do it. They asked my wife if she would go over there to the -- because the reporter used to hang out in the Legal Research Bureau or

А

something like that. And she wouldn't do it. Eventually, he did, because he had an operations, right, and he had to comply. He has to comply with it within the time period that's given. If he does not, he's put in Ethics.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, based on the ops. sheet, which goes up and down from various division to division, arriving at what the Mayor brought up, this was given to him to fulfill Scientology policy? You cased it knowing that the documents would be illegally removed?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

And if he doesn't do this in the prescribed time, then, he has not produced for that week; his stats are down, and he can be put in one of those conditions.

MR. CALDERBANK: And he received points for this?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, yes. There is, in Volume I -you have it in Volume I. There is the exact policy on
how to figure a stat. A chart with a stat going up like
this, would be normal. You're supposed to always do
more burglary, more things. A stat like this is better.
A stat, like level, would be emergency. A stat like
this would be -- you could be in Liability or Treason.

MR. CALDERBANK: Are you saying that the stats were transmitted to L. Ron Hubbard over telexes? They contained points for actual criminal activities. So, not

•

only did they have the policies throughout the structure of Scientology - you said this is throughout, in Clearwater, also - but you also got points.

So, he encouraged you to commit any acts against a Suppressive Person on two accounts. It would be in policy and also to get points; is that what you're saying?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: And he had stats delivered to him weekly or monthly across telex showing this?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely. In fact, he had the room at Flag - I'm sure you have it here - where the stats from all over around the United States are kept.

MR. CALDERBANK: Give me another example of who, what, when, and where of, say, another criminal activity that put a Scientologist in good stead on both policies and points, if you can?

MR. WALTERS: I'll give you an example. I mean, we do it -- it's not all burglary and stuff.

Your registrar goes out, right? His job is to get the money from the individual, right? He is given hot prospects, lists, so that's his stat; he's got to complete six of them, five of them, whatever it is. In order to do that, that person will resort to whatever it

•

3

6

7

9

8

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

is to get the money, because that registrar cannot -- if she only takes in ten thousand for the week and her goal for the week was twenty-two thousand, she is now in one of those lower conditions.

And these kids who will go to any -- who will do anything -- lie. They will even go to the bank with the person to try to get the person to give up their money. I mean, you'll hear this from other people. I mean, I know this may sound like I'm making this all up to you -- because the severity of these lower conditions and the harrassment internally in Scientology is so bad that good people are directed toward doing not nice things and harrassment and defrauding and lying about Scientology in an effort to do a good job so they can go clear.

MR. CALDERBANK: Okay.

And you're prepared for another agency to ask you more specifically -- or you would be prepared --

MR. WALTERS: Sure.

MR. CALDERBANK: -- to give specific examples?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Thank you.

That's all I have.

MR. LeCHER: Mr. Berfield, do you have any questions for the witness?

MR. BERFIELD: Yes.

Mr. Walters, you made a reference to Mr. Hubbard. Have you ever personally met him?

MR. WALTERS: No.

MR. BERFIELD: You also talked about Flag and made reference to Clearwater. Is Clearwater the Flag or one of the Flags?

MR. WALTERS: No. It's the Flag.

MR. BERFIELD: It is the worldwide headquarters or U.S. headquarters for --

MR. WALTERS: Right. It's the worldwide. It's --

MR. BERFIELD: Worldwide?

MR. WALTERS: Flag is the highest point on the organization board.

MR. BERFIELD: What -- we've seen some documents over here. Having not met Mr. Hubbard, how would you know that those documents were even produced by him or sanctioned by him?

MR. WALTERS: Well, it's a good question. Can I say I saw him write it? No. I've talked to people that have seen him write them. I've studied with people that were on the ship with him. I can tell you that it is a high crime to do something not written by him. I mean, the discipline is severe. If L. Ron Hubbard didn't

Ĭ

write it, it isn't true.

So -- and the higher up you go, the more severe the discipline. It's easy to say, because -- in fact, what you are thinking of, I don't think it in that way. I used to think of that, that "Listen, maybe the guys in Las Vegas are nuts and maybe there are only nuts in Los Angeles, too. Maybe in Clearwater it's a lot better. I mean, you know, that's where Ron is."

Then, this guy comes to me telling me about Ron's in the desert. And you'll probably meet him.

All I can tell you, I traced it all the way to the top and it was just as severe at the top, in fact, more criminal at the top than it is at the bottom.

MR. BERFIELD: Well, that's what I'm trying to establish in my own mind here with these procedures, where they came from.

Did anybody, in fact, know that they came from the top or did some clown like Jim Berfield sit up there and dream them up? Because if we're talking about all those procedures that you've mentioned here, that must be all Mr. Hubbard does is sit around and write procedures.

MR. WALTERS: That's about -- if you have any
Volumes here -- there's a Volume right there. Can you
hold that up? That's Division 1. There are seven of

those, and that's only up to 1976 or something. He does nothing but write this stuff.

Anyway --

MR. BERFIELD: There are still other questions that kind of fit in, but -- one you made mention of earlier today and one a little while ago, and that was the dividing of the family or the use of Scientology to divide the family.

Can you personally, from firsthand information, state that you've seen that used?

MR. WALTERS: Oh, absolutely. I've, I'm sorry to say, participated in some technically. It's a standard practice.

If your son goes in, sir, and you did not like Scientology, you would be declared a Suppressive and thoroughly investigated. And your son, if he wants to continue, must disconnect from you or strike a blow with you.

MR. BERFIELD: The other one that follows along somewhat in the same vein was: You used a hypothetical of a little old lady who had invested thousands of dollars and could not turn to any governmental body or could not turn to Scientology.

What we're saying, in essence, is that that person

۲.

\_ .

is just sitting there in limbo; is that correct?

MR. WALTERS: Very good; that's exactly -- there are thousands of them across the United States. And I believe, when this hearing goes public and this thing -- it becomes okay to speak up, you're going to have a mass of lawsuits, probably, against the City of Clearwater and Fort Harrison. There are thousands of Scientologists scared to speak up.

I just got so hot -- I'm a pretty tough cookie.

But there are people not in very good shape who have lost everything they have.

MR. BERFIELD: I --

MR. WALTERS: I call it a holding pattern, you know.

MR. BERFIELD: I don't mean to sound like the devil's adversary in this, but I cannot get it out of my mind and I've heard you say why you were here. I do not have the time to watch television, but what you've described here sounds like one of these horror stories you get on television.

But it sounds like something you read out of -that came out of the second World War. Do you do a lot
of reading that would prompt some of this in your own
imagination?

MR. WALTERS: No. To be totally frank with you,

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

ı

you haven't heard all of it. In four days, ask me that question again.

MR. BERFIELD: Fair question.

MR. WALTERS: Because what I'm telling you, I could be totally lying. You might have the individuals I'm talking about in front of you.

You see --

MR. BERFIELD: You have -- and you may have been asked this a couple of times already. You recognize you're under oath.

Have you ever been under oath before and lied?

MR. WALTERS: Under oath and lied? No.

MR. BERFIELD: So, you've never perjured yourself before? All I'm --

MR. WALTERS: For Scientology? No.

MR. BERFIELD: In any civil, legislative, or any type of court or swearing in ceremony, have you perjured yourself?

MR. WALTERS: No, absolutely not.

MR. BERFIELD: I come down to the loaded question:
Recognizing where you have been, we're sitting here
thinking about this little old lady with the problems
that she has, what would cause you, if the tables were
turned, if you were me, to believe what you say to be the

truth?

MR. WALTERS: I'd say, "Sit right where you are, and in four days you'll be" -- then, Scientology, I guess, will get a chance. If you can't make a decision after that, then, don't do anything.

MR. LeCHER: Thank you.

Did you ever lie under oath for Scientology?

MR. WALTERS: For Scientology? No.

MR. LeCHER: We have been drilling you for a good part of the day and we appreciate your coming. I know it's been difficult for you mentally, physically, and I'm sure financially. And we wish you well. And we thank you for coming and we admire you and respect your courage.

MR. WALTERS: Oh, I appreciate the courage from you just being here. You've taken a hard task on yourselves, and the court and public opinion, I think, will win out. I -- you guys are in the soup just as much as I am, because, as I say, you're going to have a hell of a battle on your hands.

MR. FLYNN: Mayor, at this point, I will introduce some documents. And we'll keep the witness here. And in the event that any of you have any questions about the documents that are put on the overhead projector, and

1	Mr. Walters, if he is able, whatever questions you can
2	ask, will answer.
3	MR. LeCHER: And this will take about forty-five
4	minutes to an hour, approximately?
5	MR. FLYNN: I would estimate. It's difficult to
6	I would estimate about an hour.
7	The first exhibit, which is on the easel, also,
8	that will be marked is
9	MR. SHOEMAKER: Do you want the lights out?
10	MR. FLYNN: Yes. However the system works, I'm
11	not familiar with it.
12	That will be Exhibit 1; it's already been put on
13	the easel.
14 15	(A copy of a document, entitled "Fair Game Doctrine," was marked as Exhibit No. 1, as of this date.)
16	MR. FLYNN: I've just marked, for the record,
17	Exhibit 1, a copy of it, which is called the "Fair Game
18	Doctrine," which an enlarged version appears on the
-19	board over there, to which the witness has referred
20	several times in his testimony.
21	Exhibit 2 is a the more extensive or complete
22	version of the Fair Game Doctrine of some four or five
23	pages. It comes right out of

MR. LeCHER: Excuse me, Mr. Flynn.

Take the mike, so we can hear you in the back and, also, for the tape.

MR. FLYNN: Exhibit 2 is the complete Fair Game

Doctrine, as it appears in the Green Volumes published

by the Church of Scientology of California. All of these

documents are copyrighted by L. Ron Hubbard. That will

be marked as Exhibit 2.

Perhaps, if we could have the lights off -- or would that affect the camera?

(A copy of the complete Fair Game Doctrine was marked as Exhibit No-2, as of this date.)

MR. FLYNN: There are pertinent portions of Exhibit 2 which I would like to bring to the Commission's attention, and Mr. Greene will read from his copy in front of him of the pertinent portions that appear on Exhibit -- on the projector.

MR. LeCHER: Identify yourself, please?

MR. GREENE: I'm Attorney Thomas Greene; I'm a co-counsel here with Mr. Flynn.

I'm reading from page three of the exhibit, the corporation's policy. The page we see on the overhead projector indicates, quote, "The homes, property, places, and abodes of persons who have been active in attempting to suppress Scientology or Scientologists are all beyond

a

•

any protection of Scientology Ethics, unless absolved by later Ethics or an amnesty."

Page one, which is not on the overhead projector, of this same exhibit which has been introduced into evidence, defines a Suppressive Person or group, quote:

"A Suppressive Person or group is one that actively seeks to suppress or damage Scientology or Scientologists by suppressive acts."

MR. FLYNN: If any of the Commissioners have any questions, you might make notes about this policy and where it appears in Scientology publications, the Volume number, and Mr. Walters can answer specific questions on that fact.

MR. GREENE: Again, that was Exhibit 2 that I made reference to.

Also, on page one of that exhibit, quote, "A Suppressive Person or group becomes Fair Game," close quote.

Suppressive acts are defined on page two of the exhibit. Their corporate policy defines the acts as follows, quote: "Proposing, advising, or voting the legislation or ordinances, rules, or laws directed toward the suppression of Scientology." Second definition, quote: "Testifying hostilely before state or public

inquiries into Scientology to suppress it," close quote.

A third definition: "Testifying as a hostile witness

3

against Scientology in public," close quote.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, if you were a good Scientolo-

\_

gist, you would lie if you were brought before a legisla-

6

tive hearing or criminal proceeding?

7

MR. WALTERS: Yes. Well, if you were a good

8

Scientologist, you would not -- it is a suppressive act

9

to appear before you as a hostile witness. So, to remain

10

a good Scientologist, you would have to lie.

11

MR. CALDERBANK: Thank you.

12

MR. GREENE: Again, making reference to the same

13

exhibit and reading from page four of that exhibit,

14

the reference to disconnection, quote: "Disconnection

15

from a family member" --

so the Commissioners --

16

MR. FLYNN: Tom, perhaps, we could use a pointer

17

MR. SHOEMAKER: I got it.

18

MR. FLYNN: Oh

19 20

MR. GREENE: Again, quote: "Disconnection from a

21

family member or cessation of adherence to a Suppressive

22

Person or group is done by the Potential Trouble Source

23

publically publishing the fact as in the legal notices

24

of "The Auditor" and public announcements and taking any

•

required civil action, such as, disavowal, separation, or divorce and, thereafter, cutting all further communication and disassociating from the person or group."

MR. FLYNN: Moving on to the next exhibit, which we will have marked as Exhibit 3, is a document, entitled "Attacks on Scientology," part of which also appears on the easel.

And if we could put page one on the projector.

(A copy of a document, entitled "Attacks on Scientology," was marked as Exhibit No. 3, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: Making reference to corporate policy, which has just been marked as Exhibit 3, entitled "Attacks on Scientology," quote: "Anyone proposing an investigation of or an inquiry into Scientology must receive this reply and no other proposal. 'We welcome investigation into' - parentheses - '(mental healing or whatever it is attacking us)' - close parentheses - 'as we have begun one ourselves and find shocking evidence.' You can elaborate on the evidence we have found and lay it on thick, attacking the attackers only.

Never agree to an investigation of Scientology, only agree to an investigation of the attackers."

Fifth, below that paragraph, the correct procedure as set forth, quote: "This is correct procedure: one,

spot who is attacking us; two, start investigating them promptly for felonies or worse using our own professionals, not outside agencies; three. double curve our reply by saying, 'We welcome an investigation of them'; four, start feeding lurid blood, sex, crime, actual evidence on the attackers to the press. Don't ever tamely submit to an investigation of us. Make it rough, rough on attackers all the way."

The last sentence in the next paragraph: "Use their blood, sex, crime to get the headlines. use us. I speak from fifteen years of experience in this. There has never yet been an attacker who is not reeking with crime. All we had to do was look for it and murder would come out."

The second page of that exhibit, if we could have that on the projector --

MR. LeCHER: Who wrote this? Who is the author of this?

MR. GREENE: This appears on page two at the bottom of the sheet, "The Founder, L. Ron Hubbard."

MR. LeCHER: This is the writings of L. Ron Hubbard?

> MR. GREENE: And copyrighted by Mr. L. Ron Hubbard. MR. BERFIELD: Is this policy in that green book?

