IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS Western Division

TAMARA GREEN

Plaintiff,

v. : Case No.

WILLIAM H. COSBY, JR.

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Tamara Green, by and through her counsel, Joseph Cammarata, Esq., Matthew W. Tievsky, Esq., Andrew Abraham, Esq., and hereby represents as follows:

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction of the within cause of action pursuant to diversity of citizenship and the amount in controversy, 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 2. Venue lies in the District of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 in that Defendant William H. Cosby, Jr. resides in this District.
- 3. Defendant Cosby is an internationally known actor and comedian.
- 4. Plaintiff Tamara Green is an adult individual residing at a confidential address in California.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation set forth above and further states as follows:

5. Plaintiff Green met Defendant Cosby in or about 1969

- or 1970, through an introduction from a mutual friend.
- 6. During that time, Plaintiff Green was a young and aspiring model and singer.
- 7. Defendant Cosby solicited Plaintiff Green's assistance to raise money for Defendant Cosby from investors to establish a new club that Defendant Cosby intended to open.
- 8. On a certain date in the early 1970s, Plaintiff Green telephoned Defendant Cosby to advise him that she was not feeling well and was unable to continue to assist him as described in paragraph 7 above.
- 9. Defendant Cosby invited Plaintiff Green to meet him for lunch at Café Figaro in Los Angeles, California, telling her that she would feel better if she had something to eat.
- 10. While at lunch together, Defendant Cosby offered Plaintiff Green some red and grey pills telling Plaintiff Green that they were over-the-counter cold medicine.
- 11. Plaintiff Green ingested the pills believing them to be what Defendant Cosby represented them to be.
- 12. To Plaintiff Green's surprise, within a short period of time, the pills caused Plaintiff Green to feel weak, dizzy and woozy.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cosby deceived Plaintiff Green into ingesting narcotic or other type of drugs and not cold medicine.
 - 14. Defendant Cosby intentionally drugged Plaintiff Green

into this altered state, in order to facilitate his later sexual assault.

- 15. After feeling the effects of the drugs, lunch was ended prematurely and Defendant Cosby drove Plaintiff Green to her apartment.
- 16. Once there, without Plaintiff Green's consent, Defendant Cosby undressed himself and Plaintiff Green. Defendant Cosby then began to take advantage of Plaintiff Green by running his hands all over her body, touching her breasts and vaginal area, and he digitally penetrated her, while masturbating himself.
- 17. Despite repeated demands to stop, Defendant Cosby continued his assault of Plaintiff Green.
- 18. Plaintiff Green repeatedly told Defendant Cosby, "You're going to have to kill me" in an effort to stop the assault.
- 19. It was not until Plaintiff Green was able to upend a table lamp that Defendant Cosby stopped.
- 20. During the entirety of the sexual assault, Plaintiff Green remained weak, vulnerable and unable to fully defend her herself.
- 21. Defendant Cosby eventually left Plaintiff Green's apartment, leaving two \$100 bills on a coffee table.
- 22. Plaintiff Green first widely publicly disclosed Defendant Cosby's sexual assault in February of 2005, by an appearance on the Today Show and an interview with the

Philadelphia Inquirer.

- 23. Defendant Cosby, by and through his agent, authorized representative, and lawyer, Walter M. Phillips, Jr., responded that Defendant Cosby did not know Plaintiff Green, and that Plaintiff Green's allegations were "absolutely false" and that the incident "did not happen in any way, shape, or form." Thus by innuendo and effect, Defendant Cosby publically branded Plaintiff Green a liar.
- 24. At all relevant times, Phillips acted as an agent, authorized representative, lawyer, servant, and/or employee of Defendant Cosby, acting within the course and scope of his employment.
- 25. On or about February 7, 2014, Newsweek published an interview of Plaintiff Green. In the interview, Plaintiff Green again detailed Defendant Cosby's sexual assault.
- 26. Along with that interview, Newsweek published a response attributed to "[Defendant] Cosby's publicist." Upon information and belief, the publicist was David Brokaw.
- 27. At all relevant times, David Brokaw acted as an agent, authorized representative, servant, and/or employee of Defendant Cosby, within the course and scope of his employment.
- 28. In his response to Newsweek, in an effort to continue the public branding of Plaintiff as a liar, Defendant Cosby through Brokaw stated explicitly, stated in effect, stated by innuendo, implied, and/or insinuated, that Defendant Cosby's drugging and sexual assault against Plaintiff Green never

occurred, and therefore that Plaintiff Green lied and was a liar. Defendant Cosby thereby continued his pattern of branding Plaintiff Green as a liar that he began in 2005.

- 29. In or about November of 2014, Plaintiff Green repeated the substance of her allegations in an interview she gave to The Washington Post. The interview was published on or about November 22, 2014.
- 30. Along with that interview, The Washington Post published a response attributed to Phillips.
- 31. In his response to The Washington Post, in an effort to continue the public branding of Plaintiff as a liar, Defendant Cosby, through Phillips, again stated explicitly, stated in effect, stated by innuendo, implied, and/or insinuated, that Defendant Cosby did not know Plaintiff Green and that Defendant Cosby's drugging and sexual assault against Plaintiff never occurred, and therefore that Plaintiff lied and was a liar. Defendant Cosby thereby continued his pattern of branding Plaintiff as a liar that he began in 2005.
- 32. Defendant Cosby has known that Plaintiff Green's allegations are true and that his attorneys', spokesperson's, and/or agents' denials are false.
- 33. Brokaw and Phillips each made their denial at the direction of Defendant Cosby, and/or within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant Cosby.
 - 34. Defendant Cosby's responses through Brokaw and

Philips were publicized nationwide, not just through Newsweek and The Washington Post respectively, but through other publications that repeated their responses.