1

10

11

12

13

14

23 24

19

20

21

MR. FLYNN: Not this particular one.

MR. BERFIELD: Well, I mean, one of

MR. BERFIELD: Well, I mean, one of the volumes that is set forth as being the --

MR. FLYNN: Let him -- he can answer that.

MR. WALTERS: This particular one has been changed and cleaned up. And you'll find that one in there. But there are many copies of the original one here, which is the one the Guardian's Office will always operate on.

MR. BERFIELD: But these policies, these corporate policies, are in these bound books?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. Well --

MR. FLYNN: Some aren't.

MR. WALTERS: -- all the general Scientology policies are in those. Guardian's Office policy is found nowhere, except in locked files at Fort Harrison or Los Angeles.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, what you're saying is the policies that the public can get a hold of may say one thing, but the policies that came out of the FBI raids, et cetera, ones that they actually go by are this?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, what we perceive and what actually goes on may be two different things?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

21 22 23

1

10

12

16

17

18

.

e

MR. LeCHER: Commissioners, I wish you would refrain from speaking now, unless it affects the flow and the continuity of the evidence he is presenting. We can get back and ask the questions later. But it it's that important, just jump in anyway.

MR. GREENE: Again, directing your attention to page two of Exhibit 3, quote: "How to stop attacks. The way we will eventually stop all attacks from there on out is by processing the society as follows: one, locate a source of attack on us; two, investigate it; three, expose it with wide, lurid publicity."

If you drop down midway on that page, page two, quote: "Remember churches are looked upon as reform groups. Therefore, we must act like a reform group."

At the bottom of -- I believe it's at the bottom of this page or, perhaps, it's page three, the last page of this exhibit. If we could have that put on the overhead projector, you'll see that this policy was written by L. Ron Hubbard and copyrighted to him.

MR. FLYNN: Exhibit 4 is the document, entitled "Declare," involving a subject or particular person whose name has been whited-out to the Fair Game Doctrine. And I would suggest that the Commissioners might note, specifically, the date on this up in the upper right-hand

ì

11

10

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

corner, if Mr. Greene would point it out. And, also, if Mr. Walters could probably be specifically questioned about a document which alleged, at least, for public purposes, to cancel the Fair Game Doctrine and the date that Mr. Walters might recall it of when that public document said it was cancelled as opposed to the date that appears on the upper right-hand corner. You might make a note of that.

I would point out that the date, 8 June 1979, is when this particular individual was declared a Suppressive Person, and in the last sentence of the last paragraph the statement appears that he be subjected to the Fair Game Law of 23 December '65, which has been marked as Exhibit 2.

And Mr. Walters, at this point, I think, might want to clarify what I previously stated to you about a purported public cancellation of the Fair Game Doctrine. MR. WALTERS: Yes.

Publically, there was a policy put out saying that this did not occur. As you can see, it does occur. the way, this is here at Fort Harrison, which is Flag, and in '79 was here. And this is telling every Scien. tologist that they can now treat this person as Fair Game.

MR. CALDERBANK: How do you know that?

MR. WALTERS: Because it says it on it, Scientology and Scientologists and the Fair Game Law. He is not permitted in any org., given any training in any Scientology org. -- oh, the routing tells me.

MR. FLYNN: Right.

MR. WALTERS: It goes to FOLOs, all orgs., all missions, and Guardian worldwide. Every organizatic in Scientology will get this. Wherever this guy goes, he will be handled.

MR. LeCHER: Was he still a member?

MR. WALTERS: No. He'd either be a member, legally -- because he's still a Suppressive Person --

MR. LeCHER: Because he signed a million-year contract?

MR. WALTERS: No, because he's still stuck in Scientology.

MR. LeCHER: Oh.

MR. WALTERS: He is -- this is the handling they're doing on him. He'll either be handled or he will admit to whatever they want him to admit and become a good Scientologist.

MR. LeCHER: He doesn't want to leave at this point?

6

۵

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

to a visit of the second of the

\_

1

MR. WALTERS: No. He's -- this is why you do these things to these fellows. A normal person like you, you'd go to your lawyer.

MR. LeCHER: I see.

MR. CALDERBANK: What was that, Exhibit 3?

MR. FLYNN: That was Exhibit 4.

(A copy of a document, entitled "Declare," was marked as Exhibit No. 5, as of this date.)

MR. FLYNN: Exhibit 5 is a document called "Condition of Liability," and I'm going to refer Mr.

Shoemaker, probably, about midway down the page, where it begins: "Deliver an effective blow to the enemies of the group, one that has been pretending to be part of, despite personal danger."

(A copy of a document, entitled "Condition of Liability," was marked as Exhibit No. 5, as of this date.)

MR. WALTERS: Line two, as far as talking to Mr. Berfield, when I said his son, if he's put in Liability, will either disconnect from you, sir, or he will strike a blow to you. And that's where it's written. It is policy; it's not just a cute idea. He must do that to you or he cannot stay in Scientology.

MR. LeCHER: Is that similar to the Eagleton affair?

1 MR. WALTERS: That's exactly what it is --MR. LeCHER: What --MR. WALTERS: -- in my opinion. Senator Eagleton? MR. WALTERS: Yeah. GO files that he had --MR. CALDERBANK: Psychiatric --MR. WALTERS: Yeah, before. He had --10 MR. CALDERBANK: Electroshock? 11 MR. WALTERS: -- electroshock treatment. 12 13 14 15 16 Greene will read from portions of that exhibit. 17 18 19 20 21 22 Sussex. 23

24

MR. LeCHER: -- leads to made up stories about

Because we had -- we knew in

MR. FLYNN: Exhibit 6 is a multi-page exhibit from the Church of Scientology of California, Hubbard Communication Office, a policy concerning security checks about which -- which the witness testified about. And Mr.

MR. GREENE: As Mr. Flynn explained to the Commissioners, when these documents are put on the overhead projector, you should examine the top of the document where it mentions, in this case, Hubbard Communication Office, Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead,

MRS. GARVEY: What's the date?

MR. GREENE: This is dated 3 February 1960.

E-Meter is better known as a lie detector and it's used to ascertain truth of background and conduct. The following points will be covered by the examiner: any criminal background, any Communist or subversive connection, spreading of slander concerning Saint Hill or its people, discouraging new employees by malicious lies, receipts of commissions on purchases for Saint Hill, overts against Dr. or Mrs. Hubbard. No staff at Saint Hill are exempt. No suspicion is necessalily attached to any person at Saint Hill. This is a security check. It is an effort to clear the air."

MR. CALDERBANK: Even though the date was '60,
Mr. Walters, is this still being done, security checks?
MR. WALTERS: Yes, it is.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mr. Walters, how can the Commission determine which policies, such as these -- certain of these that are older, whether they're still in effect or whether they've been changed in the process?

MR. WALTERS: If it -- if -- like, if that one was cancelled, a policy coming out expressly cancelling that would come out.

MR. SHOEMAKER: It would come out?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: And as you indicated before, that might not be truly indicative that it has been cancelled, such as, the Fair Game Policy?

MR. WALTERS: Right; right.

But these are all -- these are oldies. These are well studied by -- because I'm the type -- I'm the person who would give these security checks.

MR. CALDERBANK: And those policies are done? And every Scientologist --

MR. WALTERS: Every --

MR. CALDERBANK: -- has access to it, and it's followed at specific levels?

MR. WALTERS: Not every Scientologist. If you get in trouble, you'll get this.

MRS. GARVEY: By their definition of trouble.

MR. WALTERS: That's right, like, being here today.

MR. GREENE: I'm going to read from page six of the security check, several questions, so if I could have page six on the overhead projector.

This page six refers to the same exhibit number.

Exhibit 6, page six. These are a number of questions that are asked on a security check. Midway down: "Have you ever blackmailed anybody? Have you ever been blackmailed? Have you ever smuggled anything? Have you ever

been in prison? Have you ever indulged in drunkenness?
Have you ever done any reckless driving? Have you ever
burgled any place? Have you ever embezzled money? Have
you ever had anything to do with pornography? Have you
ever committed arson? Have you ever been a drug addict?
Have you ever peddled dope? Have you ever had any
dealings with stolen goods? Do you have a police record?
Have you ever been raped? Have you ever been involved
in an abortion? Have you assisted in any abortions?
Have you ever committed adultery? Have you ever practiced homosexuality? Have you ever had intercourse with
a member of your family? Have you ever been sexually
unfaithful? Have you ever practiced sodomy?"

Page seven and eight of the same exhibit follow with similar questions. Page eight of the exhibit sets forth three questions: "Do you have any overts on LRH? Have you ever had unkind thoughts about LRH?"

Again, for the Commissioners, these references "LRH" are L. Ron Hubbard and --

MR. BERFIELD: Leave that up there for a minute.

Is this part of the information that you were talking about that was in the confidential document, this --

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. BERFIELD: And the answers that you give to that are what they use to - if I can use the word - blackmail, or to --

MR. CALDERBANK: Extort you.

MR. BERFIELD: -- extort you --

MR. WALTERS: Right. Coercion.

.MR. BERFIELD: -- coercion, or what have you.

MR. WALTERS: This --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

MR. BERFIELD: Well, what happens if you don't answer all of this? Do they --

MR. WAI/TERS: Well, I don't know if you have it here, there's drills on how to handle people that don't answer them. I mean, a guy is trained to get in answer. If that person doesn't answer, he'll end up in those Ethics conditions and he'll be sweeping the floor, and he will not be allowed to leave the building until he goes back there and answers these questions.

MR. LeCHER: Is seventy passing, or is it on a curve or what?

MR. WALTERS: Well, you know, a funny thing about it is you know the people who may have had some indiscretions in their lives, you know, will actually come up with answers to these things, you know, like, "Have you ever taken money for giving anyone sexual intercourse?"

15

17

10

21

22

23

24

A woman might admit -- and actually will receive some benefit from feeling good about saying that, you see. And when she does, they will expound on that and get more of it.

But if she ever goes to leave or cause a flap, then, this is given to the Ethics Officer or given to the Guardian's Office.

Somebody earlier asked where do they get drugs and stuff? These security checks tell you who takes drugs, who doesn't, who burglarizes. Recruitment is very easy.

MR. LeCHER: Do you ever see your grade?

MR. WALTERS: No. They come to us; I was a CS. It's a technical action; there's no grade on this.

MR. LeCHER: No. Does it say "Passed" and "Lied"

MR. WALTERS: All I care as a CS is "Did we get everything? Is the guy critical of Scientology?" If he's critical, he goes back in the room. If he's not critical anymore, then, we let him out.

MR. BERFIELD: This document is a corporate document?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. BERFIELD: And it's made out on everyone?

MR. WALTERS: Everyone that needs it. If you are

2

7

9

10

11

. 12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

...

24

critical of Scientology, you will get it.

MRS. GARVEY: This -- they're asked these questions while they're attached to an E-Meter --

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MRS. GARVEY: -- which, supposedly, they think is a lie detector?

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. LeCHER: Is it?

MR. WALTERS: A lie detector? It is a rough form of a lie detector in the sense that it's biofeedback. It does react to what you do. But you are led to believe that it tells if you're lying or not.

MR. LeCHER: Can you lie to pass this to get out of the room?

MR. WALTERS: Well, technically, I would say yes, because -- if you -- if you were an honest person who stole twenty dollars, if I asked you about it, you would normally react to it, wouldn't you? You'd have that feeling of oh-oh. If you were a total criminal, you could sit and go through this and not have any trouble.

You've got to remember these kids are brainwashed into telling Ron everything. If you don't, he'll get it all.

MRS. GARVEY: And this is part of the counseling

session for --

MR. WALTERS: That's right. And he thinks that this will -- nobody will ever see this. He's encouraged to -- "Who did you have sex with? Tell me about it. What did you do?" And --

MR. LeCHER: I asked that we refrain, but I think I'm going to have to rescind on what I said, because, if you wanted to ask something specifically to this in a half hour, we're going to have to take another twenty minutes to find it.

MRS. GARVEY: Right.

MR. LeCHER: So, go ahead and ask questions of the witness or the consultant, but try and make it brief.

MR. CALDERBANK: Can you give me a specific example? Was this ever used on you where they told you to pay up, to stay in, or they would expose confidential files?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. On --

MR. CALDERBANK: Specifically ---

MR. WALTERS: -- 1978, at Celebrity Center, I was a CS, the top technical person. The Guardian's Office came in and sec checked everybody on staff.

MR. CALDERBANK: But did they use that information to make you do something?

•

8

10

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

18

. .

00

23

The white as the miles of a things of

MR. WALTERS: Not me, no. MR. CALDERBANK: Did you ever participate in something like that? If so, when? MR. WALTERS: Sec checking myself? MR. CALDERBANK: Using it to stop someone from --MR. WALTERS: No. MR. CALDERBANK: -- leaving or paying --MR. WALTERS: No, I didn't do it. I gave it to the Guardian's Office and they'd do it. MR. CALDERBANK: Do you know that for a fact? MR. WALTERS: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Just for the record, there'll be many, many exhibits of such actual practices. MR. LeCHER: Okay. Thank you. MR. FLYNN: Mr. Hatchett? 16 MR. HATCHETT: Mr. Walters --MR. WALTERS: Yes, sir. MR. HATCHETT: -- can you describe to me how the 19 E-Meter is actually placed in contact with the human body or the counselee? 21 MR. WALTERS: The person holds two soup cans that the labels have been taken off; they're silver. And they hold the two soup cans. MR. HATCHETT: In their hands?

1	MR. WALTERS: In their hands. And they are
2	connected to wires to the E-Meter.
8	MR. HATCHETT: What
4	MR. LeCHER: What is this go ahead, I'm sorry.
5	MR. HATCHETT: Is this battery
6	MR. WALTERS: It is battery operated, yes.
7	MR. HATCHETT: All right.
8	so, a person's nervous system is the key for a
9	counselor to make his interpretations for him
10	MR. WALTERS: That's right.
11	MR. HATCHETT: is that right?
12	Reaction of blood
18	MR. WALTERS: Right.
14	MR. HATCHETT: protein or muscles?
15	MR. WALTERS: Right.
16	MR. HATCHETT: All right.
17	MR. WALTERS: It attaches it operates just like
18	a lie detector.
19	MR. LeCHER: With all the money they had, why
20	didn't they get a real lie detector, rather than use two
21	soup cans and string?
22	MR. WALTERS: Now, for two reasons: one, the thing
28	that they made, probably, cost them about twelve dollars
	H

to make, and we go and buy them at \$250.00 apiece; the

second reason is Hubbard is the sole source of everything. He believes that everything that you use, the police, or anything is totally ten years behind. He tells us everything he's got comes from the space planets, so it's always better.

MR. LeCHER: It just seems more believable if you had a real one than two soup cans.

MR. CALDERBANK: I guess -- in case anyone would say that this is part of their religious doctrine, the E-Meter, you're also saying that this is used in auditing scientifically, which is held out to you as a scientific thing that was researched?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. CALDERBANK: Was this one of the things that you were guaranteed that you paid money for?

MR. WALTERS: Right. There's no religious connotation to this at all.

MR. CALDERBANK: And this is also used for some of the activities for --

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: -- extortion?

MR. WALTERS: It's a totally investigative thing.

MR. CALDERBANK: Thank you.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

13

15

14

10

11

12

16

7 . 7 . 19

20

21

22

23

Mr. Flynn?

(A copy of a multi-page document concerning security checks was marked as Exhibit No. 6, as of this date.)

MR. FLYNN: The next exhibit is an exhibit,
entitled "Organization of a PE Foundation."
We'll mark that as Exhibit 7, and if you could put page
two of that on the projector, please.

(A copy of "Organization of a PE Foundation," was marked as Exhibit No. 7, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: Page two of that exhibit reads - at the top of the exhibit - second sentence: "Never let anyone simply walk out. Convince him he's loony. He doesn't gain on it, because that's the truth."

MR. FLYNN: Exhibit 8 is a policy, HCO policy letter of 15 August 1960, under the heading "Department of Government Affairs." Put page two of that on the overhead projector, please.

(A copy of an HCO policy letter, dated August 15, 1960, under the heading "Department of Government Affairs," was marked as Exhibit No. 8, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: Reading from page two, third paragraph: "In the face of danger from governments or courts, there are only two errors one can make: a, do

8

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

\_\_\_

22

. .

\_\_

nothing" -- third paragraph down: "In the face of danger from governments or courts, there are only two errors one can make: a, do nothing and b, defend. The right things to do with any threat are to: one, find out if we want to play the offered game or not; two, if not, to derail the offered game with a feint or attack from the most vulnerable point which can be disclosed in the enemy ranks: three, make enough threat or clamor to cause the enemy to quail; four, don't try to get any money out of it; five, make every attack by us also sell Scientology; and six, win.

"If attacked on some vulnerable point by anyone or anything or any organization, always find or manufacture enough threats against them to cause them to sue for peace."

MR. WALTERS: I just want to say something here.

This is stuff that we've studied. I hope that leaves

some credibility to some of the things I've been saying.

I -- policy is to investigate you people. If you can't find anything, then, you plant the dope on them.

You either find or manufacture. The crimes must be attributed to you people. If they're not, people at Fort Harrison will wonder: "You must be decent people."

The only thing that keeps people at Fort Harrison giving

14

the money is Scientology tells them that you are criminals. And if they can't find it, they will manufacture it.

MR. CALDERBANK: Just --

MR. WALTERS: And it's there in policy.

MR. CALDERBANK: Just to make it perfectly clear:
You're saying that this is well understood in the GO and
in the high tech levels, that this encourages or induces
or condones criminal activities such as burglary?

MR. WALTERS: Sir, this is not only understood; this is studied and clay demoed and diagrammed until you have it down pat.

MR. CALDERBANK: And of all the examples you gave of extortion and burgling and casing, this policy is something that induced you to do it?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. This is one of many. You've only hit the surface so far.

MR. CALDERBANK: Does this encourage -- do you get points for this?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: And this runs throughout the policy on government --

MR. WALTERS: Right.

MR. FLYNN: The next exhibit, number nine, is an

example of an SP list.

(A copy of an SP List was marked as Exhibit No. 9, as of this date.)

MR. FLYNN: And you'll note on that list there are various people who have been assigned "Freeloaders" in various places. And that corroborates the testimony of Mr. Walters with regard to freeloader debts.

If you have any questions, he could probably give you a twenty-minute address on that subject alone.

MR. WALTERS: Mike, let me just say -- to lend validity to this, Al Adcock, I know him; Liz Bubke, a staff member, you know. Going down the line, Culpepper, Gary Davis; Gary Davis was an auditor and left. This is what they did to him, they gave him a freeloader's letter. John Digre ended up paying off all his freeloader's debt and came down here to Clearwater, I believe.

John Gallagher I know; Charlie Groff, a long-time Scientologist, who -- they won't allow him back on until he pays all the money they want. Lynn Hearn, a long-time Scientologist, still paying off in order to get back in. These are all people I know and --

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mr. Walters, would you -- do you believe that there are such lists now that are current,

1982?

1

7 .

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

16

IR

19

20

21

22

-

\_

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely. They're listed -- they're according to tech. They'll come out this month right here in Fort Harrison. And if you had any authority to have them give it to you, they would give it.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Who would this go to, Mr. Walters?
MR. WALTERS: It comes from the Division 3, which

is the financial division, and it's issued through an Ethics order. You see at the top it says, "HCO Ethics Order." This is to tell that it will be published.

It is given -- sent to them individually. That's why it says, "To those concerned," because, when a guy sees this, he knows he's got to pay to get back in. It is also sent to all the Scientologists in the org. so that we know not to communicate with these people. They are Scientologists not in good standing.

MR. FLYNN: As the hearings progress, the legal significance of the freeloader's debt, in connection with other documents, which I won't presuppose, will become apparent.

MR. CALDERBANK: Will you also show that this freeloader's debt has, within the last year, been acted upon, or other people -- this is 1972.

Can you give a specific example of one for a freeloader's debt that has occurred in this year? Or

will someone come forward and describe it?

MR. FLYNN: There will be a great deal of evidence on the subject.

MR. LeCHER: In our discussion with you here, if we're asking questions that will be covered more fully later by the witness, just let us know and we will not dwell on that subject or aspect at this time.

MR. FLYNN: Exhibit 10 is HCO policy letter, 5

April 1965, and the specific portion that I am referring to is going to be the -- what they call Blown Student Doctrine about which Mr. Walters testified concerning going and picking up a student.

(A copy of an HCO policy letter, dated April 5, 1965, referring to the Blown Student Doctrine, was marked as Exhibit No. 10, as of this date.)

MR. WALTERS: Blown -- blow means to try and leave the premises.

MR. GREENE: I'll read that middle paragraph:

"The Blown Student. The student, however, may have blown off the premises or he has gone entirely. On a minor, momentary blow, where all it took was the student's auditor and a few words to get the student back, the matter is not a real blow. But where a student leaves the premises in a blow or doesn't turn up for class,

the tech division must send an instructor and the student's auditor over to HCO Department of Inspection and Reports, and HCO representatives should go with them at once to pick up the student. The student is brought back with as little public commotion as possible and the procedure of HCO check-out, et cetera, is followed as above."

MR. LeCHER: All right.

Can this happen to any of these kids that walk up and down the street with the blue pants and the white shirts?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. LeCHER: If they want to blow, they're brought back --

MR. WALTERS: Well --

MR. LeCHER: -- by the auditor forcibly and the inspector?

MR. WALTERS: The person's auditor will have --

MR. LeCHER: Do these kids -- any of these kids just keep walking that are walking up and down the street?

We have these young -- they all appear to be young. They walk between the various buildings in the uniform of the day which is white and blue. They can't

21

20

10

11

12

13

14

17

23

19

20

21

22

23

24

just keep walking, then. These kids can be picked up by these auditors?

MR. WALTERS: If they blow. If they are going to lunch and they do not come back from lunch, somebody will go get them.

MR. LeCHER: They can't walk to the bus station and get a bus and go back home to Miami?

MR. WALTERS: Well, they could if the org. didn't know about it.

MRS. GARVEY: Would they --

MR. LeCHER: But if they did, would the auditor go to Miami and pick them up and return them?

MR. WALTERS: Those instructions are there. Those instructions are followed explicitly. If you don't follow them -- if I -- I'm the technical. If I don't do what that says, I'm an enemy. I'll tell you, I'd go get the guy.

MR. CALDERBANK: Did you ever physically bring someone back? You were an auditor. Did you ever physically bring someone back?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: Against their will?

MR. WALTERS: Yes, in Las Vegas.

MR. CALDERBANK: Did you ever see other people do

it? Is it a common occurrence?

MR. WALTERS: I wouldn't say it's common; it's not a daily occurrence.

1

•

5

6

7

8

a

10

11

12

18

14

15

10

17

20

22

23

24

MR. CALDERBANK: But it is done?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. It has to be done. You are

not allowed to leave unhappy, upset, or you might go to the authorities.

MR. CALDERBANK: Even if it's against their will?

Physically, you restrain them or bring them back?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

MR. HATCHETT: You know, that brings another question in my mind. What if the struggle gets so intense you can't get him back? You tell me this has to be done. Not to me. I'll tell you I'm not coming back. I would proceed to resist you or run or duck or hide thereafter.

MR. WALTERS: Good question, but Ron Hubbard is a very clever man. If you look at line -- second paragraph of the Blown Student, the second line: "The tech division must send an instructor and student's auditor." In other words, the auditor knows this stuff inside out. Remember, he's the guy who knows who he's doing what to who and blan, blah, blah, blah. He will

-

•

remind him of this, and he will come back.

If he does not come back - policy says to do it with as little public commotion as possible - then, you leave him there, because you cannot create a flap yourself, otherwise, you'll be in trouble. Then, you give it to the Guardian's Office. See, the tech failed. Now, you give it to the Guardian's Office and they'll handle it.

MR. BERFIELD: Mr. Walters, this one question that I asked you this morning: In your own appraisal and own thoughts, when you were bringing somebody back against their own will, you didn't look upon that as being something close to kidnapping?

MR. WALTERS: Well, I never even looked at it from a legal point of view, sir. I looked at it that the man was upset, that he was confused, that he had probably been talking to Suppressives or the police or something, and we had to get him back to save him. I mean, I believed in what I was doing.

MR. CALDERBANK: There is a policy of restraint that defines it as -- that you can bring these people back, either morally, using the auditing information, or physically?

MR. WALTERS: I had to bring them back. If I don'c,

I failed. 1 MR. CALDERBANK: But that's lined out in policy called restraint -- the definition of restraint? MR. WALTERS: Yes. There's more to come. If you --MR. CALDERBANK: I've noticed the outline. MRS. GARVEY: I think what Mr. Walters is saying -if you read the third and last paragraph, if they can't get the student back, they blame the auditor. MR. WALTERS: That's right. 10 And then, that goes to the Guardian's Office --11 MR. SHOEMAKER: The Guardian's Office --12 MR. WALTERS: That's right. 13 MR. CALDERBANK: And the audi'or becomes an enemy 14 15 MRS. GARVEY: Right. MR. WALTERS: That's exactly right. And I get 17 sec checked for why I did not bring him back. It's not 18 a winning game. MR. CALDERBANK: You're damned if you do and damned 20 if you don't. 21 MR. FLYNN: The next exhibit is entitled "Level 22 Zero Checksheet." They're both written by L. Ron

Hubbard.

(A copy of a document, entitled "Level Zero Checksheet," was marked as Exhibit No. 11, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: Reading from page 54 of Exhibit 11,
Mr. Hubbard's book: "The defense of anything is
untenable. The only way to defend anything is to attack.

If you ever forget that, then, you will lose every
battle you are ever engaged in, whether it is in terms
of personal conversation, public debate, or a court of
law. Never be interested in charges. Do yourself much
more charging and you will win. And the public, seeing
that you won, will then a communication line of the
effect that Scientologists win. Don't ever let them
have any other thought than that Scientology takes all
of its objectives."

Yet, on page 55: "The purpose of the suit is to harrass and discourage rather than to win. The law can be used very easily to harrass. And enough harrassment on somebody who is simply on a thin edge anyway, well-knowing that he is not authorized, will generally be sufficient to cause a professional to cease. If possible, of course, ruin him utterly."

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mayor and Commissioners, it's interesting, I received in the mail today a copy of an item, apparently from the Church, that says, "Demands,"

demands, demands" on it, anonymously sent, and I copied it for you today, which relates specifically to this --

MR. LeCHER: When we hired you, or at least we considered hiring you, and we spent \$4,950.00, they were in front of City Hall passing out almost posters offering \$4,950.00 leading to the arrest and the conviction of any dishonest politician. Well, that almost had my name on it or my colleagues on it for public official.

And I'm sure that everyone on this bank may have at one time had an enemy that in the city employ -- there must be sixteen hundred of them. But we couldn't have got to them or would want to do that to them, so I can just see how it's affecting our own lives as recently as when we originally contacted you in your office. I can see it falling into place.

MR. CALDERBANK: In addition, when we originally contacted Mr. Flynn, they also passed around a - maybe you would like to comment on this, Mr. Flynn - version of your background, your credentials, your purported disbarments or transgressions that you made that put you in a very incredible light.

Was there any truth to that? Could you go into that so that the people here know that this happened

. .

•

.

within the last five, six months? From your own personal experience.

MR. FLYNN: Well, I'm not under -- I'm not a witness, and it would probably be inappropriate. The degree of harrassment, the numbers of lawsuits against myself and my colleagues, the Bar complaints that have been filed against us, the defamatory statements that have been issued to the press against us, and the three years of what I can only categorize unmerciful harrassment will someday be a matter of a court record and will be litigated.

MR. LeCHER: All right. Thank you.

Continue, please, sir.

14

11

12

13

MR. FLYNN: The next exhibit is a document, entitled "Introduction to Scientology Ethics," a xeroxed copy from the book that was referred to, and Mr. Greene will

17

18

(A copy of a document, entitled "Introduction to Scientology.
Ethics," was marked as Exhibit No. 12, as of this date.)

20

21

MR. GREENE: This exhibit, Exhibit 12, is from a

read from a portion of it.

book by L. Ron Hubbard. On page 49, he sets forth

high crimes which are suppressive acts, parentheses,

below that title.

"A Suppressive Person or group is one that actively seeks to suppress or damage Scientology or Scientologists by suppressive acts. Suppressive acts are acts calculated to impede or destroy Scientology or a Scientologist and which are listed at length below."

Below, listed as number one: "Proposing, advising, or voting for legislation or ordinances, rules, or laws directed towards the suppression of Scientology; two, testifying hostilely before state or public inquiries into Scientology to suppress it; three, public statements against Scientology or Scientologists but not to committees of evidence duly convened."

You will recall a "committee of evidence" is a Scientology term.