COUNT I (Defamation)

Plaintiff repleads and incorporates by reference herein each and every allegation set forth above and further states as follows:

- 35. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Green enjoyed the respect, confidence and esteem of her neighbors, as well as others in the community.
- 36. Defendant Cosby's responses, through Brokaw, given to Newsweek on or about February 7, 2014, and through Phillips given to The Washington Post on or about November 22, 2014, were each defamatory.
- 37. Each response was false when made, in that Plaintiff Green's accusation against Defendant Cosby was true, and there was no basis to publicly claim that Plaintiff Green was lying or a liar.
 - 38. Each response was not privileged.
- and/or in negligent disregard of the truth, intending to injure Plaintiff Green and to deprive her of her good name and

reputation.

- 40. Brokaw and Phillips each knew or should have known that their respective responses were false at the time of the publications.
- 41. Defendant Cosby knew the responses were false at the time of the publications.
- 42. The responses of Defendant Cosby, through Brokaw and Phillips, were printed, published, circulated and distributed by the news outlets to which they were made, and were widely read by Plaintiff Green's family, neighbors, friends, and diverse other persons.
- 43. Defendant Cosby's responses, through Brokaw and Phillips, each on their face impugned Plaintiff Green's reputation, and tended to expose Plaintiff Green to public contempt, ridicule, aversion or disgrace, to induce an evil opinion of her in the minds of right-thinking persons, to cause her to be shunned or avoided, and/or to injure her in her occupation, good name, character, and reputation.
- 44. Defendant Cosby's responses, through Brokaw and Phillips, each has directly and proximately caused Plaintiff Green damages by virtue of her loss of reputation, shame, mortification, hurt feelings, and/or damage to her property, business, trade, profession, or and/or occupation.
- 45. Defendant Cosby is liable for the conduct of Brokaw and Phillips, each by virtue of respondent superior.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Tamara Green, demands judgment of and

against Defendant William Henry Cosby, Jr., in an amount in excess of the minimal jurisdictional limits of the Court, in compensatory damages and punitive damages, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, attorney's fees, and costs.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Joseph Cammarata
Joseph Cammarata, Esquire
CHAIKIN, SHERMAN,
CAMMARATA & SIEGEL, P.C.

/s/ Matthew W. Tievsky
Matthew W. Tievsky, Esquire
CHAIKIN, SHERMAN,
CAMMARATA & SIEGEL, P.C.
The Law Building
1232 Seventeenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Ofc: (202) 659-8600
Fax: (202) 659-8680
E-mail: Joe@dc-law.net
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

/s/ Andrew Abraham
Andrew Abraham, Esquire
BBO No. 631167
Abraham & Associates, P.C.
2 Center Plaza, Suite 620
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 648-4499 (phone)
(617) 648-4493 (fax)

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury as to all issues triable herein.

/s/ Andrew Abraham
Andrew Abraham, Esquire

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Tamara Green				William H. Cosby, Jr.				
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego County, C (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Franklin County, MA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,)	Address, and Telephone Number	•)		Attorneys (If Known)				
Chaikin, Sherman, Camr Washington, D.C. 20036,	narata & Siegel, P.C., (202) 659-8600	1232 17th Street N\	Ν,					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL	PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government i	Vot a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Prin of Business In Ti	PTF DEF	
3 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☑ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)				Incorporated and Proof Business In A		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3 3	Poreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1 70	SKYPTON DEPOSITATION	I HANK	RUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 230 Ront Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 46dical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 162 Itealth Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 163 Asbostos Personal Injury Product Liability 164 Personal 165 Personal	7	DREFITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 62 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 63 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appen	28 USC 158 awal C 157 FY RIGHTS ghts aurk ECURITY 395fi) Lung (923) DIWW (405(g)) fitle XVI 05(g)) LTAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff endant)	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from 3 ite Court	Appellate Court	Reo	(specify	er District	□ 6 Multidistri Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	128 USC Section 1	332		Do not cite jurisdictional sta				
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULB 23, F.R.Cv.P.			ý D	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes □ No				
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE /		\cap	DOCKET	`NUMBER		
DATE 12/09/2014		SIGNATURE OF A	DKNEY	OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			U					
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING 1FP		JUDGE		MAG. JUE)GE	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of case (name of first party on each side only)_TAMARA GREEN v. WILLIAM H. COSBY, JR.						
2. Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (Sec rule 40.1(a)(1)).							
	I. 410, 441, 470, 535, 830*, 891, 893, 895, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.						
	II. 110, 130, 140, 160, 190, 196, 230, 240, 290,320,362, 370, 371, 380, 430, 440, 442, 443, 445, 446, 448, 710, 720, 740, 790, 820*, 840*, 850, 870, 871.						
	III. 120, 150, 151, 152, 153, 195, 210, 220, 245, 310, 315, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 365, 367, 368, 375, 385, 400, 422, 423, 450, 460, 462, 463, 465, 480, 490, 510, 530, 540, 550, 555, 625, 690, 751, 791, 861-865, 890, 896, 899, 950.						
	*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121. for patent, trademark or copyright cases.						
3.	Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.						
4.	Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court? YES NO						
5.	Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 US §2403)						
	If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?						
6.	Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284? YES NO						
7.	Do <u>all</u> of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the United States and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)) YES NO						
	A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division						
	B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencie residing in Massachusetts reside?						
	Eastern Division Central Division Western Division						
8.	If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions) YES NO						
	ASE TYPE OR PRINT)						
ΑT	DRNEY'S NAME ANDREW ABRAHAM						
AD	RESS 2 CENTER PLAZA, SUITE 620, BOSTON, MA 02108						
TEI	EPHONE NO. (617) 648-4499						

(CategoryForm9-2014.wpd)