"Seven, testifying as a hostile witness against Scientology in public; eight, being at hire of anti-Scientology groups or persons," and so forth.

MR. CALDERBANK: On number nine, "Infiltrating Scientology," I can't understand why it would be a crime to infiltrate Scientology. However, it's well documented they infiltrated the former mayor's staff, when he ran for election; they infiltrated The Clearwater Sun, the District Attorney's Office, Attorney --- Mr. Russell.

Is this -- is this common? They say that this is

. .

-

. .

6

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

a crime to them, but, yet, they, as policy, go out and do it. Why is that?

MR. WALTERS: Because these are really Hubbard's fears is what I've finally come to realize. These are Hubbard's fears. And he uses his fears and his demented mind to attack other people then. And, obviously, number nine is in there because he would not want people coming into Scientology, like an IRS agent, and find out where the money goes, et cetera. That would be a high crime.

MR. LeCHER: Next.

MR. FLYNN: Exhibit 13 is a document, entitled

"TRL - Intelligence Specialist Training Routine." This

particular document may have some -- a great deal of

importance to this Committee if the Scientologists pro
duce witnesses.

(A copy of a document, entitled "TRL Intelligence Specialist Training Routine," was marked as Exhibit No. 13, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: "Intelligence Specialist Training Routine, TRL. Purpose: To train the student to give a false statement with good TR 1. Train the student to outflow false data effectively. Position: Same as TR 1.

"Commands: Part one: Tell me a lie. Command

given by coach.

1

"Part two: Interview Type 2 WC by coach.

"Training Stress: In part one, ccach gives command, student originates falsehood. Coach flunks for out TR, for TR 1 or TR 0. In part two, coach asks questions of the student on his background or subject. Student gives untrue data of a plausible sort that the student backs up with further explanatory data upon the coach's further questions. Coach flunks for out TR 0, TR 1 and for student fumbling on question answers. Students should be coached on a gradient until he or she can lie facilely.

"Short example: Coach: Where do you come from?

Student: I come from the Housewives' Committee on Drug

Abuse. Coach: But you said earlier that you were

single? Student: Well, actually, I was married but

am divorced. I have two kids in the suburbs where I am

a housewife. In fact, I'm a member of the PTA. Coach:

What town is it that you live in? Student: West

Brighton. Coach: But there is no public school in

West Brighton? Student: I know. I send my children

to school in Brighton, and that's where I'm a PTA member.

Coach: Oh. And who is the chairman there?" Et cetera.

MR. BERFIELD: Again, is this in the procedures

10

11

manual?

MR. WALTERS: No. These are Guardian's Office technology. That would not be in public volumes.

MR. CALDERBANK: How do you -- how did you obtain this document for submission as an exhibit?

MR. FLYNN: This particular document comes from documents that the Federal Bureau of Investigation seized in July 1977.

We'll go on with the next one. The document is entitled "Re: Intelligence."

(A copy of a document, entitled "Re: Intelligence," was marked as Exhibit No. 14, as of this date.)

The contract of the second of the substitute of the post of the second of the substitute of the substitute of

MR. GREENE: This is Exhibit 14, "Re: Intelligence. The following are possibilities for collecting data: one, infiltration; two, bribery; three, buying information; four, robbery; five, blackmail. The last two are, of course, illegal.

"Infiltration covers two methods: someone joining the enemy without the enemy's knowing and someone disaffecting, presumably, to join the enemy. For giving the enemy false data, you can do the following: one, use infiltrator to spread alarm and false data; two, send out false data purposely."

MR. CALDERBANK: A scenario: The upcoming election

4

3

7

8

-

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

for City Commission or the recent election for Mrs.

Garvey or Mr. Berfield. Do you mean to tell me that it would be not only within the realm but a distinct possibility that not only false data would be disseminated

to do harm or take votes away from these two?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. I can tell you in the tech department what will probably occur. That, by the way, involves Mo Budlong.

MR. CALDERBANK: Wasn't he indicted?
MR. WALTERS: Yes, he was.

As far as your elections in this town, a girl will go around to citizens and tell she's writing a book. And she will say, like, "I'm writing a book about Clearwater's great day. Are you aware of Mr. Calderbank?" "Yeah."

And somewhere, going into that, will mention: "Do you know about the problems he had in the last place he lived?" "No, I didn't." "Are you not aware that his wife's pregnant?" "No, I didn't." "Well, that's okay."

And just do a cycle interview, you go from person to person. It may sound nutty, but it's very effective. Before you know it the citizens will all be saying to themselves, "Did you hear what I hear about Calderbank?"

MR. CALDERBANK: Would they encourage passing out of either illegal pamphlets or try to raise some kind of

9

11

18

15

16

18

· 19

20

21

22

23

\_\_\_

conflict between the other Commissioners?

MR. WALTERS: Yes. In fact, they will have members send dead agent letters to different ones of you. Persons in Ethics will do this.

MR. LeCHER: Okay. We're trying to move right along here. We do have a long way to go.

MR. FLYNN: Along those lines, I would point out that attached to the report that we filed with the city are, perhaps, four or five thousand documents. And we have simply selected a few that are just barely representative. And these documents are on file with the City of Clearwater and have been since September of 1981.

And what we attempt to show you is some of the documents pertaining to some of the activities. But there are literally thousands of these.

Some of the direct policies with regard to burglary and larceny will be coming up, and we will try to show it in a little more detail on the issue of policy. But many of these documents -- there's just so many of them that it's difficult to get them all before the Commission in this proceeding.

The next do ment is Exhibit 15, and it's a document called "Re: B and Es." And you'll notice in the upper - excuse me - in the upper left-hand corner the people that Mr. Walters will describe or the agencies to whom it goes within the Church, on which, I might suggest to you, bear on the factor of corporate policy.

(A copy of a document, entitled "Re: B and Es," was marked as Exhibit No. 15, as of this date.)

MR. WALTERS: The -- those are the top leaders of the Church: Deputy Guardian 1, U.S. Communicator;

Deputy Guardian 1, U.S.; Deputy U.S. Communicator;

Deputy Guardian, U.S., which is in Los Angeles. I don't know what GDA -- GDA. Anyway, that's worldwide, which is in England; that's Communicator. Guardian Worldwide, which is Jane Kember; Deputy Guardian 1, Worldwide, which is in England; Deputy Guardian 1, Worldwide is in England; Deputy Guardian 1, Worldwide is in England; Deputy Guardian 1, Worldwide -- Assistant Deputy Guardian; U.S. Director; Secretary, Worldwide, again in England; Bureau 1 Director, U.S., that's in Los Angeles.

MR. GREENE: Reading from Exhibit 15, "Re: B and Es. When Dick first wrote you on this subject, a few of us in the office have been comparing notes and smatterings of legal knowledge on this subject with the end result of deciding that we needed to research the differences between breaking and entering and unlawful entry. On searching through legal dictionaries and various legal

sources, I discovered in West's California Penal Codes,"
parentheses, "(which except for varying technical
differences by state as representative of the basic
U.S. statewide laws on this subject)," close parentheses,
"that the technical differences between the B and E and
unlawful entry become relatively meaningless when it can
be seen that a large portion, if not the majority, of
our high priority successful collections actions fall into
the category of second degree burglary, which is a
felony.

б

R

"Some of our successful collections actions in the recent past and present, which falls into this category are past GO 1222, GO 1300, GO 1361, GO 1344, GO 1080, YOKO, DEA, present GO 1361, GO 1344, DEA. This is not an exhaustive rundown, just enough to demonstrate the importance."

The last sentence: "From my study of the codes and from my knowledge of how the collections actions are done, one of the key points in solidifying the burglary commission is basically the theft of xerox paper and xerox machine use of whatever is approached. Without this theft, then the distinction between B and E and unlawful entry would become important. It could mean the difference between a felony and a misdemeanor."

MR. FLYNN: The next document is a document calling "Vetting Hat Write Up," which Mr. Walters referred to in his testimony. It will be, for the record, Exhibit 16.

(A copy of a document, entitled "Vetting Hat Write Up," was marked as Exhibit No. 16, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: Exhibit 16, the "Vetting Hat Write Up," the purpose, as set forth on the document, quote: "To clean USB 1 files of legally actionable evidence against the GO and its personnel." Then, set forth is a definition of evidence: "Something legally presented before a court as a statement of a witness and object et cetera which bears on or establishes the point in question, distinguished from testimony and proof. The valuable final product: the file is free of illegal evidence.

"Steps taken to vet the file cabinet, drawer, or box: one, take one file folder at a time to vet from a bunch of folders; two, scan through each particle in the file and vet each one as it comes up; a, using a razor blade, cut out all parts of reports written by us that would indicate something illegal was happening, already did happen, or was being planned; b, if the particle is then so cut up that it is impracticable to have in the files, staple it to a larger piece of paper and stick it

.

.

. •

in the files; c, if, in order to properly vet a particle, some of the data of the report is lost, write up the data on a separate sheet and shred the first report.

Make sure to note the date and originator, et cetera, of the origin." And "d, if the report is an actual operation report and not just an account of covert data collections, do not vet the report. Rather, write the data down that is in the files, if applicable, and send the full report containing actual ops actions to Ops."

Page two of this same exhibit, midway down the page: "Now, the details of what you vet. One, mentions or the ordering of a B and E; two, evidence that anything was stolen by one of our guys. This does not mean someone like PC saying he stole something; this means us saying we stole something.

"Three, lying similar to 'Here are the docs we got in our usual way lat last night'; four, implications of casing, including keeping checks on schedules and working hours, as well as locks on doors, et cetera; five, implications of posing as a government agent; six, evidence of tapping phone lines or an illegal taping of conversations; seven, mentions of harrassment of an individual, although not necessarily a full operation; eight, any evidence of bribery; nine, any mentions of

recruitments of FSMs to be any kind of an agent. This is solicitation and a crime.

"Ten, also vet wordings like 'This will get him' or 'Let's wipe him out' or 'This will be good for dead agenting' or 'We are planning a covert op on him to handle him' or 'This will strengthen our attack on him'; eleven, any mentions of entrapment, setting up someone to commit a crime either directly or indirectly."

MR. FLYNN: The next exhibit, number 17, will be "Re: Red Box" --

MR. SHOEMAKER: Could I ask a question of what the date of this particular exhibit was, number 16?

MR. FLYNN: 9 March '75.

MR. LeCHER: We only have a few more to go, ladies and gentlemen, and then we'll conclude with any questions you may have of the consultant or the witness. And then we will take a very short break and allow -- and then Mr. DeWolfe will be here.

(A copy of a document, entitled "Re: Red Box," was marked as Exhibit No. 17, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: This exhibit has been marked as Exhibit 17, and it refers to Red Box. If I could have page two placed on the overhead projector, first, we'll see how Red Box Data is defined by the corporation.

"Red Box Data Information Sheet" appears at the top of this page two. "One, What is Red Box Data? A, proof that a Scientologist is involved in criminal activities; b, anything illegal that implicates MSH or LRH; c, large amounts of non-FOI" - which, I would suggest, is Freedom of Information - "docs; d, operations against any government group or persons; e, all operations that contain illegal activities; f, evidence of incriminating activities; g, names and details of confidential financial accounts.

material or finished cycles that can be shredded should be; b, large amounts of Red Box Datathat is not needed for day-to-day function and cannot be destroyed is located with all our non-FOI docs and can be called for via CIC; c, small amounts of data that must be kept on hand due to security and frequent use is to be kept in a briefcase locked up and is to be marked.

Finally, "three, How is Red Box Data kept on the B l premises cared for? A, this data will be picked up and carried out of the building by owner immediately upon notification of raid, search warrant, et cetera; b, persons carrying this data, as few as possible, will leave the premises and only return when they have called

CHAIR COMMERCE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

in and received an all clear."

MR. CAIDERBANK: Tom, will you describe the B l group as it is defined or read and, specifically, what it does in the GO organization, Guardian Office organization?

MR. WALTERS: B 1, as I remember, is the Intelligence Bureau of the Guardian's Office, now called Information.

MR. CALDERBANK: What's their purpose?

MR. WALTERS: Their purpose is to investigate and ferret out the enemy, expose, or, if need be, create or manufacture evidence against the enemy.

MR. FLYNN: I would also point out at this point that, when the FBI seized some eighty thousand documents from the Church of Scientology in July 1977, they missed by two days obtaining any Red Box Data. All the Red Box Data that is referred to in this exhibit was not seized; it was --

MR. CALDERBANK: Why?

MR. FLYNN: -- missed by the FBI.

MR. CALDERBANK: Why?

MR. FLYNN: Because they didn't know its location at the time. And that's a matter of court record.

MR. CALDERBANK: I'm intrigued that not only do

28

22

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

---

they have policies that would condone criminal activities and give points for successful criminal activities being carried out, but they also have a policy that they participate in that covers up criminal activities by members.

Is this another policy that they utilize day in and day out?

MR. WALTERS: Yeah. That's standard Guardian's Office function.

This is a lot -- in the front of this book, you'll read all about how nice it is to be good. But this is what occured.

MR. CALDERBANK: Let's forget about it a minute and let's forget about doctrines. This is conduct.

This is the conduct of the policies --

MR. WALTERS: This is all --

MR. CALDERBANK: -- that they induce people to do?

MR. WALTERS: This is -- this says burglary, criminal actions by a group, planned, executed --

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mayor and Commissioners, that particular letter is dated the 25th of March 1977.

MR. LeCHER: As far as you know, it is still going on now. I have had conversations with Scientologists, not recently but certainly within the past year, who've said that they no longer do these things.

That's -- again, I'm going to ask you the same question: Do they still do these things? Do they till act this way or is this ancient history that dates back to 1975 or '76?

MR. WALTERS: Well, I'd put it like this: I'm not here in Clearwater, so I can't tell you specifically. But what I'm talking about here today is the hallmark of Scientology. It is the technology of Scientology. For them to survive, it must be done.

MR. CALDERBANK: When was the last time that you saw these policies carried out? What was the date; what was the time?

MR. WALTERS: I've seen them all through '70, '71, all the way on up to '78, '79.

MR. CALDERBANK: And you --

MR. WALTERS: I had Cooper - Don Cooper, one of their intelligence agents, who's now down here in Clearwater - stole things out of my house that showed up at the lawyer.

MR. CALDERBANK: When?

MR. WALTERS: This was done -- oh, the lawyer showed it to me two months ago at the deposition.

MR. CALDERBANK: So, you've had acutal, personal involvement and know these things are happening up to

two months ago?

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

I saw them them and participated in them; now, they're being done to me. There is no change in this group at all.

MR. LeCHER: One more question: What would happen if, as of tomorrow morning, Scientology, the Church of Scientology, said, "We will stop all these dirty tricks; we will rewrite our literature and we will act like any other organization that is in business, and we will no longer - not to mention religion, I don't want to bring that into it - we will no longer engage in these tricks and we will let Scientology fall or rise on its own merits and its teachings"?

MR. WALTERS: If they said that?

MR. LeCHER: Yes.

MR. WALTERS: All right. Well, they're going to say this. PR will -- they're already writing it right now. To me, it wouldn't mean anything. I've been -- MR. LeCHER: Well, what if they did, indeed, do

that? Would Scientology still be as --

MR. WALTERS: I will --

MR. LeCHER: -- powerful as it is?

MR. WALTERS: I'll tell you what, I'll invite them

23

24

to invite me to Fort Harrison, and I will be glad to give a lecture to all Scientologists there now. And if they can confront what I say and want to remain at Fort Harrison, we can end the problems.

I extend -- Scientology talks about communication and good will. They can come and see me; they don't have to burglarize my home. They can come and see me any time.

I will be glad to go to Fort Harrison tonight and talk to all of the Scientologists.

MR. LeCHER: What I'm really asking is: Can Scientology succeed if they no longer use the enemies' list and attack the attacker and all these things that we have seen in the documents?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

MR. WALTERS: But then you wouldn't have Scientology.

MR. CALDERBANK: In other words, you're saying --

MR. LeCHER: Well, then, what would you have?

MR. WALTERS: I don't know what you'd have. If you wouldn't have the cult of Scientology, you'd probably have something new starting. I don't know.

See, Scientology isn't all bad. If it was, you wouldn't have decent people entering it.

MR. BERFIELD: Yeah, but if you rewrote these articles, you'd almost have to rewrite most of the ones

that you were talking about involving fraud and misrepresentation and everything else.

MR. WALTERS: You'd have to rewrite almost eighty percent of Scientology policy and, probably, seventy percent of the tech.

MR. BERFIELD: So, what -- then, what would happen to their income?

MR. WALTERS: They wouldn't have an income.

MR. CALDERBANK: You're saying that these policies form a fabric that is interwoven over, perhaps, seventy to as great as eighty percent of all their activities and conduct?

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. CALDERBANK: And these policies call for criminal and fraudulent activity, which you have given examples of?

MR. WALTERS: To defeat the enemy.

MR. CALDERBANK: And if that means casing, burglarizing, manufacturing evidence, extortion, kid-napping --

MR. WALTERS: Yeah. I mean, you've seen --

MR. CALDERBANK: I'm just giving back to you the examples that you've given me.

MR. WALTERS: Absolutely.

MR. HATCHETT: If I hear you correctly, Mr. Walters, you're saying regardless of whether they rewrite, they're not going to change. Is that the implication I hear?

MR. WALTERS: No, because we're talking about a philosophy. We're talking --

MR. HATCHETT: That's ingrained.

MR. WALTERS: Yes.

I welcome Mr. Hubbard to come here and sit with me now. If he could do this, you wouldn't have Scientology. You see, if Reverend Jones could leave Guyana and come and talk to the congressman, you realize you wouldn't have a cult anymore, because he was -- you have to handle the mental paranoia of Hubbard. Until that is done, you're going to have consistent defrauding of individuals and also criminal activities taken against perceived enemies.

MR. LeCHER: So, you're saying they couldn't exist without any of that, without this doctrine or without these teachings. They couldn't -- well, they couldn't exist without any money coming in; is that what you're saying? They wouldn't get the money to exist worldwide?

MR. WALTERS: No -- yeah, well, it all depends.

Most all the money is being made in Clearwater right now.

MR. LeCHER: In Clearwater? All the money is supposed to --

MR. WALTERS: Being made.

MR. LeCHER: The money supporting the whole operation is being made in Clearwater?

MR. WALTERS: I would say -- I would say Clearwater is the single, primary source of most of the money coming in. The people in Clearwater are higher up, fully programmed and conditioned. I mean, this is -- they originally bought courses for two thousand. They are now down here giving ten, twenty, and thirty thousand at a time.

MR. LeCHER: What do the poor people do?

MR. WALTERS: Poor people are not in Scientology, or they join staff.

MR. LeCHER: If you're poor, then -- you mean, they only pick on the wealthy kids? And if you're poor, you've got to work for seventeen hours a week and for nothing?

MR. WALTERS: A wealthy person is a hot prospect.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

Ladies and gentlemen --

MR. FLYNN: Mr. Mayor -- we've got two more, Mayor, and then -- two more documents and, then, that will be

the end of the documents for the day.

Exhibit 18 is a document, entitled "Operations Definitions," which pertains to the drills that a Guardian's Office operative goes through, as described by Mr. Walters in his earlier testimony with regard to framing or smearing someone, of which Mr. Greene will read some.

(A copy of a document, entitled "Operations Definitions," was marked as Exhibit No. 18, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: You have the drill on the overhead projector. "Recognizing Basic Effectiveness or Plans.

Take into account effectiveness, security, legality, workability, et cetera when making your decisions. Choose which basic plan is best. A, General Scene: Person to restrain or remove Mr. Jones, employee in local government agency attacking the org. One, order hundreds of dollars worth of liquor in Jones' name and have it delivered to his home causing trouble and make the liquor store owner dislike him; two, call up Jones' boss and accuse Jones of being a homosexual; three, send Jones' boss evidence of Jones accepting bribes on the job with copies to police and local FBI.

"B, General Scene: Psychiatrist who has instigated attacks on the org. via police and press. One, expose

--

his Nazi background to the press with evidence that he still attends local Nazi meetings; two, wake him up every night by calling him on the phone and threatening him; and three, send an FSM in to be a patient of his for a year to disperse the psych during sessions."

Finally, "C, General Scene: A newspaper executive Clyde McDonald who is behind local attacks. One, poison him while he's asleep so he'll never start another attack; two, make known to the paper's owner that McDonald is responsible for the paper's decreasing advertising revenues; three, spread rumor around to the paper's employees that McDonald is a Communist; and four, put itching powder in McDonald's clothes so he'll scratch himself all day thus preventing him from writing stories."

MR. LeCHER: Where is McDonald today?

MR. WALTERS: Selling millions of hamburgers.

MR. FLYNN: I don't know where Mr. McDonald is, but Paulette Cooper will be testifying before this Commission.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

MR. GREENE: If we could have the next page in this series --

MR. CALDERBANK: I've got a personal example of

24

24

20

21

22

that.

MR. GREENE: -- D and E. Let me direct your attention to "E, General Scene: A local Catholic bishop is causing many local attacks on the org. One, send several FSMs" - you remember, Mr. Walters testified is a field staff member - "over to the Catholic church to swear at him in confessionals; two, connect him up to abortion and/or pornographic activities; three, write the Pope an anonymous letter stating that the bishop is really a rabbi under cover; and four, spread a rumor around town that he's against air pollution."

MR. FI,YNN: The next exhibit is called "Correct Use of Codes," which will be Exhibit 19.

(A copy of a document, entitled "Correct Use of Codes," was marked as Exhibit No. 19, as of this date.)

MR. GREENE: This is a Guardian order, as it appears on the top of the exhibit. If you could move the exhibit down, you'll see the Guardian's order and the date,

September 17th, 1976. Mr. Walters can explain the routing form in the upper left-hand corner when I've completed.

"The correct use of codes to insure that coding is done correctly with the correct subjects coded and overcoding avoided, the following steps are laid down.

Codes are used for the following general headings: one,

incriminating, undercover, or misinformation of covert operations and the like. Incriminating activities would include things like lobbying where this is inhibited in non-profit corporations, also, money deals that might provoke government tax offices.

. 14

"Two, things that we want unknown as connected to the Church of Scientology, secret TR and B 1 front groups; three, legal PR and B 1 traffic on B 1 flubs; four, things that we want unknown LRH or MSH connected to, this is handled by coding of their names; five, words or actions that would tend to dispute the fact that the C of S's motives are humanitarian; i.e., harrass, eradicate, attack, destroy, annihilate, cave in, third party, spreading rumors, entrapment, trap or entrap, stir up the opposition.

"Six, actions of confidential corporate tax bodies. Strategy: Some B 1 money, CSWs, and occasionally the names of accounts. Seven, anything that gives specific and actual evidence that Scientology is in legal control of B 6-type groups. These are groups that are separate, legal entities from the Church of Scientology. Eight, admission to unpunished crimes and/or incriminating data. This would mostly come on personnel lines.

"In addition to the following, there are certain

things that get government customs upset and more curious about what is in the mailbag. These are such things as: confidential, secret, highly confidential, top secret, very highly confidential, restricted, et cetera written across the top."

MR. FLYNN: Mr. Walters would like to make one closing statement. And for today, that will be all the documentary evidence.

MR. LeCHER: Before -- I'd like to ask one more question: I have met most of the Scientologists as they come into town; they try and make a social call. I don't necessarily talk to them anymore, unless they give me a false name and false reason to be here.

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

I met Reverend Whitman -- is that his name?

MR. WALTERS: Kenneth Whitman.

MR. LeCHER: Kenneth Whitman, who is a rather angelic-looking young man with a turned around collar and a soft voice and a nice, easy manner.

And he says that they no longer act that way, that he is the president of the Church. And as the president, he led me to believe that he calls all the shots.

Is that true that Mr. Whitman, Reverend Whitman, with turned around collar, does call the shots for the

Church?

MR. WALTERS: No, he does not. I'm sure if he says he's president, he's president. It would be a legal position to be in to protect L. Ron Hubbard. But Mr. Whitman and every Scientologist only does what L. Ron Hubbard wants him to. Maybe he doesn't know it now, but it'll probably add a few years to his sentence, if he gets any. I mean, Hubbard designs things so that it does not come back to him.

Now, Kenneth Whitman -- I personally don't know if he's involved in any of this. But I would assure you, as president of the Church, he is told exactly what to say and the plan, in fact, to handle the city is drawn up exactly like this.

MR. SHOEMAKER: Mr. Walters, following up on that:
Would he, as being president of the Church, have any
control over the Guardian's Office?

MR. WALTERS: No, no. It's a legal title; it's a PR title. He's the person that, when you want to see the big boss, you'll get him. The guys who follow you home are the guys running the show.

MR. LeCHER: Does he normally walk around in his home town with a turned around collar or does he wear regular street clothes?

2

•

6

9

11

10

12

18

15

16

17

19

\_\_

91

22

...

24

MR. WALTERS: Well, he's been so busy now because of everything going on, he's probably in legal mock-up, meaning in dress, almost all the time.

The little -- I've known him just very little.

He's, basically, a very nice guy and very suited to what he does.

MR. LeCHER: Mr. Calderbank, let's try and take a break before we get to the next witness.

MR. CALDERBANK: Very quickly, I've been waiting to ask either an ex-Scientologist or a current Scientologist:

Number one, tell me if this -- it was -- these examples were in the paper, by the way.

I was coming out, after Mr. Flynn presented his report, and someone that I didn't know came up to my car and said, "Hello, you're such and such. I've seen your picture in the paper." And as was reported by the paper, that person then said, "Does your sister" - and my sister is a dentist in Pittsburgh - "Does she worry about malpractice suits?"

I got out of the car and asked him -- looked for another person, and he told me to get my hands of him, that he didn't know what I was talking about. About two weeks later, after notifying my sister's attorney, she had patients that came in with various ailments that

would not follow her prescribed dental practices. And when she made them sign charts off, they left. MR. WALTERS: That sounds --MR. CALDERBANK: In your opinion - which, this has appeared in the paper - is this a coincidence? MR. WALTERS: It sounds to me like a very standard, good B 1 operation. MR. CALDERBANK: Number two, her own -- I met in a --MR. LeCHER: Wait. We -- we -- I don't know if 10 we know that he knows that they are coming after your 11 sister. That's really hearsay and --12 MRS. GARVEY: What's a B 1? MR. LeCHER: Yes. What's a B 1? MR. HATCHETT: That's intelligence. 15 MR. LeCHER: That's intelligence. 16 Well, we really don't know that. 17 MR. WALTERS: Well, I would take his word it 18. happened. 19 MR. LeCHER: Yeah. Well, I know -- I believe that 20 it happened. But you don't know that --21 MR. WALTERS: Oh, no. 22 MR. LeCHER: -- the reasons why it happened. MRS. GARVEY: I mean --MR. WALTERS: He only asked for my opinion from my

experience.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

MR. LeCHER: I don't want to get too far astray from what we're here for.

MR. WALTERS: True. I don't claim to know everything on Scientology.

MR. LeCHER: Would you like to make a final statement, sir?

MR, WALTERS: Yes.

I'd just like to close -- because we've been talking about such terrible things here, which is, of course, one of the reasons I came here. And I'm glad to do it as a citizen and I'm glad to see that the truth will come out and, if it's confronted and pursued long enough, it will.

But I want you to know and anybody listening because the PR campaign against me will start tomorrow I am not anti-religion, I am not looking to destroy anyone; I want to lead a good, decent life. I have many
friends in Scientology; there are a lot of good, caring
people.

When I was in Scientology, I loved and admired

L. Ron Hubbard. I admired a man who was in the war and
got war injuries and healed himself, and he's confronting
enemies and continually going on to create the worldwide

game that everybody could win. I believed it; I loved it.

Now, that I'm out and I've learned the truth about it, I'm not -- I'm embarrassed by it. But I want the truth to come out that I am not in a vendetta. I care for Mr. Hubbard. It's going to be like Howard Hughes and he's going to end up in a lonely room knocking himself off, until somebody who cares enough for him, instead of these Gestapo agents, somebody who cares for him helps him.

I have no hatred for the individual; I loved him.

I don't love him now, but I probably understand him

better. If he's listening, I'd tell him, "Get in touch

with me. I won't harm you; I'll help you. Do it now

before you create a catastrophe here in Clearwater or

injure thousands of young people."

Many Scientologists are good people. Most of them are. They've just been led astray. And even though you're going to hear some shocking things in the next few days - and I suggest you really do look into this cult of Scientology - there are a lot of good people and some that I truly like and admire.

I appreciate you listening to me.

MR. LeCHER: Thank you for being a very courageous

man and courageous vitness, and we wish you well no matter where life takes you from now on.

We will take a ten-minute break.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
(Whereupon, the hearing resumed.)

MR. LeCHER: Vision, are you on? You are now on, okay.

Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. We are going to proceed with the next witness, which will be -- we will not speak at such length as we did with the previous witness. But this witness will come back tomorrow morning at nine o'clock and we'll resume.

We are now waiting for Mr. Ron -- Ronald DeWolfe to come in.

Thank you, Mr. DeWolfe, we will attempt to make this as painless as possible for you, sir. I know that you are also a courageous individual to be here with us this afternoon, especially, because of your background.

I would like to ask you a few -- before we do ask you questions, sir, I would like to ask you if you would be sworn in by our clerk.

RONALD DeWOLFE, a witness herein, having first been sworn by a Clerk for the City of

.

.

4

.

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

10

19 20

21

••

. .

Clearwater, was examined and testified as follows:

MR. LeCHER: Thank you.

Mr. DeWolfe, I'd like to ask you these same five standard questions I'll be asking every witness that we will be listening to on both sides of the issue.

Number one: Are you appearing here today and testifying under oath voluntarily?

MR. DeWOLFE: Yes.

MR. LeCHER: Number two: Have you been paid by anyone for your testimony, other than the expenses of coming to Clearwater?

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: Number three: Do you have a lawsuit against the Church of Scientology?

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: Does the Church of Scientology have a lawsuit against you?

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: Has anyone suggested to you that you should state anything but the truth? Or has anyone suggested to you that you change your testimony for any reason?

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: Okay, sir.

1	Your name is Robert DeWolfe?	ī
2	MR. DeWOLFE: Ronald DeWolfe.	
3 <b>3</b>	MR. LeCHER: Ronald DeWolfe.	-
4	MR. DeWOLFE: Ronald Edward DeWolfe.	
8	MR. LeCHER: Are you the natural son of L. Ron	ļ
6	Hubbard?	
7	MR. DeWOLFE: Yes, I am.	·
8	MR. LeCHER: All right.	
9	So, your surname was not DeWolfe but Ronald Hubbard?	ſ
10	MR. DeWOLFE: That's correct.	1
11	MR. LeCHER: And I see here that you were born in	
12	1934 in California, and your mother was Margaret Louise	1
13	Grubb	ì
14	MR. DeWOLFE: Yes.	İ
15	MR. LeCHER: is that correct?	•
16	I don't think we have to go too much into the	
17	fact I read that the contributions to Scientology	
18	are processing of courses as written by Mr. DeWolfe.	
19	Is that you, sir?	
20	MR, DeWOLFE: Yes.	
21	I didn't quite understand your statement.	
22	MR. LeCHER: Well, do you know anything about TR	
23	TRs and 8-C and miscellaneous Scientology processes?	
24	MR. DeWOLFE: Yes, because I helped create them.	

.

\*

.

9

11

10

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

MR. LeCHER: All right. That's what I was really getting at.

Do you want to tell us what TRs are and 8-C, and when and why you did these things?

MR. DeWOLFE: Well, the TRs -- TR stands for training routine, which we called training drills. They were used in the beginning or formulated in the beginning in order to -- as a method at that time in communication and in control of someone's body, because, if you can control someone's body, you can control the mind.

There were several of them. I don't happen to have a copy of them. It's been many years ago, but I can go over them point by point, if you'd like.

MR. LeCHER: If you would, just in your own words, sir, if you want to describe what you did or what your job was within the organization.

MR. DeWOLFE: Oh, well, I was, at various times, Director of Training, Director of Processing, the Executive Secretary, which, in those days, would be the person responsible, for instance, here in the United States for the entire United States.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

When did you leave the Church of Scientology?

MR. DeWOLFE: I left November 23rd, 1959 at nine

a.m. at Washington, D.C.

MR. LeCHER: 1959?

MR. DeWOLFE: Yes.

MR. LeCHER: When did you become involved in the Church of Scientology?

MR. DeWOLFE: In the very beginning, in 1950, with the first book, <u>Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental</u> Health.

MR. LeCHER: I'm going down the outline here, and I see that Hubbard's primarily -- primary means of income as a write -- he wrote such things as Arabian Night Adventures, westerns, confessions in magazine articles, he wrote as a woman with problems, science fiction, screenplays up until -- this is how your father originally gained his main source of income?

MR. DeWOLFE: Yes, it was. There are -- but the problem there is a lot of his writing -- he didn't really write as many words as he said he did. Through the early fifties, he used to tell everybody that he had written seven million words of fiction. But, in fact, it probably never exceeded a million words, and that was during the thirties and forties. And, of course, during the thirties, that was mainly in what was called pulp magazines, and he was paid, like, a penny a word, which

17

18

doesn't amount to a great deal of money, if you add it all up.

But as far as his -- the one thing that caught my eye in the outline in number five, it says screenplays. And I only know of one screenplay that he possibly was involved in, and it was a serial, one of those Saturday matinee serials that went on for thirteen or twenty-six weeks or something. And it came -- he either had a small part in writing it or it came from a story of his, but there were many other screenwriters. By the time the -- by the time the play -- the serial came out, there were several other screenwriters who had rewritten it and been added to the credits. So, that's the only screenplay that I personally know of.

And as far as his income is concerned, a great deal of his income came from my mother who - and my grand-father, his father - who supported him.

MR. LeCHER: Then, much that has been written and said about your father is a figment of his imagination, would you --

MR. DeWOLFE: That's quite correct, particularly, in the areas of G here, page one, where "Hubbard's primary means of income is writing." Yes, that was his income, but, again, if you multiply a million words times

y

13.

a penny, then, we're talking about what, ten thousand dollars or something. MR. LeCHER: By a penny, yes, sir. MR. DeWOLFE: But --MR. LeCHER: Yes, sir. Go ahead. MR. DeWOLFE: No, but the -- I remember some of these Arabian Night adventures because he had some of 8 the original artwork paintings around. And he wrote westerns under Winchester Remington Colt and, also, he 9 wrote quite a number of confession magazine articles 10 posing as a woman, that's quite correct, posing as a 11 woman with problems. 12 MR. LeCHER: Is that like advice to the lovelorn? 13 Something like ---14 MR. DeWOLFE: Yes -- well, not really. It is -- I 15 think you can still see some of that type of literature 16 today where --17 MRS. GARVEY: True Confessions? 18 MR. LeCHER: Like True Confessions or My True 20 Story --21 MR. DeWOLFE: Yes, exactly. 22 MR. LeCHER: -- or things like that? MR. DeWOLFE: And, of course, quite a bit of

science fiction to various magazines and, particularly,

two unknown stories in 1940, '39 and '40, which later became Astounding Science Fiction, which later became Analog.

MR. LeCHER: According to the outline, there are a lot of things here concerning your life, your early life, and your relationship with your mother and your father. I don't know if you want to go into that.

MR. DeWOLFE: Sure.

1

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LeCHER: But if you'd like to, I'd like to hear about it in your own words.

Looking at it, you were married -- this marriage -- Margaret bore one child, et cetera, et cetera. And I hesitate to ask you about them, too, about --

MR. FLYNN: Would you like to read this?
MR. DeWOLFE: Oh, certainly.

Well, okay. My father's been married three times.

I know in Scientology literature and what he tries to
to get other people to believe in his writings of these
biographies that he's only been married once to Mary Sue
Hubbard. But he's been married three times.

His first marriage was to my mother, Margaret
Louise Grubb. They were married April 13th, 1933 in
Elkton, Maryland. By the way, my mother was born
September 22rd, 1907 in Maryland. And then -- just to

1,

\_

•

7

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

16

17

21

--

cover some of the vital statistics --

MR. LeCHER: Yes, sir, I want you tell it in your own words, because I don't know how you want to say this.

MR. DeWOLFE: Then, my mother and father were divorced December 24th, 1947 in Port Orchard, Washington. And then my mother died November 17th, 1963 in Coatesville, Pennsylvania.

Then, he married a Sarah Northrup -- and let me get the exact date; it's in here somewhere.

MRS. GARVEY: It says 1946, but that's before the divorce.

MR. LeCHER: In 1946, Hubbard married Sarah Northrup.

MR. DeWOLFE: That's correct. It was a bigamous marriage.

MR. LeCHER: That's why you said there's a clear inference here that he must have been married to two people at the same time. And that lasted a few years and she bore one child.

MR. DeWOLFE: Correct.

MR. LeCHER: Some of these other things are rather personal in nature, and you may want to talk about them or you may not want to talk about them --

MR. DeWOLFE: No. I'm perfectly willing to talk

Charle Constant and the second of the second of

about them, because I believe that all of the facts of the true history of L. Ron Hubbard should come out and should be known by people. I'm talking about the documented facts and the actual occurrences in his lifetime, because he has built up over the years, since 1950, a great mystique and a great amount of false and imaginary data.

I could say flatly that ninety-nine percent of what my father wrote about his own life and what he has done is false. And since it has always been an equal part of Scientology itself, that I think that people should know who this man is.

MR. LeCHER: All right, sir.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. DeWOLFE: Any -- any part of this -- any part of this, I would be very happy to go over --

MR. LeCHER: Do you have anything about an example about being false? I mean, can he -- was he really in the war and shot up and healed himself with his own mind --

MR. DeWOLFE: He was in the Navy in World War II, but he wasn't shot up.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

What about --

MR. DeWOLFE: He was never wounded or blinded.

MR. LeCHER: -- this --

1 .

10

11

12

13

15

17

MR. DeWOLFE: Excuse me, sir.

MR. LeCHER: Certainly.

MR. DeWOLFE: I said he was never wounded or blinded.

MR. LeCHER: He has attributed to his great powers because he has had this fantastic educational background.

Would you like to go into how far in college did he, indeed, go and what degrees does he have?

MR. DeWOLFE: He spent a few months, as far as college is concerned, at George Washington University. And one of the major points that he has said that he -- he has said that he has a degree in engineering -- at one point he has a degree in nuclear engineering. Now, of course, that changed.

As far as any nuclear engineering is concerned, he only took one semester and he received an F, as in failure, for the one semester.

MR. LeCHER: I think that's pertinent, because Mr. Walters spoke earlier today about one of the reasons why he believed in all these principles and successes is because of your father's backgroun'; it was so significant. But, apparently, that is not true.

Can you tell me something about Dianetics?

Apparently, Hubbard -- I'm told, Mr. DeWolfe, in 1947, he was thinking about writing a book called Dianetics.

MR. DeWOLFE: That's correct. He was in Washington at the time, and he had -- some of these, as I try to explain them -- it's sometimes difficult to explain simply in easily straightforward terms, because sometimes these incidents are kind of highly complex and lead from one thing to another.

My father at the time was -- had Sarah Northrup with him in a trailer over in Port Orchard, Washington, which is six miles away from Burlington, Washington. And that's what he had told me, that he was thinking about writing a book about the mind, and in subsequent phone calls and contacts he mentioned calling it Dianetics. This was in 1947 and, then, through '48.

I can go through it, sir, chronologically, if you'd like.

MR. LeCHER: All right, sir.

Did Dianetics -- then, The Modern Science of Mental

Health deals greatly with husbands beating their pregnant
wives.

Is there anything --

MR. DeWOLFE: That's correct.

There's, I believe --

24

10

11

12

13

17

18

20

ja 1 min

.

MR. LeCHER: -- in the book about husbands beating pregnant wives? That's part of the book?

MR. DeWOLFE: I believe, all together, there's something around two hundred seventy, I believe, various incidents in it which had to do with a great deal of prenatal experiences: husbands beating wives, and attempted abortions by husbands on wives, and then attempted abortions on women by themselves. And the general focus of the book is, I would say, generally one of sex.

MR. LeCHER: All these unusual ways of abortion is under the title of a book called The Modern Science of Mental Health?

MR. DeWOLFE: Correct.

The -- but one of the things about <u>Dianetics</u>:

The Modern Science of Mental Health, and an offshooting <u>Dianetics</u>: The Modern Science of Mental Health was at various times written, he said, in three months. And, also, he has said it was written in six weeks. What he has told me -- we visited Bay Head, New Jersey where he wrote the book with Sarah there.

And when we returned to a very small cottage on the beach -- it was empty at that time, but the door was open and we walked around inside. He said that he had

22,

written it in less than a month.

And -- but the incidents in <u>Dianetics: The Modern</u>

<u>Science of Mental Health</u> came from, generally -- from

his imagination and, also, at least two attempted

abortions on my mother. One I observed when I was

around six or seven. And these other activities with

other women -- but as far as any research is concerned,

he has said at various times that he has spent thirty

some years researching it, but he didn't.

This stems from -- there were, I think, a one -two- or three-part article in Astounding Science Fiction,
which is now called Analog Magazine - but at that time
it was Astounding Science Fiction - and it came out
about 1948 or '49. And the response to it was so overwhelming that John W. Campbell, the editor of the magazine, and other people insisted that Dad make a complete
book out of it. So, he had to hurry and write it very
quickly.

Then, the book came out in May, May 9th, 1950. So, there was an original magazine article, which, I notice in recent and even earlier Scientology literature -- that they never mention the magazine article, which was the original.

MR. LeCHER: Since your father used the principles

in the book, The Modern Science of Mental Health, to perform an abortion on your mother, how did this all relate or lead to Scientology? MR. DeWOLFE: Oh, wow, have you got about three weeks? Well, anyway --MR. LeCHER: Well, see, it doesn't -- it's hard for me to follow --MR. DeWOLFE: Yeah, I understand. MR. LeCHER: -- sir, how he started all this. MR. DeWOLFE: I find it easier to do this in a chronological order --MR. LeCHER: Yes, sir, all right.

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

I'm trying to lead you through it and if I -- all right. It says that the book preys on mentally impaired or ill people, and the Dianetics book represents that the methodology will cure more than seventy percent of all man's ills. It has claimed to cure cancer, tuberculosis, arthritis, heart disease, retardation, and you can increase your IQ, et cetera.

Do you think that he has been successful in any of these things?

MR. DeWOLFE: He claims it cured anything and everything.

> MR. LeCHER: The list could be larger

MR. DeWOLFE: No. You see, Dianetics and Scientology -- I can back up on this later. But Dianetics and Scientology is, according to Dad, a -- the one and only true path to freedom and the one and only true alleviation of any aberration or human problem. It is the solution to anything that a human being may want to do, or if you may have any sickness or illness.

I know that over the years -- if you remember in the fifties, we were putting across it would cure anything, including falling hair and dandruff. And the lawyers and attorneys and the government were getting very uptight and very upset about it, us using the word "cure." Because the very first Dianetic Research Foundation -- this was in New Jersey in 1950. That's one of the reasons it got closed up and why Dad had to move on to Wichita, Kansas.

But the main idea here is it -- we had to alter what we were selling out.

MR. LeCHER: Was it the local AMA that decided your father's book was nothing more than quackery?

MR. DeWOLFE: I'm sorry, sir?

MR. LeCHER: Did the AMA, the local AMA --

MR. DeWOLFE: Yes, right.

MR. LeCHER: -- in Elizabeth, New Jersey --

....

.

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DeWOLFE: You see, what happened was Dad wrote Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health in 1950. The response was so overwhelming -- if you had to look at 1950 within that context of time, it was the first doit-yourself psychotherapy book really to come out, and how to cure yourself of anything all by yourself or with another fellow, your next door neighbor. You just sid down on your couch, open the book up, and have a whack at it.

But the overwhelming response to it -- it really was an incredible best seller. Mail was coming in to the Wichita foundation literally by the mail truckload. I wasn't there, but my father has told me quite a bit about it. Other people who were connected with the Research Foundation -- this was in -- the very first one, as I said, was formed in Elizabeth, New Jersey.

MR. LeCHER: He then --

MR. DeWOLFE: Now, this is something in the very beginning that got my father very deeply involved in this thing because there was so damn much money involved. So, they formed — the foundation was formed.

Then, doctors and other people there were trying to legitimize it, that is, they were -- they wanted to do before and after testing. They wanted to do before and

2

3

.

6

•

Τ.

10

11

12

13

14

٦,

16

17

19

20

21

--

23

after x-rays, before and after medical exams, and show the differences and great benefits of it.

Well, since the whole book, <u>Dianetics:</u> The Modern Science of Mental Health, was written off the top of my father's head, Dad didn't really appreciate that very much, because people were getting too close into investigating him. Plus, reading that very first book with the best seller jacket and all of the other claims that were in the very first edition - I haven't read it for umpteen years and I haven't seen the movie version - but anyway, there were claimed actual cures. We can cure anything.

MR. LeCHER: Guaranteed cure?

MR. DeWOLFE: Yes, right.

10

11

12

13

14

16

21

22

All you had to do was just run out your birth or run out your prenatal experiences, and that is the basis — that was the basis of all of your problems. It was all of these things that people did to you in moments of pain and unconsciousness called engram. And if you cleared up this moment of pain and unconsciousness and — then, that would release all of the terrible charge you had deep within your subconscious.

All right, well, this whole thing in Elizabeth,

New Jersey -- as I said, they were getting into -- they

were getting into cures and actual -- the practice of

medicine.

What happened then was the New Jersey Medical
Association started investigating the foundation and
forced its closure because they were practicing medicine
without a license. And that forced, then, Dad to go on
to Wichita, Kansas, which we can cover later if you want.
But --

MR. LeCHER: What is a prenatil experience?

MR. DeWOLFE: A prenatal experience -- well, any experience that you could have experienced in sexual relations: experiences of attempted abortions. I can get very graphic if you'd like, but with TV cameras here --

MR. LeCHER: I don't think we should get too graphic.

MR. DeWOLFE: There would be an incredible amount of editing going on; there'd be more bleeps than words.

MR. LeCHER: Okay, sir. Now, we're in Wichita.

MR. DeWOLFE: Wichita, Kansas.

MR. LeCHER: Your father married his third wife,
Mary Sue Whitman --

MR. DeWOLFE: Yes.

MR. LeCHER: -- who was a student at the Dianetic Center in Wichita.

11

12

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

•

22

23

MR. DeWOLFE: She, by the way, is only a couple of years older than I am. But I first met her in 19 -- February 1951.

The Dianetic -- here's where it really gets kind of interesting. The Wichita foundation -- the Dianetic Research Foundation in Wichita, Kansas was formed. He at this time was still with Sarah, Sarah Northrup. And since -- as I said, there's a recurring theme here throughout his life, what I've always termed fleeing in the night. That is, that he grabbed whatever cash he could from the Elizabeth, New Jersey foundation and went to Wichita, Kansas.

And there, with a Don G. Purcell and some other people, they formed up the Dianetic Research Foundation in Wichita, Kansas.

Now, this was in 1951.

MR. LeCHER: 1951 --

MR. DeWOLFE: We're up to 1951; we have another thirty years to go.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

That's when, then, he apparently went bankrupt.

MR. DeWOLFE: No, he didn't go bankrupt. Let me give you what happened on him going bankrupt.

There's quite a number of things occurring in

Wichita, Kansas. There, again, he attempted legitimizing Scientology with medical doctors and with other verifications. There, too, in Wichita, Sarah and my father got into a tremendous battle, divorce battle, where Sarah was claiming the same things, as an example, that he had been doing with my mother many years before that:

beatings, attempted abortions, et cetera. And she -- he claimed that Sarah was a Communist and claimed that she attempted to kill him by injecting heroin into his heart with a hypodermic and, also, poisoned a bottle of whiskey. Both of them untrue and pure fabrication by my father.

7'

But, then -- the reason I bring up this area is because it's pretty, I would imagine, pretty well known.
But as far as the -- let's see.

Then, he went flying off to -- taking off to

Puerto Rico, because the key to the divorce and -- he

had claimed mental derangement caused by Sarah and

that's why he was off to Puerto Rico. I read a letter

in one of the files that he had written and that he was

slowly going -- he was going insane and how they had had

their -- which was a lot of nonsense.

But she -- Don G. Purcell got him back up out of Puerto Rico and propped him up a little bit there. And Don G. Purcell wanted to help him out financially, and

my father, at this point in 1951, sold Don G. Purcell all of the rights to -- all of the rights to Dianetics and Dianetics: The Modern Science of Mental Health. That's a fact that I haven't ever really seen come out before.

So, at that juncture in -- throughout 1951, he no longer owned the rights to Dianetics. This is important because this is how -- one of the reasons of why Scientology was created.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

MR. DeWOLFE: Now, in all -- in every legal sense, he did not - just to jump forward very quickly - he did not reacquire the rights to Dianetics and the book, Dianetics, and the use of it and the processes and everything else until, I believe, 1955. I remember we had one hell of a great celebration around Washington, D.C., where we were at the time, because we finally -- he had finished destroying Don G. Purcell and getting back -- getting back all the rights to Dianetics. But to back up to where he had sold all the rights -- my father has only had one basic driving goal in any organization, and that is total and complete control. He could never share responsibility, sit on a board of directors, or even operate in any way in a group.

And he felt he was being constrained, and he thought

he was being confined, and he quite literally -- he was in terrible financial straits, because he spent money like water, and Don G. Purcell had come around to help him out financially. And, also, Purcell thought that pianetics, the processes of Dianetics, the books and what have you, quite -- they should belong to the foundation themselves -- the foundation itself. And so, he paid quite a bit of money. I don't remember what the sum of the money -- how much the money was.

7

10

-11

14

15

16

17

18:

22

But he, then - he was there at the Dianetic Research Foundation in Wichita for a while. Then -- but he didn't own the rights to it anymore, and he was forced to sit on a board of directors, which he never could stand to do.

This is, by the way, in response to your question about bankruptcy. Yes, the foundation went bankrupt. But all of a sudden one day, my father and Mary Sue, they -- they emptied all the bank accounts. Now, he was only able to sign on one small bank account. But I can remember my father talking about how he had gotten other people to empty them and how he had forged the names on checks.

But anyway, he had emptied the entire bank accounts -- all bank accounts of the Wichita Dianetic

Research Foundation and did another one of these fleeing in the nights to Phoenix, Arizona.

So, here he was in Phoenix, Arizona with the federal bankruptcy court looking down his throat, with the police looking down his throat, with Don G. Purcell looking down his throat. And my father only felt good with incredible amounts of cash with him. And I remember - jump forward slightly - I remember being, when I first arrived there in 1952 to start working with him very closely -- that he kept shoeboxes of money in his closet, all cash. And he had, I believe, somewhere around five or six shoeboxes with \$25,000.00 apiece in them in his closet.

That, by the way, is something he's always done, which is, he kept an incredible amount of cash close at hand so he could grab it and run.

And that is the reason for the bankruptcy and the failure in Wichita of the Dianetic Research Foundation. That answers that question.

MR. LeCHER: How did he destroy this Purcell, Don D. -- Don Purcell, is it, this man that eventually gained the rights to Dianetics?

MR. DeWOLFE: Oh, Don G. Purcell?

MR. LeCHER: Yes.

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

3

5

A

10

12

11

18

14

19

--

. .

19

ພ

, }•.

24

, ii

MR. DeWOLFE: Well, the -- I don't know all the particulars, but I do know that he used quite a smear and libel campaign, which is standard procedure.

See, my father would -- my father only wanted to do one thing, and that was to destroy people. He would not -- he did not do anything lightly. To him, anybody who did anything against him, criticized him -- he would just flat go after their throat. And I think that he was quite happy. And I don't remember exactly, but I think Don G. Purcell got quite ill. But I do know that my father spent a great deal of money in 1952 to 1955 in, I believe, court battles and in a standard misinformation campaign to bury Don.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

Back now to 1951, Dianetics offered in Wichita,
Kansas -- offered a process called auditing.

MR. DeWOLFE: That's correct.

MR. LeCHER: Can you tell us how he conceived the term "auditing" and the principles behind auditing?

MR. DeWOLFE: Oh, wow, okay.

The auditing and the word "clear" come from that comes from the field of accounting. I have to back up a little bit and give definitions of the words, if you don't mind.

22

23

24

MR. LeCHER: Well, I -- that's okay. I was just wondering how he -- how did he conceive that idea and does he have any background, to your knowledge, scientific background, that auditing could be plausible and worth-while medical --

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: It's just something he made up, then, auditing?

MR. DeWOLFE: I'm sorry?

MR. LeCHER: Auditing is just something he made up or --

MR. DeWOLFE: Yes.

As I explained, the basis of <u>Dianetics: The</u>

Modern Science of Mental Health, the basic book -- as

I said, we could go through all sorts of --

MR. LeCHER: Well, we just want to run -- walk through this gradually with you and, then, tomorrow, my colleagues and I will really get into anything they'd like to get into. I know that -- we want to be easy with you today and, of course, the entire time you're here.

So, any time -- if we run a little long with you today, we can stop and pick it up again tomorrow morning.

MR. DeWOLFE: Okay.

MR. LeCHER: I read the AMA, American Medical
Association, denounced Hubbard and claimed that he was
practicing medicine without a license and making outrageous claims like Dianetics would cure all man's ills.
And apparently, your father was humiliated sometime around
there at the Scientology headquarters -
MR. DeWOLFE: We can back up and cover that in
detail, if you'd like --

MR. LeCHER: I'd certainly like -- I want to go at your pace.

So, you might tell me about 1953 when your father was humiliated or he was accused of practicing license -- practicing medicine without a license.

MR. DeWOLFE: Well, 1953 -- I would like the opportunity, too, since I -- I don't want to be monopolizing the microphone or anything like that. But --

MR. LeCHER: You're here to monopolize it.

MR. DeWOLFE: Huh?

MR. LeCHER: That's why you're here, to monopolize the microphone.

MR. DeWOLFE: No, but there's -- I want to back up in sort of a quick chronological order, because I have found, in my experience, that it makes things a bit more understandable --

24

23

11

12

18

15

16

17

19

2

•

•

B

10

11

13

. ...

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

MR. LeCHER: Certainly.

MR. DeWOLFE: -- because of the tremendous fog.

You have to view the past of L. Ron Hubbard almost like
a great big ball of tangled barbed wire, and you look
at it and you can scratch your head and say, "Wow, look
at this."

As I said, you can refer back to one of my original statements, ninety-nine percent of what my father wrote about himself is untrue. But there've been so darn many different biographies -- he and I used to joke that he would write a bio a week. And he would shift and alter things as he pleased as he went along down the line. That's why I have found it to be advantageous to sort things out; that's sort of how my head works anyway.

MR. LeCHER: Certainly.

Would you like --

MR. DeWOLFE: Oh, what was the question I hadn't answered?

MR. LeCHER: Yes. I was asking about auditing.

I was also asking about when he was humiliated by the medical -- the AMA, and he felt that he was humiliated at the Church of Scientology headquarters?

MR. DeWOLFE: Well, humiliated really by the federal -- the federal court in 19 -- that would be

December '53 or '52; I could double check it. Anyway, finally, the federal court caught up with him about the Wichita Dianetic Research Foundation, the financial fiasco. And the U.S. Marshalls - in December '53 - and the U.S. Marshalls finally caught up with him when he had returned from London, after giving some courses, and he returned to Philadelphia for the - what do you call them - doctors' series of lectures on December 1st -- December the 19th, 1953.

MR. LeCHER: Three, I believe --

MR. DeWOLFE: Right. And this was in Philadelphia.

And right in the middle of the lecture, the U.S.

Marshalls, unsuspectingly just knocked on the door -- we

were upstairs in a big meeting room. They thought they

were just going to serve the normal summons or subpoena

to court. But they didn't know they were dealing with

Scientologists, and it got into be one heck of a donnybrook

with -- it wound up with the FBI, the Philadelphia

police, and almost all of the U.S. Marshalls in town,

and a couple of hundred Scientologists.

It took the various areas of law enforcement trying to get up the stairs -- and there were two hundred of us coming down the stairs. It was one of those incredible, almost western-style, fights, you know, you see in

a barroom.

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

And the -- but in any event, I can go over that in further detail, if you'd like --

MR. LeCHER: All right.

MR. DeWOLFE: The subpoena got served. My father had a bodyguard and, of course, at that time I weighed about two hundred forty pounds and I was pretty darn solid. And I had done a great deal of physical activity, so I was no weakling. And we kind of dusted off and cooled off everything. And the marshalls, I think, were kind of afraid to shoot because we were going to -- we were going to trash them.

One of the guys, by the way, was Ernie Kitsch, and he was connected in Philadelphia with organized crime.

Any one of these things, by the way, I'd be very happy to go into any kind of detail on. But I don't want to ---

MR. LeCHER: Well, we just want to keep it in sequence.

He left from Phoenix and went to Camden. Apparently, things got hot for him in Phoenix and he then went to Camden and we have the brawl that you mentioned in Philadelphia.

MR. DeWOLFE: Yeah.

well, as I said, I can make little notations here and expand it further. But that is basically one of the reasons he got caught in court. And I remember his incredible rage afterwards, because he had an incredible temper, that he would never be in another court again. And because of the problems that he had been having with the AMA and Elizabeth, New Jersey and the problems he had also with the AMA in Wichita, Kansas -- because they were also on his back because they were still practicing medicine without a license.

And this is one of the major reasons that he later that month formed the basis of the three basic Scientology corporations in Camden, New Jersey.

MR. LeCHER: So, then, to get the medical authorities off his back --

MR. DeWOLFE: Right.

MR. LeCHER: -- he then formed a religion --

MR. DeWOLFE: And by this time --

MR. LeCHER: -- based on faith, I suppose, and that's when Scientology was created; is that correct around --

MR. DeWOLFE: No. That's sometime in 1952. But this is when it became incorporated --

MR. LeCHER: A corporation, legal corporations in

24

10

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1953 --

б

MR. DeWOLFE: In Camden, New Jersey. I was one of the signatories on all three corporations, as far as the incorporators are concerned.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

When it first -- when he first incorporated, was he --

MR. DeWOLFE: When he was first what, sir?

MR. LeCHER: When he first became incorporated
as a religion -- I guess I shouldn't get involved, that's
not the issue. That's another case.

What was the motivation of becoming a religion?

MR. DeWOLFE: Well, as I said, he had been having quite a bit of harrassment with the court, with the medical profession. He had quite a bit of problems with money, taxes, IRS. And he later — it was a secondary or a second idea, saying "Well, we're dealing with the faith and the human spirit so, therefore, we're perfectly legal to have — to be dealing with that."

But it, to him, was the only solution he had to all of the problems he had been experiencing up to then.

That's what -- I think it was December 18th, 1953, if

I'm correct. That's when the three corporations were

formed: The Church of Scientology, The Church of Human

Engineering, and The Church of American Science in Camden.

MR. LeCHER: And is it safe to assume that he became a religion because he wanted money?

MR. DeWOLFE: Correct.

Well, one thing about that form of corporation is that it's pretty easy to move money and what have you about in that type of corporation.

MR. LeCHER: Was your father a deeply religious man as a young man or did he have any deep religious experiences that moved him to --

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: -- start his own religion?

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

There are some things --

MR. DeWOLFE: Sir, there were three questions that I've answered, and there are three nos.

MR. LeCHER: Yes, sir, I understand.

You've got something about Mr. Hubbard's, your father's, biography, and I won't go into it very much. But I'd like to ask you a question you can answer yes or no: Was, indeed, your father a nuclear physicist?

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: Was ---

,

MR. DeWOLFE: As I said, he took one semester at George Washington University, which he failed, in nuclear physics.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

Number two: Did he have a Bachelor of Science and a Ph.D. degree?

MR. DeWOLFE: Not from an accredited college or university. Either it was self-conferred through the Scientology organization or through Sequoia University, which was a diploma mill in Los Angeles, which, in those days, you could send in five dollars and get a Doctor of Science degree or whatever.

There was an association between the two, between my father and Sequoia. They got together an agreement where we could trade certificates.

MR. LeCHER: You recognized each other's degree, then?

MR. DeWOLFE: No. I mean, you traded and gave them out.

MR. LeCHER: Then, the Church of Scientology -
MR. DeWOLFE: We agreed to give out -- we were

to -- this fell apart because -- this arrangement fell

apart because there, again, my father could not work with

l

anyone. I mean, he wanted -- not as an equal or any kind of a business arrangement like that.

3

4

**.** 

**.** 

0

7

10 11

. .

13

14

15

16

17

18

\_\_\_\_

19

21

.

23

He also claimed he graduated from George Washington and Princeton. Is that true?

But what -- we were going to set it up in order to try to legitimize the organizations by giving out Sequoia University degrees. And Sequoia could -- if they -- if somebody took one of our courses in Scientology, then, Sequoia could give a degree because Sequoia had been formed many years before that as a -- under one of those sort of neat little loopholes in the educational laws in the State of California, which made them sort of quasi-valid, even though all a guy did was buy it.

MR. LeCHER: Does Sequoia exist?

MR. DeWOLFE: Does it now exist?

MR. LeCHER: Yes.

MR. DeWOLFE: No. It did then.

MR. LeCHER: Did it ever teach classes?

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: Did it ever hold classes?

MR. DeWOLFE: No. It was just as I said: You could send in five dollars and get a degree.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

MR. DeWOLFE: No. He did attend Princeton --

MR. LeCHER: But he never graduated --

MR. DeWOLFE: -- but that was with the U.S. Navy. When he was in the U.S. Navy, he took a military government course, which, after that, gave him, he felt, the -- all the technology he needed in order to govern or to produce the organization board or to map out the organization itself.

But he was -- no, he reported in 1945, I believe.

He was assigned there by the U.S. Navy for, I believe -
I think, three months; I'm not sure. This was because

he -- they were about to send him to Japan to be part of
the occupational military government in Japan at the end

of 1945. But he engineered himself out of that.

But the only time he had spent in Princeton was a military -- it was called a Military Occupation Training Course.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

Did he travel extensively through Asia?

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

MR. LeCHER: Did he live on a large cattle ranch and had a brother of Indian tribe? Was he related with Indian or ---

MR. DeWOLFE: No.

MR. LeCHER: -- any tribe?

)

**2**3  $^{\circ}$ 

MR. DeWOLFE: I don't know about the Indian tribe. He might have known -- because there were a number of Indians around. But as far as owning any land in Montana, no. My grandfather, his father and mother, didn't own any land out in Montana.

But, I think, in several of the biographies they mentioned that his grandfather owned a great cattle ranch. At one time he had written that it was a quarter of the size of Montana. That's an awful lot of land.

Anyway, his grandfather, I believe, Waterbury or the other grandfather -- they didn't own any land whatsoever, except maybe a small house.

MR. LeCHER: All right.

Was he a friend of Presidents and their families?

MR. DeWOLFE: No. He never met any of -- he never knew any of the children of the Presidents. I believe he was referring to Calvin Coolidge.

What that stems from -- you see, what my father has done is he takes one tiny, small fact and then he balloons it way up. And what happened was that he had become an Eagle Scout in one year. That's one accomplishment he did do was become an Eagle Scout in one year from the age of eleven to twelve. He did it birthday to

21

22

23

birthday. It was in Washington, D.C. I remember reading his small Boy Scout diary.

And I remember -- I still remember to this day, you opened it up and on a Monday it said, "I am going down today to see the President on the trolley." He's twelve years old; all right. And the next page it -- "Shook hands with President. It felt like a dead fish." And that was his extent or contact with the Presidency or families of Presidents.

MR. LeGHER: That was his contact --

MR. DeWOLFE: Because he was --

MR. LeCHER: He shook hands with --

MR. DeWOLFE: President Coolidge.

MR. LeCHER: At twelve years old --

MR. DeWOLFE: And he said it was like a dead fish.

MR. LeCHER: At twelve years old and --

MR. DeWOLFE: It felt like a dead fish.

MR. LeCHER: Okay.

Did he ever perform a mineral -- did he ever study minerals for the United States and Puerto Rican governments?

MR. DeWOLFE: In Puerto Rico? No, no.

Somewhere in the twenties, there was a great big hurricane in Puerto Rico. You'd have to really do a bit

٠ ـ

of research to pin the date down. But there was a big hurricane and -- my father was a terrible student. My grandfather told me many times of all the times he had to go down and please talk the teachers into -- please talk the teachers into letting him stay in school, because he also was not a very good student at all.

So, my grandfather got the idea -- well, they had a big hurricane. The -- they were calling for volunteers because, evidently, it had pretty well devastated the island. So, my father -- my grandfather sent my father down there to help out. There were -- I think several of his other school friends went along with him. And all he did was whack around like a big old vacation.

Now, if that's a mineralogical survey -- in essence, throwing rocks or something. But, no, there was no mineralogical survey that was made.

MR. LeCHER: He also claimed to do research at the University of Michigan --

MR. DeWOLFE: No, he did not do that.

MR. LeCHER: We -- I must ask you these questions because these are the questions that the faith is built on that your father can do all these things --

MR. DeWOLFF: Yes.

How are you feeling, sir? Would you like --MR. DeWOLFE: Fine. MR. LeCHER: -- to continue? 7 MR. DeWOLFE: Sure. this. 10 11 12 13 to Hubbard. 14 Do you know anything about that? 16 That was standard operating procedure, SOP. 17 18 zation and he'd take their money? 19 MR. DeWCLFE: Right. 20 MR. LeCHER: Did he --21 just the money. 23 24

MR. LeCHER: -- and that's why he has so much credibility with Mr. Walters and others -- other highranking members of the Church of Scientology. So, therefore, we must ask you these things for the record.

MR. LeCHER: I'll just try again to walk you through

Apparently, there's something about L. Ron Hubbard as an individual to persuade a reluctant person who runs a Scientology organization to turn over all the proceeds

MR. DeWOLFE: Oh, we did that several times.

MR. LeCHER: You mean, they built up the organi-

MR. DeWOLFE: He'd take over the organization, not

I mean, in the very beginning, you must realize that

it was a growing thing and there wasn't too many -- too many organizations. And building organizations in the early days was pretty difficult, and people had a great deal of faith and belief in Scientology and they worked very hard for it. And when they got an organization, we would take it over.

I can give you several examples of that that come to mind; there are probably more of them. And -- let me catch a few of them here for the fun of it.

MR. LeCHER: Were they like franchises?

MR. DeWOLFE: Yeah. They started out as independent -- as independent organizations, but, from the very beginning, since Scientology -- that is, since December of 1952, everybody was required to pay ten percent. If you audited professionally -- if you were a professional Scientologist, you paid ten percent. And this also included anything that was construed to be an organization, whether it be a corporation or just a group or a center. In those days we generally called them centers.

But insofar as the major corporation take overs were concerned, there was the one in 1953 in Philadelphia where he had given an accomplice that December of '53 -- and it was John Naugerbauer and Helen O'Brien. And they

•

•

y

2

.

8

7

10

11

13

14

15

16 . 17

19

18

20

22

21

23

24

were somewhat reluctant to pay my father the money for the big congress they had. And he had a course -- it was called the Philadelphia Doctorate Course.

So, this Ernie Kitsch, who was a great, gargantuan man, well above six feet, probably weighed two hundred and fifty pounds - and he had half his front forearm and his left hand sawed off, which he himself had sawed off with a bandsaw --

MR. CALDERBANK: Jesus.

MR. LeCHER: And what did he --

MR. DeWOLFE: And he went along because he was a longshoreman.

MR. LeCHER: This is Mr. Kitsch?

MR. DeWOLFF: Yes, Mr. Kitsch.

And -- okay. Well, Mr. Kitsch and I, we went over to John's place and we tried to get the money from the Philadelphia Center. And we collected the money. In fact, we collected all the money, and collected the tapes and collected all of their books. And they quite willingly signed over their bank accounts and what have you, because, to put it pleasantly, we used physical force to do so.

That's where the first major --

MR. SHOEMAKER: Second --

MR. DeWOLFE: -- I'm sorry, second major take over of an organization. And then, as I said, we established our center in Camden, New Jersey, which is right across the river from Philadelphia, because we had set up the three -- the three non-profit corporations in New Jersey in December of '53.

The very first time we cancelled out on an organization was Ross Lamoureau had -- a man by the name of Ross Lamoureau had an organization in Phoenix, Arizona. It was a Dianetic Research -- it was a Dianetic type of center or foundation in Phoenix.

Well, Dad had left Wichita, Kansas in 19 -- in early 1952 and went to Phoenix. He didn't have any organization; all he had was his shoeboxes full of money. And we later, as time went on, took that organization from Ross to operate because Ross was doing very well and had a quite a number of people hanging about. And this is where -- this is the first experience I had -- the first experience I had where we leaned on blackmail, because, as I remember, he liked to have sex with little boys.

And in those days, of course, that type of activity was very punishable by the police, as 1 imagine it is now today. But today people can discuss that kind of

thing, and it's okay if they know you're a homosexual or something like that. But in 1952, the people were pretty well strait-laced and that was devastating information. So, Ross Lamoureau rather thoroughly cooperated with us in what we wanted to do.

range typing real real or to the first of the

MR. LeCHER: So, we -- we can get into a lot of these things tomorrow. I want -- a few more questions, then, we'll leave the bulk of it for tomorrow.

> You say these missions are like franchises? MR. DeWOLFE: That's right.

MR. LeCHER: Is Clearwater a franchise? And Miami? I know Miami is smaller.

MR. DeWOLFE: No, I've had no dealings with it. But from looking at it on the periphery, it would have to be one of the main centers. But -- the three main centers would be Los Angeles, Clearwater -- Los Angeles, California, Clearwater, Florida, and East Grinstead, Sussex, England - Saint Hill Manor - in -- just outside of London.

MR. LeCHER: Could someone, say, go to Tampa and start a mission and pay ten percent as a franchise fee or as a rent or --

> MR. DeWOLFE: Could someone do that? MR. LeCHER: Yes.

19 21

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

20

24

MR. DeWOLFE: Yes, certainly.

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28

As I said, it's always been that way from 1952 forward that people were expected and required to pay the ten percent. If they didn't, we'd do such things as revoke their certificates, or force them to come in for more training, or tell everybody that they couldn't go to a particular center now because they didn't have the latest tech - tech, meaning technology - or the latest full science on the world of Scientology.

MR. LeCHER: Sir, I think we'd like to just adjourn now until tomorrow morning. We have so much more to go. A lot of the meat of the issue is in here, and I would hate to get you in the middle of it and then we must adjourn.

MR. DeWOLFE: Also, I'd like to back up chrono-logically.

MR. LeCHER: Yes, sir.

And is there anything you want to say before we adjourn the meeting?

MR. DeWOLFE: No. I certainly appreciate you inviting me here.

MR. LeCHER: Thank you for attending, and thank you for being such a cooperative person. Again, we admire your courage.

1-300 Thank you ladies and gentlemen for staying with 1 And we'll reconvene at nine o'clock tomorrow us. morning. The meeting is adjourned. (Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned until 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 6, 1982.) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19. 20 21 22 23 24

· 15/4 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14 / 19/14

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T I O N</u>

I, Karen E. Rizman, a certified court reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing transcript of the City of Clearwater Commission Hearings Re: The Church of Scientology, pages 4 through 300, is a true and accurate transcription of my dictated tape recordings of the proceedings taken at the Clearwater City Hall, Clearwater Florida, on Wednesday, May 5, 1982.

Karen E. Rizman



\*

.

•

g

iv